

BEFORE THE  
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 010743-TL

IN RE: PETITION FOR REVIEW  
OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN  
RELIEF FOR THE 407/321 AREA  
CODES BY NEUSTAR, INC., AS  
NORTH AMERICAN NUMBERING PLAN  
ADMINISTRATION (NANPA), ON  
BEHALF OF FLORIDA  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY.

ELECTRONIC VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE  
A CONVENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT  
THE OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING.  
THE .PDF VERSION INCLUDES PREFILED TESTIMONY.

PROCEEDINGS: VIERA (MELBOURNE) FLORIDA SERVICE HEARING  
BEFORE: COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON  
COMMISSIONER MICHAEL A. PALECKI  
DATE: Wednesday, February 20, 2002  
TIME: Commenced at 6:00 p.m.  
Concluded at 6:30 p.m.  
PLACE: Brevard County School Board  
2525 Judge Fran Jamieson Way  
Viera, Florida  
REPORTED BY: SHIRLEY L. ROBBINS, RPR  
King Reporting Service, Inc.  
14 Suntree Place, Suite 101  
Viera, Florida 32940

BUREAU OF REPORTING

RECEIVED 3-4-02

DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE

02467 MAR-4 02

FPSC-COMMISSIONER CI FRK

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

APPEARANCES:

J. Terry Deason  
Commissioner

Michael A. Palecki  
Commissioner

Lee Fordham  
Public Service Commission Staff Counsel

Bob Casey  
Public Service Commission Staff

Levent Ileri  
Public Service Commission Staff

Cheryl Bulecza-Banks  
Public Service Commission Staff

INDEX OF WITNESSES

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

NAME:

THOMAS FOLEY

Presentation 7

R. M. WEISS

Direct Statement 16

FRANK GUMMEY

Direct Statement 26

Certificate of Reporter 30

1 WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had:

2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Call the hearing  
3 to order. Counselor, could I have the notice  
4 read, please?

5 MR. FORDHAM: Pursuant to notice, this  
6 time and place has been set for the purpose of  
7 receiving public testimony in Florida Public  
8 Service Commission Docket #010743-TL, which was  
9 established pursuant to petition for review of  
10 proposed numbering plan relief for the 407/321  
11 area codes.

12 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you.  
13 State appearances.

14 MR. FORDHAM: Lee Fordham representing  
15 the Commission.

16 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Why don't --  
17 Staff, just introduce yourselves.

18 MR. CASEY: Bob Casey with Commission  
19 staff.

20 MR. ILERI: Levent Ileri with the  
21 Commission staff.

22 MS. BANKS: Cheryl Banks with the  
23 Commission staff.

24 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. Let  
25 me introduce myself. I'm right here speaking at

1 the microphone. My name is Terry Deason. I'm a  
2 member of the Public Service Commission and I'll  
3 be chairing the hearing this evening. And  
4 seated with me to my left is Commissioner  
5 Palecki, Michael Palecki.

6 COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Good afternoon.

7 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me take this  
8 opportunity to welcome everyone to our hearing  
9 this evening. I see some familiar faces.  
10 Welcome again.

11 We are here, as the notice indicates, to  
12 hear from the public concerning the need for  
13 area code relief in the 407/321 area. We will  
14 begin the hearing this evening by having a  
15 presentation by Mr. Thomas Foley, who will go  
16 over a little bit of the history and background  
17 concerning area codes and how area codes are  
18 declared to be in jeopardy and some of the  
19 relief measures that are taken to address those  
20 situations.

21 We're going to -- after that  
22 presentation, we're going to allow members of  
23 the public to come and to address the  
24 Commission. That is the purpose of the hearing  
25 is to get public input. This hearing is being

1 recorded by a court reporter, so for your  
2 comments to become part of the record, we will  
3 have to have you sworn in. It's just a  
4 formality.

5 When you entered the auditorium this  
6 evening, you should have received a special  
7 report printed on blue paper. This also  
8 provides background information concerning the  
9 issues we will be addressing this evening and  
10 later on in our technical hearing. The last  
11 page of this bulletin is designed to be detached  
12 and written comments submitted for those  
13 individuals who wish to avail themselves of  
14 that, as opposed to actually giving a formal  
15 statement here this evening.

16 I've also been asked to indicate that we  
17 have a staff person back in Tallahassee who is  
18 standing by to take calls from the public who  
19 have questions. His name is Dick Durben. He  
20 can be reached this evening at area code  
21 850-413-6121, or if you wish to wait and call  
22 him tomorrow during business hours he can be  
23 reached via an 800 number, and that number is  
24 1-800-342-3552.

25 Mr. Fordham, have I left anything out of

1 the preliminaries?

2 MR. FORDHAM: I think that covers it,  
3 Commissioner.

4 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Mr.  
5 Foley, you're up.

6 MR. FOLEY: Good evening. As  
7 Commissioner Deason indicated, my name is Tom  
8 Foley. And I apologize for my back being to you  
9 all. I'll try to speak to those people in the  
10 microphone. Just turn a little bit. Is that a  
11 little better?

12 Okay. We're addressing the 407/321 area  
13 code here in Florida, which is predicted to  
14 exhaust numbering resources. And I represent an  
15 entity called NANPA. It's the North American  
16 Numbering Plan Administration, and it's  
17 currently held in contract by Neustar.

18 In July '95, the FCC, Federal  
19 Communications Commission, did an important step  
20 in promoting competition. They adopted a model  
21 of a plan for the North American numbering  
22 plan. That's the way we assign numbers in the  
23 North American area. The FCC formed the North  
24 American Numbering Council in order to develop  
25 guidelines for number administration, how

1 they're assigned, how they're handled.

2 Lockheed Martin IMS was originally named  
3 as the numbering administrator by the FCC.  
4 However, in November of '99 the FCC approved the  
5 transfer of the operation from Lockheed Martin  
6 to its current holder, Neustar, and the  
7 transaction was finalized at the end of  
8 November. Lockheed Martin could no longer be  
9 the neutral administrator because they at the  
10 time had acquired some assets that were  
11 considered a communications company.

12 NANPA has several responsibilities when  
13 it comes to relief planning. A few of them are  
14 itemized here. The Numbering Plan Coordinator,  
15 myself, determines the need for and identifies  
16 the timing of any NPA or area code relief that's  
17 necessary. The Relief Planner takes the lead  
18 and prepares options -- and we'll see those  
19 options in a few minutes -- and we convene an  
20 industry meeting to determine and discuss the  
21 various relief alternatives, both those proposed  
22 by NANPA and those that the industry may  
23 propose.

24 At that meeting we facilitate a consensus  
25 on a particular single alternative which is then



1 recommended to the Florida Public Service  
2 Commission. We also file and -- prepare and  
3 file several documents with the Commission  
4 indicating the status of the industry meeting.

5 And, lastly, after we receive an order  
6 from the Public Service Commission indicating  
7 how relief is to be accomplished, we coordinate  
8 the initial industry implementation activities.

9 There are three basic types of NPA relief  
10 that are used in the country today. The first  
11 is a geographic split. That's probably the most  
12 familiar people have. That's where an existing  
13 area code is simply split into two halves and a  
14 new area code is assigned to one of the halves.

15 An overlay, which is what is in the  
16 Central Florida area right now, one or more  
17 NPA's actually serve the same geographic area.  
18 In Central Florida, in Orange, Osceola and  
19 Seminole Counties you have 407 and 321 serving  
20 the same area.

21 And the third method is a boundary  
22 realignment. This is not used much, however it  
23 has been used and a portion of an under-utilized  
24 area code is cut from its existing area code and  
25 placed into -- and the numbers are given to the

1       exhausting NPA. The problem with this is a lot  
2       of times you get numbering conflicts where  
3       people have to take telephone number changes if  
4       you did this.

5               And then, of course, there's also the  
6       all-familiar and popular combination of all of  
7       the above.

8               There are certain generally accepted  
9       attributes to an NPA split. Splits provide a  
10      single area code for each geographic area. This  
11      may minimize confusion for customers outside of  
12      the area. The implementation is generally  
13      understood. Splits require an area code change,  
14      however, for approximately half of the customers  
15      if you do a two way -- if you do a two way split  
16      and about two-thirds of the customers for a  
17      three way split. Geographic splits do permit  
18      seven digit dialing within the area code for  
19      local calls. Stationery, business cards,  
20      advertising, etc., that contain a ten digit  
21      phone number will need to be revised for those  
22      customers who are getting a new area code.  
23      Future geographic splits will reduce the size of  
24      the area code, or the geographic size, and it  
25      will continue to get smaller.

1           Likewise, with an overlay there are  
2 several attributes that are common. An overlay  
3 will have more than one area code in a  
4 geographic area. This is a newer concept that's  
5 being used more widely now, but it's still  
6 relatively new. An overlay will not require any  
7 existing customers to change their local phone  
8 number. It will require, however, for local  
9 calls to dial ten digits and, in some cases, one  
10 plus ten digits for all calls. There's no need  
11 to revise stationery, business cards, etc.,  
12 unless they only contain a seven digit number  
13 because you will now need to display the area  
14 code. An overlay will end further shrinkage of  
15 the geographic size of the area code because  
16 ultimately, usually, additional relief will be  
17 in the form of another overlay.

18           The current 407/321 area code includes  
19 Orange, Osceola, and Seminole Counties. It also  
20 includes a portion of Volusia County and a  
21 portion of Lake County. A fairly small portion.  
22 The current configuration was created when the  
23 321 area code was overlaid over the 407 NPA in  
24 1999. And that's the same time when 407 was  
25 split from Brevard County and left with the 321

1 in Brevard County.

2 The current projected exhaust date for  
3 the 407/321 NPA is the second quarter of 2004.  
4 Like I said, the current configuration was born  
5 on April 1999. The initial invitation to the  
6 planning meeting was issued to the industry on  
7 January 31, 2001, and the industry met in  
8 Orlando at a planning meeting on April 3rd to  
9 discuss these and other alternatives. And,  
10 ultimately, as a result of that meeting this  
11 filing was made with the Florida Public Service  
12 Commission to open this docket on May 15, 2001.

13 At this meeting, the relief -- there were  
14 three relief plans considered by the industry.  
15 The first one is an existing overlay just over  
16 the 407/321 area. It would give you another  
17 area code over Orange, Osceola, Seminole, part  
18 of Volusia and Lake Counties, the area that's  
19 existing with 407/321 right now. Ten digit  
20 local dialing will remain as they have right  
21 now, and current telephone customers will retain  
22 their phone numbers.

23 Brevard County alone is currently, at the  
24 time I prepared this slide, was currently  
25 projected to exhaust in the second quarter of

1 2005 and has since been revised to 2007 when its  
2 allotment of 381 codes is consumed. No activity  
3 in this plan is planned for -- in this  
4 alternative, no activity is planned for the  
5 Brevard County area. This number is net of the  
6 381 codes from the 321 area held out for Brevard  
7 County in the Florida Public Service Commission  
8 order.

9 And this is a map, basically, of what  
10 would happen. You can see that Orange, Osceola,  
11 and Seminole Counties would have 407/321 and a  
12 new NPA is yet to be announced, and Brevard  
13 County over here would maintain the existing 321  
14 only area code until it exhausts its allotment  
15 of 381 codes.

16 The second alternative would place an  
17 overlay over all of 407/321 and 321 in Brevard  
18 County. This alternative would place a new area  
19 code -- sorry about that. This alternative  
20 would place a new area code over the entire  
21 existing area including Brevard County. Ten  
22 digit dialing would have to be established in  
23 Brevard County to accommodate the overlay and at  
24 the exhaust of 407/321, any new NPA's would have  
25 to be assigned at that time as an overlay over

1 the entire area.

2 This would also align the exhaust dates  
3 of 407 and 321/407 area codes. However, the 407  
4 portion of the area code would not be  
5 re-extended back into Brevard County. And,  
6 again, a very similar map. Okay? And you can  
7 see that 407/321 and a new NPA in the Orange,  
8 Osceola, Seminole County area, and over here  
9 you're also showing the new area code in Brevard  
10 County also.

11 The third and final alternative was to  
12 freeze the 321 area code in Central Florida.  
13 Okay? Area "A" or the Orange, Osceola, and  
14 Seminole County portion would be frozen for 321  
15 assignments of codes, and the remaining codes  
16 would be held out for Brevard County.  
17 Projecting the life of the remaining area in  
18 Brevard County at that time would be impossible  
19 under this plan because it is dependent upon  
20 when the area code is actually implemented. And  
21 the new area code would only be over Orange,  
22 Osceola and Seminole, and all the remaining  
23 codes, the 321 area code prefixes, would be  
24 reserved for future use in Brevard County  
25 extending its life.

1           And, again, a real similar looking map.  
2           Area "A" would have 321 frozen, it would  
3           continue to have 407, and it would have a new  
4           NPA assigned to it, and Area "B" over here, 321,  
5           would be just 321 alone again.

6           At the industry meeting held in April,  
7           the participants reached consensus to recommend  
8           Alternative 3, the overlay, to the Florida  
9           Public Service Commission as the proposed relief  
10          plan for 407/321. The date the NPA 321 codes  
11          are to be frozen is the date the NPA relief plan  
12          is implemented. This recommendation was filed  
13          by NANPA on behalf of the industry with the  
14          Florida Public Service Commission on May 15,  
15          2001.

16                 If you have any questions, I'm  
17                 available.

18                         COMMISSIONER PALECKI: I have one  
19                         question.

20                         MR. FOLEY: Yes, sir?

21                         COMMISSIONER PALECKI: When we look at  
22                         Alternative 1 and Alternative 3, really the only  
23                         difference between the two is that we have many  
24                         more area code 321 numbers available for  
25                         Brevard --

1 MR. FOLEY: Correct.

2 COMMISSIONER PALECKI: -- under  
3 Alternative 3.

4 MR. FOLEY: Correct.

5 COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Thank you.

6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Fordham, do  
7 we have members of the public to testify?

8 MR. FORDHAM: Commissioner Deason, we  
9 have two names, Mr. Weiss and Mr. Gummey, and  
10 they, I believe, have their own preference as to  
11 which order they would prefer to proceed in, if  
12 they wish to testify again this evening.

13 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Weiss, come  
14 forward. Mr. Weiss, I just remind you that you  
15 testified earlier today and you were put under  
16 oath at that time and you continue to be under  
17 oath for this evening's hearing.

18 MR. WEISS: Yes, sir. Commissioner  
19 Deason, Commissioner Palecki, and Staff, thank  
20 you again for the opportunity to come before  
21 you. I really believe this will be the last  
22 one, based on what we said this morning, that  
23 I'll have the opportunity and enjoy the  
24 association with the Florida Public Service  
25 Commission, and it's done an awful lot for



1 Volusia County.

2 I guess what I would like to do in just a  
3 couple of moments is just summarize what we are  
4 asking for in Volusia County in the simplest  
5 terms to make sure that there is no  
6 misunderstanding what we are and are not asking  
7 for, and also just to give you a little bit of  
8 background, maybe to remind Commissioner Deason  
9 and maybe it's new information for Commissioner  
10 Palecki.

11 But Volusia County is rather unique in  
12 that in our southern portion of our county down  
13 around the City of Deltona, which we talked  
14 about this morning, we have two local exchange  
15 companies operating there, we have three or four  
16 wire centers serving just a very small group of  
17 customers, and right now three NPA's exist in  
18 that small area of Volusia County. In the City  
19 of Deltona alone, this situation exists; two  
20 local area exchange companies supporting four  
21 wire centers and three NPA's.

22 With the plans that are -- with the  
23 alternatives that are being looked at without  
24 the modification we're asking for, we believe  
25 the City of Deltona will then be -- they'll be a

1 fourth NPA potentially added to that little City  
2 of Deltona.

3 Historically, there's been a lot of  
4 boundary problems which are still there. We've  
5 had all kinds of problems in that little area  
6 because of the way the area has grown and where  
7 the latter boundaries were drawn. I realize  
8 that that's beyond the authority of the Florida  
9 Public Service Commission to deal with.

10 But because of this situation that we've  
11 had in south and southwestern Volusia County, I  
12 think the Commission has leaned forward, has  
13 listened very carefully to us over the twelve  
14 years that I've been coming to Tallahassee to  
15 see what we could do to relieve part of this  
16 problem. Took a major step in the relief of 904  
17 area code to get to that position where Volusia  
18 County would at least have a unique area code  
19 for Volusia County throughout all of its  
20 boundaries.

21 We came close, and the only thing we  
22 failed in doing was a little piece that is  
23 served out of the Sanford Bellsouth exchange  
24 called -- we refer to as the Osteen area.  
25 Something like a little over 3,000 customers

1 over there. And the reason that we did not get  
2 those included is because it would have  
3 required -- in the Commission's wisdom said the  
4 folks had to be balloted -- it would have  
5 required the folks there to not only change  
6 their area code but to give up their entire ten  
7 digit telephone number and to pick up an  
8 entirely new ten digit beginning with 386. That  
9 was balloted upon and it certainly failed.

10 What we're asking now though is  
11 consideration for a plan that seems to take the  
12 best of all worlds and put them together. We  
13 are looking at an area right now that -- in that  
14 little piece of -- again, to get the picture --  
15 about 90% of Volusia County that's in the 386  
16 area code. That little piece called Osteen is  
17 now 407/321. I believe we're catching it at a  
18 point at which no 321 NPA numbers have been  
19 issued yet, but it was clearly an overlay area  
20 and 321 can be issued at any time in that area.

21 What we're asking for instead of adding  
22 to that 407/321 still another XXX, if you will,  
23 a third area code, and a fourth one in the City  
24 of Deltona, in that little portion, we're asking  
25 that the overlay picture for that little piece

1 of Volusia County be changed to 407/386. Use  
2 numbers or an allocation of numbers from 386 to  
3 fill the overlay requirement for that particular  
4 area.

5 That gives us several benefits for  
6 Volusia County and it is a very, very popular  
7 plan with the same people that voted against  
8 changing the numbers about a year ago, because  
9 they -- no one has to change any numbers,  
10 there's no calling scope that's changing that  
11 we're asking for at this time, there are no  
12 dialing patterns that are being changed. Again,  
13 all we're asking is that we follow the normal  
14 overlay procedures that the Commission has used  
15 over and over and over again, or NANPA has used  
16 over again, but with the understanding that 386  
17 would be the overlay code for that particular  
18 area.

19 Offers the advantage then of -- when that  
20 is ordered by the Commission, folks who want to  
21 go to 386 in that area can, in fact, order 386  
22 numbers. And over the course of time, if 407  
23 exhausts, they would be issued 386. Again, not  
24 trying to force 386 on someone that doesn't want  
25 it, but there is a particular ground swell in

1 that area among the citizens over there, if they  
2 don't have to give up their old existing numbers  
3 to get the 386 like the rest of the ninety-plus  
4 percent of Volusia County.

5 There is some -- it was brought up  
6 earlier -- there is some objections, which  
7 are some testimony from the serving -- the local  
8 exchange company, Bellsouth, that this offers  
9 some problems, offers some precedents to be  
10 set. We have tried to respond to those as best  
11 as possible, as best as we know, in our rebuttal  
12 testimony of October 24th. I believe it should  
13 be. I'll not repeat all of that. But we've  
14 tried to respond to each of the points made by  
15 Bellsouth in our rebuttal testimony of October  
16 24th. For someone who is not in the business of  
17 being a public carrier, we think we've done a  
18 fairly acceptable job of doing that.

19 There seem to be two issues that stand  
20 out of that, in my layman's look at it, and that  
21 is some kind of special number pooling  
22 arrangement that you all would have to approve  
23 for Bellsouth for that area, and that seems to  
24 us to be administrative and it seems to be  
25 something we can get over, and the question of

1           whether or not you all have the authority to  
2           order such a plan.  And, again, I wouldn't touch  
3           that with a ten foot pole.  We would hope that  
4           you do, and we think that you do, from what we  
5           read.  But, again, those seem to be the issues.

6                        But, again, I think that what we're  
7           asking for is -- would bring a lot of joy in  
8           Volusia County.  We think it goes well with #3,  
9           Alternative #3, that Mr. Foley just briefed to  
10          you all in that it would save some more, free  
11          some more, 321 numbers from being used in  
12          Volusia County and push those down into Brevard  
13          as well, or wherever they're going to be used.  
14          It would work with any of your three  
15          alternatives.

16                       But, again, we would ask you and we thank  
17          you for your previous consideration to us.  If  
18          there are any questions about our dealings, I'll  
19          be glad to answer.

20                       COMMISSIONER DEASON:  Mr. Weiss, I'm  
21          just trying to understand.  You indicate now  
22          that for the area in question in southwest  
23          Volusia County, that there are presently three  
24          NPA's.  Those would be 386, 407, and 321?

25                       MR. WEISS:  Absolutely, sir.  Yes,

1 sir.

2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: But there are no  
3 321 numbers yet issued?

4 MR. WEISS: That is to my -- that is  
5 my belief.

6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is it a  
7 possibility --

8 MR. WEISS: It would have to be  
9 verified, sir, but I believe that's right.

10 COMMISSIONER DEASON: But the  
11 possibility exists those numbers could be --

12 MR. WEISS: Any day.

13 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any further?  
14 Ms. Banks?

15 MS. BULECZA-BANKS: Good evening.  
16 Just one clarifying question to make sure that I  
17 understood you correctly.

18 When you suggested implementing the 386  
19 overlay on top of 407, you mentioned something  
20 that customers would then have a choice to  
21 choose 407 or 386? So what you were envisioning  
22 is that when 386 would be overlaid, a customer  
23 could go and ask for one or the other?

24 MR. WEISS: Absolutely. Absolutely.  
25 That's the way I interpret the current -- yes.

1 Yes, ma'am, that's what I believe is possible.  
2 And certainly by attrition over a period of  
3 time, there's a lot of folks in that area that,  
4 despite the vote, not wanting to give up their  
5 old numbers, a lot of feeling for wanting 386 in  
6 that area. And there's no support for a 321 or  
7 an XXX because that further confuses that  
8 situation down there.

9 COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Mr. Weiss, you  
10 would still want the 386 overlay even if the  
11 customers didn't get to choose between 407 and  
12 386?

13 MR. WEISS: Yes, sir.

14 MS. BANKS: One more question.  
15 Currently if there are no 321's assigned, those  
16 customers in that area are dialing ten digits in  
17 the 386?

18 MR. WEISS: Yes, they are.

19 MS. BANKS: Okay. So if they're  
20 dialing anything outside, however within their  
21 area of 407 -- probably their neighbors all have  
22 407?

23 MR. WEISS: Everybody has 407, and by  
24 definition it's an overlay area, so they're all  
25 dialing ten.



1 MS. BANKS: To get into 386.

2 MR. WEISS: Pardon me?

3 MS. BANKS: To get into 386 mostly if  
4 they're --

5 MR. WEISS: Right.

6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is there any --  
7 I'm sorry. If you need to continue --

8 MR. WEISS: I was just going to answer  
9 that, you know, I know that there's -- certainly  
10 the technology is there to allow folks who did  
11 get 386 code, and certainly my hope would be  
12 down the road -- we're not asking for that  
13 tonight. We're not asking for any change  
14 procedures in that regard. But certainly the  
15 technology is there that if folks in that area  
16 were issued 386, it would seem to us that they  
17 could be back on a seven digit basis with the  
18 rest of the City of Deltona, because technology  
19 certainly would allow that. But, again, that  
20 would be -- I'm not sure about the legality of  
21 that. Certainly technology allows that.

22 COMMISSIONER PALECKI: And, once  
23 again, you would still want the 386 overlay  
24 whether or not it's a ten digit or seven digit?

25 MR. WEISS: That is true, sir.

1 Absolutely. Identification with the rest of  
2 Volusia County, yes, sir.

3 COMMISSIONER DEASON: You anticipated  
4 my question and answered it. Thank you.

5 Mr. Fordham, you may call your next  
6 witness.

7 MR. FORDHAM: That would be Frank  
8 GummeY.

9 MR. GUMMEY: Mr. Chairman, I'm Frank  
10 GummeY, Deputy County Attorney with Volusia  
11 County. If I could follow-up from Mr. Weiss, we  
12 are asking for nothing but a 386 overlay. How  
13 new numbers are assigned, how dialing patterns  
14 are established, rate structures, calling scope,  
15 we are asking for nothing other than what exists  
16 in practice and in regulation. All we ask for  
17 is 386 overlay. Simple.

18 We have appreciated the efforts of the  
19 Commission and its staff in working with Volusia  
20 County and the City of Deltona on these matters.  
21 We have made great strides with the 386  
22 implementation. We didn't quite solve all of  
23 the problem in southwest Volusia, but the 386  
24 overlay would get us about 95% of what we think  
25 is appropriate and beneficial for the FUN Coast,

1           which is where we got our 386 number. And we  
2           would appreciate your kind consideration of that  
3           matter.

4                        COMMISSIONER DEASON: I have a  
5           question. For a moment just disregarding the  
6           problem with southwest Volusia, the  
7           implementation of 386 in the rest of Volusia,  
8           has that been a smooth transition for the  
9           county?

10                      MR. GUMMEY: From everything I've  
11           seen, it went very well. There was a little  
12           business about cell phone numbers that I think  
13           was resolved well, and I have not heard  
14           complaints from the business community to any  
15           extent, and I know everybody seems to be able to  
16           get a hold of me.

17                      COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any further  
18           questions?

19                      COMMISSIONER PALECKI: I just wanted  
20           to thank Mr. Gummey and Mr. Weiss for attending  
21           both today in Orlando and here in Brevard, and  
22           wanted you to know that we have heard you very  
23           clearly.

24                      MR. GUMMEY: Thank you very much.

25                      COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Thank you for

1 coming.

2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Fordham, do  
3 we have any other witnesses?

4 MR. FORDHAM: There are no others  
5 listed on the sign-in sheet, Commissioner  
6 Deason.

7 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, let me  
8 take this opportunity to ask if there is anyone  
9 in the audience that wishes to make a statement,  
10 you're more than welcome to do so, and if you  
11 just raise your hand, we'd be happy for you to  
12 come forward.

13 Let the record reflect that there are no  
14 individuals who wish to testify. Let me say,  
15 just reiterate one more time, that if -- when  
16 you return home this evening and you think of  
17 something that you wish that you would have  
18 said, you can avail yourself of the last page of  
19 the handout, simply detach it, write your  
20 comments, fold it, and mail it to the  
21 Commission.

22 Is there anything else to come before the  
23 Commission this evening?

24 MR. FORDHAM: Nothing by counsel, Your  
25 Honor.

1                   COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, that being  
2                   the case, thank you for your attendance and your  
3                   participation, and this hearing is adjourned.  
4                   (Whereupon, this matter was concluded for this day  
5                   at 6:30.)

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF FLORIDA )

COUNTY OF BREVARD )

I, Shirley L. Robbins, Court Reporter, in and for the County of Brevard, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings except to the best of my ability.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, or attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.

WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL this 28th day of February, 2002, at Melbourne, Brevard County, Florida.

  
SHIRLEY L. ROBBINS  
COURT REPORTER



Shirley L Robbins  
MY COMMISSION # DD001469 EXPIRES  
March 19, 2005  
BONDED THRU TROY FAIN INSURANCE, INC.