

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

RECEIVED-FPSC

02 FEB 11 PM 3:36

COMMISSION CLERK

**DATE:** February 8, 2002  
**TO:** Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services (Bayó)  
**FROM:** Office of the General Counsel (B. Keating) *BK*  
 Division of Competitive Markets and Enforcement (D'Haeseleer) *DH*  
**RE:** Docket No. 001329-TI - Initiation of show cause proceedings against Radiant Telecom, Inc. for apparent violation of Rules 25-4.043, F.A.C., Response to Commission Staff Inquiries, 25-24.480, F.A.C., Records & Reports; Rules Incorporated, 25-24.915, F.A.C., Tariffs and Price Lists, 25-24.920, F.A.C., Standards for Prepaid Calling Services and Consumer Disclosure, and 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

By Order No. PSC-01-1334-PAA-TI, issued June 18, 2001, the Commission ordered Radiant Telecom, Inc. to remit a fine of \$7,500 in lieu of having Certificate No. 6098 canceled for apparent violation of Rules 25-4.043, F.A.C., Response to Commission Staff Inquiries, 25-24.480, F.A.C., Records & Reports; Rules Incorporated, 25-24.915, F.A.C., Tariffs and Price Lists, 25-24.920, F.A.C., Standards for Prepaid Calling Services and Consumer Disclosure, and 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies. The Commission also ordered Radiant Telecom, Inc. to remit a refund for apparent overcharges of \$32,887.61, adding interest of \$2,492.27, for a total of \$35,379.88, to the Commission for deposit in the General Revenue Fund and to comply with the other provisions of its settlement offer. Radiant Telecom, Inc. had complied with all of the provisions of Order No. PSC-01-1334-PAA-TI by July 5, 2001, when it submitted the refund and the refund report, except for updating its display materials to comply with its tariff and the requirements of Rule 25-24.920, F.A.C. Since then, Radiant Telecom, Inc. has been working with staff to bring the language on its display material into compliance. The latest proposed revision to the display materials appears to be in compliance with its tariff and the requirements of Rule 25-24.920, F.A.C. Therefore, this docket should be closed administratively. Staff will open a new docket should any problems occur in the future.

cc: Office of the General Counsel (Banks)  
 Division of Competitive Markets and Enforcement (M. Watts)

*OK  
 sm  
 2/12/02*

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE  
 01663 FEB 12 8  
 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK