

1                                   **BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

2                                   **RE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY**

3                                   **OF**

4                                   **MICHAEL R. HUNSUCKER**

5  
6  
7       **Q.    Please state your name and business address.**

8  
9       **A.    My name is Michael R. Hunsucker. I am Director-**  
10       **Regulatory Policy, for Sprint Corporation. My business**  
11       **address is 6360 Sprint Parkway, Overland Park, Kansas**  
12       **66251.**

13  
14       **Q.    Are you the same Michael R. Hunsucker that filed**  
15       **direct and rebuttal testimony in Phase I of this**  
16       **proceeding?**

17  
18       **A.    Yes, I am.**

19  
20       **Q.    What is the purpose of your testimony?**

21  
22       **A.    The purpose of my testimony is to address, on behalf**  
23       **of Sprint, Supplemental Issues 10-17 of the**  
24       **Supplemental Issues List.**

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02433 MAR-1 02

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

Issue 13: How should a "local calling area" be defined, for purposes of determining the applicability of reciprocal compensation?

Q. How should a "local calling area" be defined, for purposes of determining the applicability of reciprocal compensation?

A. Pursuant to Paragraph 1035 of the FCC's First Report and Order, state commissions have the authority to determine what geographic areas should be considered "local areas" for the purpose of applying reciprocal compensation obligations for wireline carriers under section 251 (b) (5). Furthermore, Sprint believes that the ILEC's local calling scope, including mandatory EAS, should define the appropriate local calling scope for reciprocal compensation purposes for wireline carriers. The local calling scope of the ILEC, including mandatory EAS, establishes a logical boundary upon which reciprocal compensation can be determined and is both fair and practical because ILECs generally have well-established flat-rated local calling scopes, with tariffed access charges

1 applicable outside the local calling scope. It should  
2 be noted that this does not affect the ability of the  
3 ALEC to designate its own flat rated calling scope for  
4 its retail services provided to its end user  
5 customers.

6

7 **Q. Does that conclude your testimony?**

8

9 **A. Yes.**

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23