

 Telephone:
 (850) 402-0510

 Fax:
 (850) 402-0522

 www.supratelecom.com

5

March 13, 2002

Mrs. Blanca Bayo, Director Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

## RE: Docket No. 001097-TP - Corrected Pages of Mr. Nilson's Rebuttal Testimony

Dear Mrs. Bayo:

Enclosed is the original and ten (10) copies of Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc.'s (Supra) Notice of Service of Corrected Pages to **replace pages 2, 4,** and 5 of Mr. Nilson's Rebuttal Testimony in the above-referenced docket.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return it to me, copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Brian Charken/aHS

Brian Chaiken General Counsel

DOCUMENT NI MEERIDATE Ü 2923 MAR 138 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 001097-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via Facsimile, Hand Delivery and/or U.S. Mail this 13th day of March, 2002 to the following:

Patty Christensen, Esq. Staff Counsel Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Nancy B. White, Esq./James Meza III, Esq. c/o Nancy H. Sims 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL. 32301 (850) 222-1201 (voice) (850) 222-8640 (fax)

J. Henry Walker, Esq. 1155 Peachtree Street, N.E. Suite 1700 Atlanta, GA 30309 (404) 249-2720 (voice) (404) 249-5664 (fax)

T. Michael Twomey, Esq./R. Douglas Lackey, Esq./E. Earl Edenfield Jr., Esq. Suite 4300, BellSouth Center 675 West Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30375 (404) 335-0710

> SUPRA TELECOMMUNICATIONS & INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC. 2620 S.W. 27<sup>th</sup> Avenue Miami, Florida 33133 Telephone: (305) 476-4248 Facsimile: (305) 443-9516

n Charken ATAS BRIAN CHAIKEN, ESO

KIRK DAHLKE, ESQ.

| 1  | <u>Introduction</u> |  |
|----|---------------------|--|
| 2  | Q                   | PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS   |
| 3  | A                   | My name is David A. Nilson. My address is 2620 SW 27 <sup>th</sup> Avenue, Miami, Florida 33133. |
| 4  |                     | 2  |
| 5  | Q                   | BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?   |
| 6  | A                   | I am the Chief Technology Officer of Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems,           |
| 7  |                     | Inc. ("Supra").  |
| 8  |                     |  |
| 9  | Q                   | ARE YOU THE SAME DAVID A. NILSON WHO FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY IN                                   |
| 10 |                     | THIS DOCKET?   |
| 11 | A                   | I am.  |
| 12 |                     |  |
| 13 | Q                   | WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?   |
| 14 | A                   | The purpose of my testimony is to address the issues identified in this proceeding. My           |
| 15 | tes                 | timony is filed in rebuttal to the direct testimonies filed in this proceeding by Mr. Patrick    |
| 16 | Fi                  | nlen and Mr. Claude Morton of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth").                  |
| 17 |                     |  |
| 18 |                     |  |
| 19 |                     |  |
| 20 |                     |  |
| 21 |                     | GENERAL ISSUES   |
| 22 |                     |  |
| 23 | Q                   | BELLSOUTH CLAIMS THAT SUPRA, PURSUANT TO THE PARTIES' 1997                                       |
| 24 |                     | RESALE AGREEMENT, STILL OWES BELLSOUTH MONIES PRIOR TO   |

•

| 1  | Q | DID SUPRA PAY BELLSOUTH IN FULL FOR ALL CHARGES THROUGH                                       |
|----|---|---|
| 2  |   | NOVEMBER, 1999?   |
| 3  | Α | Yes. In a letter December 16, 1999 (Supra Exhibit # DN-R6) and its attached Exhibit "F,"      |
| 4  |   | Supra presented proof that all of BellSouth's bills through November 1999 were paid in full,  |
| 5  |   | except for the disputed amount \$61,866.05 for improperly billed taxes under the 1997 resale  |
| 6  |   | agreement.  |
| 7  |   |   |
| 8  | Q | DID BELLSOUTH EVER AGREE THAT THESE MONIES HAD BEEN   |
| 9  |   | IMPROPERLY BILLED AND CREDIT OR REFUND SAME TO SUPRA?   |
| 10 | A | Yes, in stages. On February 10, 2000 (Supra Exhibit # DN-R1 ), Bellsouth's Debra Harris       |
| 11 |   | sent a letter indicating that BellSouth agreed to the dispute and that the sum of \$61,866.05 |
| 12 |   | would be credited to Supra.   |
| 13 |   |   |
| 14 |   | On March 11, 2000 Supra further disputed BellSouth's interest calculation on this amount of   |
| 15 |   | \$928.00 (Supra Exhibit # DN-R2, Supra Exhibit # DN-R3) and correctly calculated the          |
| 16 |   | owed interest at \$33,080.01.   |
| 17 |   |   |
| 18 |   | BellSouth continued to maintain it owed only the smaller amount in interest (BellSouth        |
| 19 |   | Exhibit PCF-11) in a letter to Supra dated March 30, 2000 from Mr. Morton. In that letter,    |
| 20 |   | BellSouth announced that while BellSouth disputed Supra's interest calculations, Supra had    |
| 21 |   | been credited \$62,794.05 (\$61,866.05 + \$928 interest) on Supra's March 2, 2000 bill, and   |
| 22 |   | \$32,151.01 on Supra's April 2, 2000 bill, leaving an outstanding balance of \$66,911.39 for  |
| 23 |   | services rendered under the parties' October 5, 1999 Interconnection Agreement.               |
| 24 |   |   |

•

| 1  |    | At the time that amount was in dispute (Supra Exhibit # DN-R4, Supra Exhibit # DN-R5),         |
|----|----|--|
| 2  |    | said dispute was not resolved until June 5, 2001. (Supra Exhibit DN-41)                        |
| 3  |    | ×  |
| 4  |    |  |
| 5  | Q  | BASED ON THE FOREGOING, IS IT POSSIBLE THAT SUPRA OWES   |
| 6  |    | BELLSOUTH ANYTHING UNDER EITHER THE 1997 RESALE AGREEMENTS?                                    |
| 7  | A  | No, it is not possible.  |
| 8  |    |  |
| 9  | Q  | BASED ON THE FOREGOING, IS IT POSSIBLE THAT BELLSOUTH OWES                                     |
| 10 |    | SUPRA ANYTHING UNDER EITHER THE 1997 RESALE OR   |
| 11 |    | INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENTS?  |
| 12 | A  | Yes, as I stated in my direct testimony, BellSouth refused to allow Supra to order, provision, |
| 13 |    | or enjoy service ordered as a UNE combination under the parties October 23, 1997               |
| 14 |    | Interconnection Agreement. Supplemental to that testimony, Mr. Finlen attaches evidence        |
| 15 |    | of Supra's efforts to order UNE combinations in his <b>Exhibit PCF-6</b> at paragraph 3.       |
| 16 |    |  |
| 17 | IS | SUE ONE: SHOULD THE RATES AND CHARGES CONTAINED (OR NOT  |
| 18 | C  | ONTAINED) IN THE 1997 AT&T/BELLSOUTH AGREEMENT APPLY TO THE                                    |
| 19 | Bl | ELLSOUTH BILLS AT ISSUE IN THIS DOCKET?  |
| 20 |    |  |
| 21 | R  | ebuttal of Mr. Morton's Testimony  |
| 22 |    |  |

•