

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VOTE SHEET

NOVEMBER 6, 2001

RE: Docket No. 011381-TL - Investigation into BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s tariff filing (T-01786) to establish the Keys Exchange.

ISSUE 1: Should BellSouth's tariff filing of July 16, 2001 (T-010786) to establish the new Keys exchange be canceled?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. BellSouth's tariff filing of July 16, 2001 (T-010786) to establish the new Keys exchange should be canceled. BellSouth should be required to make a new tariff filing which sets basic rates for the Keys exchange at the present weighted average monthly rates calculated across the existing seven exchanges, using access lines as weights. The calculations of the weighted average monthly rates should exclude the Extended Area Service (EAS) additive for the Big Pine Key exchange. BellSouth should be strongly encouraged to make this tariff filing within 15 days of the Commission's order.

DEFERRED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

14029 NOV-65

VOTE SHEET

NOVEMBER 6, 2001

Docket No. 011381-TL - Investigation into BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s tariff filing (T-01786) to establish the Keys Exchange.

(Continued from previous page)

ISSUE 2: Should this docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 1, the resulting decision should be issued as a Proposed Agency Action. The Docket should, however, remain open in order for BellSouth to make a new tariff filing. Commission staff should be given administrative authority to close the docket if the new tariff filing is consistent with the Commission's decision and if no person whose substantial interests are affected timely files a protest of the Commission's decision within 21 days of the issuance of the Commission's Proposed Agency Action Order.

If the Commission denies staff's recommendation in Issue 1 and BellSouth's tariff is not cancelled, the Commission need only close this docket as a procedural matter, since the Commission would have found the tariff consistent with the law.