

VOTE SHEET

MARCH 5, 2002

RE: Docket No. 011615-TP - Complaint of KMC Telecom III, Inc. for enforcement of interconnection agreement with Sprint-Florida, Incorporated. (Deferred from February 19, 2002 conference; revised recommendation filed.)

ISSUE 1: Should KMC's Request for Oral Argument on its Response to Sprint's Motion to Dismiss Complaint be granted?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The parties should be granted oral argument, because it may aid the Commission in its consideration of the complex issues to be addressed.

**APPROVED**

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Ludy Bradley*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
*[Signature]*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
*[Signature]*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
*Michael A. Pasch*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
*[Signature]*

\_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02556 MAR-5 2002

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

VOTE SHEET

MARCH 5, 2002

Docket No. 011615-TP - Complaint of KMC Telecom III, Inc. for enforcement of interconnection agreement with Sprint-Florida, Incorporated. (Deferred from February 19, 2002 conference; revised recommendation filed.)

(Continued from previous page)

ISSUE 2: Should the Commission grant Sprint's Motion to Dismiss?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The Commission should grant Sprint's Motion to Dismiss.

**NO VOTE**

*Parties are to begin negotiation process effective March 5, 2002*

ISSUE 3: Should this docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 2, the docket should be closed upon issuance of the order.

**DENIED**

*Docket is to remain open.*