### RUTLEDGE, ECENIA, PURNELL & HOFFMAN

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW ORIGINAL

STEPHEN A. ECENIA KENNETH A. HOFFMAN THOMAS W. KONRAD MICHAEL G. MAIDA MARTIN P. McDONNELL J. STEPHEN MENTON

POST OFFICE BOX 551, 32302-0551 215 SOUTH MONROE STREET, SUITE 420 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301-1841

> TELEPHONE (850) 681-6788 TELECOPIER (850) 681-6515

R. DAVID PRESCOTT HAROLD F. X. PURNELL MARSHA E. RULE GARY R. RUTLEDGE

HAND DELIVERY

**GOVERNMENTAL CONSULTANTS** MARGARET A. MENDUNI M. LANE STEPHENS

March 1, 2002

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Betty Easley Conference Center, Room 110 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

> Re: Docket No. 000075-TP

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced docket are an original and fifteen copies of refiled excerpts of the previously filed direct testimony of Gregory R. Follensbee on behalf of AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc., TCG South Florida and MediaOne Telecommunications, Inc. This testimony was previously filed on March 12, 2001 and is in the record in Phase II of this proceeding at Tr. pages 960 and 961.

Please acknowledge receipt of these documents by stamping the extra copy of this letter "filed" and returning the copy to me.

Thank you for your assistance with this filing.

Sincerely,

Martin P. McDonnell

OTH Cones MPM/rl **Enclosures** 

AUS CAF CMP

COM 生 CTR **ECR** GCL OPC

**MMS** SEC

cc: All Parties of Record

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02428 MAR-18

12.

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

## REFILE OF EXCERPTS OF DIRECT TESTIMONY OF GREGORY R. FOLLENSBEE

### ON BEHALF OF

# AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE SOUTHERN STATES, INC., TCG SOUTH FLORIDA, AND MEDIAONE FLORIDA TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

**DOCKET NO. 000075-TP** 

**MARCH 1, 2002** 

(PREVIOUSLY FILED MARCH 12, 2001)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02428 MAR-18

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		* * *
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		ISSUE 13: HOW SHOULD A "LOCAL CALLING AREA" BE
12		DEFINED FOR PURPOSES OF DETERMINING THE
13		APPLICABILITY OF RECIPROCAL COMPENSATION?
14		
15	Q.	HOW IS "LOCAL CALLING AREA" DEFINED IN AT&T'S
16		INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENTS?
17	A.	AT&T and BellSouth have agreed to define local calls as any calls that
18		originate and terminate within the LATA. Thus, the local calling area is
19		LATA-wide. AT&T will seek this same arrangement when it renegotiates its
20		agreements with Verizon and Sprint.
21		

I	Q.	SHOULD THIS DEFINITION BE THE SAME FOR ALL ALECS?
2	A.	No. Each ALEC should be free to establish whatever local calling area best
3		suits its plans for offering local service in the state. The Commission should
4		not mandate one definition for "local calling area" for purposes of
5		determining the applicability of reciprocal compensation.
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		* * *
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		