

ORIGINAL

STEEL ■
HECTOR
& DAVIS™

Steel Hector & Davis LLP
200 South Biscayne Boulevard
Miami, Florida 33131-2398
305.577.7000
305.577.7001 Fax
www.steelhector.com

Gabriel E. Nieto
305.577.7083
gnieto@steelhector.com

January 14, 2002

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS


Ms. Blanca S. Bayó, Director
Division of Records and Reporting
Florida Public Service Commission
4075 Esplanade Way, Room 110
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: DOCKET NO. 001148-EI

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed for filing please find the original and fifteen (15) copies of Florida Power & Light Company's Consolidated Objections to OPC's Second Set of Interrogatories (nos. 8-26) and Third Request for Production of Documents (nos. 70-89) in the above-referenced dockets. An electronic copy is provided on a diskette.

Very truly yours,



Gabriel E. Nieto

APP _____
CAF _____
CMP _____ GEN/ps
COM 5 Enclosure
CTR _____
ECR _____
LEG _____
OPC _____
PAI _____
RGO _____
SEC 1
SER _____
OTH 1

copy

DISTRIBUTION CENTER

2002 JAN 15 AM 9:09

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

00527 JAN 15 02

Miami West Palm Beach Tallahassee Naples Key West London Caracas São Paulo Rio de Janeiro Santo Domingo

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Review of the retail rates of Florida Power & Light Company.) Docket No. 001148-EI) Dated: January 14, 2002))))

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY'S CONSOLIDATED OBJECTIONS TO OPC'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES (Nos. 8-26) AND THIRD REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS (Nos. 70-89)

Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL") hereby submits the following consolidated objections to OPC's Second Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 8-26) (the "Interrogatories") and Third Request for Production of Documents (Nos.70-89) (the "Requests") to FPL:

I. PRELIMINARY NATURE OF THESE OBJECTIONS

The objections stated herein are preliminary in nature and are made at this time in compliance with the requirement of Order No. PSC-01-2111-PCO-EI that objections be served within ten days of service of discovery requests. Should additional grounds for objection be discovered as FPL develops its responses, FPL reserves the right to supplement or modify its objections up to the time it serves its responses. Should FPL determine that a protective order is necessary regarding any of the information requested of FPL, FPL reserves the right to file a motion with the Commission seeking such an order at the time its response is due.

II. GENERAL OBJECTIONS

1. FPL objects to each Interrogatory and Request to the extent it calls for production or disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege; the

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

00527 JAN 15 08

work product doctrine, the accountant-client privilege, the trade secret privilege, or any other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law, whether such privilege or protection appears at the time response is first made or is later determined to be applicable for any reason. FPL in no way intends to waive such privilege or protection.

2. FPL objects to providing any information that is confidential or proprietary business information and/or the compilation of information that is considered confidential or proprietary business information. FPL has not had sufficient time to determine whether the discovery requests call for the disclosure of such information. However, if it so determines, it will either file a motion for protective order requesting confidential classification and procedures for protection or take other actions to protect the confidential information requested. FPL in no way intends to waive claims of confidentiality.

3. FPL objects to each Interrogatory and Request that seeks information about, or in the custody of, FPL's affiliates to the extent that such discovery requests exceed the proper scope of the Commission's inquiry about utility affiliates and/or the proper scope of discovery. As noted in FPL's objections to the South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Association's First Set of Interrogatories and Request for Documents, the jurisdiction of the Commission concerning the parent and affiliates of a utility is limited. See §§366.05(9) and 366.093(1), Fla. Stat. (2000). Moreover, the scope of discovery from a party is limited to documents within the possession, custody or control of that party. See, e.g., *Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Co. v. Deason*, 632 So.2d 1377 (Fla. 1994).

4. FPL objects to each Interrogatory and Request to the extent that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this docket and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

5. FPL objects to the instructions and to each Interrogatory and Request to the extent that they purport to impose upon FPL obligations that FPL does not have under the law or applicable rules of procedure.

6. FPL is a large corporation with employees located in many different locations. In the course of its business, FPL creates numerous documents that are not subject to Commission's or other governmental record retention requirements. These documents are kept in numerous locations and frequently are moved from site to site as employees change jobs or as business is reorganized. Therefore, it is possible that not every relevant responsive document can reasonably be consulted in developing FPL's response. Rather, FPL's responses will provide all the information that FPL obtained after a reasonable and diligent search conducted in connection with this discovery request. To the extent that the discovery requests propose to require more, FPL objects on the grounds that compliance would impose an undue burden or expense on FPL.

7. FPL objects to the request that responsive documents be produced at the OPC's Tallahassee offices. FPL is required only to produce documents at a reasonable time, place, and manner.

8. FPL objects to the Interrogatories and Requests to the extent that they require FPL to create documents not already in existence.

9. FPL objects to each Interrogatory and Request that purports to require FPL to provide documents in electronic form, to the extent such documents are not presently kept in electronic form by FPL.

10. FPL asserts the foregoing general objections with respect to each Interrogatory and Request as though separately stated therefor.

III. Specific Objections and Requests for Clarification

Interrogatory No. 9(b). FPL requests clarification as to whether this Interrogatory seeks a list of work papers and source documents, or the actual production of such documents. If the production of such documents is sought, FPL will treat this as both an Interrogatory and a Request for Production. In either event, FPL will interpret the question to refer to the direct underlying workpapers used to answer subpart 9(a) of the question.

Request No. 73. FPL objects to this request as vague and ambiguous. The Request makes reference to OPC's Interrogatory No. 6 and asks for allocation factors used to allocate costs from FPL to affiliates; however, the referenced interrogatory answer does not deal with allocation of costs *from* FPL *to* affiliates. FPL will construe the request as referring to allocation factors for allocations from FPL to affiliates for the types of costs referenced in FPL's response to OPC's Interrogatory No. 6.


Request No. 74. FPL objects to Request No. 74 on the grounds that the information requested could be used to determine the travel patterns of FPL's senior executives, leading to security concerns. FPL will provide this information subject to

reasonable safeguards against its disclosure to the general public or to the extent necessary, subject to an appropriate protective order.

Respectfully submitted this 14th day of January 2002.

R. Wade Litchfield, Esq.
Attorney
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420
Telephone: 561-691-7101

Steel Hector & Davis LLP
Attorneys for Florida Power & Light
Company
200 South Biscayne Boulevard
Suite 4000
Miami, Florida 33131-2398
Telephone: 305-577-2939



By: _____
Gabriel E. Nieto
Florida Bar No. 147559

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by United States Mail this 14th day of January, 2002, to the following:

Robert V. Elias, Esq.
Legal Division
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Room 370
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Florida Industrial Power Users Group
c/o John McWhirter, Jr., Esq.
McWhirter Reeves
400 North Tampa Street, Suite 2450
Tampa, FL 33601-3350

Thomas A. Cloud, Esq.
Gray, Harris & Robinson, P.A.
301 East Pine Street, Suite 1400
Orlando, Florida 32801


J. Roger Howe, Esq.
Office of Public Counsel
c/o Florida Legislature
111 W. Madison Street
Room No. 812
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

Michael B. Twomey, Esq.
Post Office Box 5256
Tallahassee, FL 32314-5256

Andrews & Kurth Law Firm
Mark Sundback/Kenneth Wiseman
1701 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20006

Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esq.
Vicki Gordon Kaufman, Esq.
McWhirter Reeves
117 South Gadsden
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Ronald C. LaFace, Esq.
Seann M. Frazier, Esq.
Greenberg Traurig, P.A.
101 East College Avenue
Post Office Drawer 1838
Tallahassee, Florida 32302

By: 

Gabriel E. Nieto

MIA2001/76085-1