1	FLOD	BEFORE THE IDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	LOR	
3	In the Matter of	DOCKET NO. 010006-WS
4	In the Matter of	
5	WATER AND WASTEWATE ANNUAL REESTABLISHM	ENT OF
6		TER AND
7	WASTEWATER UTILITIE TO SECTION 367.081(S PURSUANT 4)(F), F.S.
8		
9		C VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE
10	THE OFF	VENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT ICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING.
11	THE .PDF VE	ERSION INCLUDES PREFILED TESTIMÓNY.
12	PROCEEDINGS:	
13		PREHEARING CONFERENCE
14	BEFORE:	COMMISSIONER MICHAEL A. PALECKI Prehearing Officer
15	DATE:	Monday, October 22, 2001
16	TIME:	Commenced at 9:30 a.m.
17		Concluded at 9:40 a.m.
18	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 152
19		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
20		rarialiassee, Florida
21	REPORTED BY:	JANE FAUROT, RPR
22		Chief, Office of Hearing Reporter Services FPSC Division of Commission Clerk and
23		Administrative Services (850) 413-6732
24		
25		
		Transmit Mo.
		13672-01

APPEARANCES:
J. STEPHEN MENTON, and KENNETH A. HOFFMAN, Rutledge,
Ecenia, Underwood, Purnell and Hoffman, P. O. Box 511, 215
South Monroe Street, Suite 420, Tallahassee, Florida
32302-0551, appearing on behalf of Florida Waterworks
Association.
STEPHEN C. BURGESS, Associate Public
Counsel, Office of Public Counsel, 111 West Madison
Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400,
appearing on behalf of the Citizens of the State of
Florida.
RALPH R. JAEGER, Florida Public Service
Commission, Division of Legal Services, 2540 Shumard
Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870,
appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

1

PROCEEDINGS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22 23

24

25

COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Call the prehearing conference to order. Counsel, please read the notice.

MR. JAEGER: Yes. Commissioner. Pursuant to notice issued October 9th, 2001, this time and place was noticed for a prehearing conference in Docket Number 010006-WS. The purpose of this prehearing conference is to define and limit, if possible, the number of issues, to determine the parties' positions on the issues, to determine what facts, if any, may be stipulated, to dispose of any motions or other matters that may be pending, and to consider any other matters that may aid in the disposition of this case.

COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Take appearances, starting with Mr. Burgess, please.

MR. BURGESS: I'm Steve Burgess here for the Public Counsel's Office representing the citizens of the State of Florida.

MR. MENTON: Good morning. Steve Menton with the law firm of Rutledge, Ecenia, Burnell & Hoffman on behalf of the Florida Waterworks Association.

MR. JAEGER: Yes, Commissioner. Ralph Jaeger appearing on behalf of the Commission staff.

COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Are there any preliminary matters?

MR. JAEGER: Yes, sir, there is one preliminary

matter I thought everybody should be aware of. On Page 5, the number of issues sort of kept changing, and I believe we should make sure of the issues we have, and then go back to that page and make sure which witness is addressing which issue.

COMMISSIONER PALECKI: All right. I have reviewed the draft prehearing order, and I am going to allow all four of the issues. Insofar as Issue 3 is concerned, I would like to have that rephrased. And I would like it changed from "whether there is" to "is there justification." And I don't think we need the words both justification and basis. I am going to strike "or basis," so it reads, "Is there justification for utilizing a leverage formula?"

But I have reviewed the issues. I think it's quite arguable that we could have just one issue in this proceeding, but since the parties have requested that these be separated out into four -- actually five issues, including should the docket be closed, I'm going to allow that.

Does everyone have a copy of the prehearing order? I would like to just walk through the prehearing order section-by-section. If we look just through Sections I through VI, does anyone have any changes or corrections to those sections?

MR. MENTON: No, sir.

COMMISSIONER PALECKI: All right. Going on to Section VII, Order of Witnesses. So as we stated, all of the

T	withesses will be going through issues I through IV, correct:		
2	MR. JAEGER: Is that correct, Steve and Steve?		
3	MR. MENTON: Yes. From our position, yes.		
4	MR. BURGESS: Yes.		
5	MR. JAEGER: Both direct and rebuttal, I through IV.		
6	COMMISSIONER PALECKI: So all witnesses will address		
7	all issues?		
8	MR. JAEGER: Yes, Commissioner.		
9	COMMISSIONER PALECKI: All right. Let's go through		
10	Sections VIII and IX for positions. Are they all accurately		
11	stated or are there any changes?		
12	MR. BURGESS: They appear accurate from our		
13	perspective.		
14	MR. MENTON: We agree.		
15	COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Section X, Exhibits. Do we		
16	have any modifications there?		
17	MR. JAEGER: There is one repeat on Pete Lester that		
18	I thought we had gotten corrected and it didn't. It's the		
19	last PL22, it says status quo leverage formula, and then it		
20	says it again. It was copied over twice somehow. That's on		
21	Page 16.		
22	COMMISSIONER PALECKI: All right. So we will just		
23	strike the second "quo leverage formula."		
24	MR. JAEGER: Status quo leverage formula, yes.		
25	COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Status quo leverage formula.		

1 Do we have any stipulations? 2 MR. JAEGER: I believe the only stipulation is the 3 one about Issue 5, and just that the docket shall remain open to readdress the reasonableness of the leverage formula as 4 5 conditions warrant. I believe all the parties agree to that. 6 MR. MENTON: Yes, sir. 7 MR. BURGESS: Yes. sir. 8 COMMISSIONER PALECKI: All right. Could you go ahead and include that under the stipulations section? 9 10 MR. JAEGER: Okay. Instead of a proposed stipulation -- well, I guess it still stays proposed 11 12 stipulation, and the Commission approves that stipulation at 13 the beginning of the hearing I think is the --14 COMMISSIONER PALECKI: All right. 15 MR. JAEGER: And that is under Roman numeral XI on Page 17. 16 17 COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Okay. Now, if there are any 18 stipulations reached by the parties, or if any other rulings 19 become necessary before the time the final prehearing order is 20 issued, I would ask staff to please reflect any stipulations 21 and/or further rulings in the prehearing order. 22

MR. JAEGER: Commissioner, when do you want me to issue this order? What is the latest date? I mean, I can have it issued by tomorrow, or I can hold it in case something does come up.

23

24

25

1	COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Well, do we anticipate that			
2	anything might come up?			
3	MR. JAEGER: There may be one slight problem at the			
4	depositions with confidentiality. I don't expect so, but I			
5	think the way they get these on lines from ValueLine, they can			
6	use it, but there may be a problem with getting the information			
7	because it's confidential.			
8	COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Would you feel more			
9	comfortable waiting until after those depositions, just to make			
10	sure that you don't want to include those?			
11	MR. JAEGER: The depositions are tomorrow, so I could			
12	wait and make sure and then make any adjustments to the order			
13	after that. And if there is no adjustments, I could issue the			
14	order Wednesday promptly.			
15	COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Good. That would be fine.			
16	Any pending motions?			
17	MR. JAEGER: No pending motions, Commissioner.			
18	COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Anything else any of the			
19	parties would like to bring up at this time?			
20	MR. MENTON: No, sir.			
21	COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Hearing none, the prehearing			
22	conference is adjourned.			
23	MR. MENTON: Thank you.			
24	(The prehearing concluded at 9:40 a.m.)			
25				