BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Application for transfer of facilities of Steeplechase Utility Company, Inc., holder of Certificate Nos. 515-W and 447-S in Marion County, to Florida Water Services Corporation, holder of Certificate Nos. 373-W and 322-S, for cancellation of Certificates 515-W and 447-S, and for amendment of Certificates 373-W and 322-S. DOCKET NO. 010119-WS ORDER NO. PSC-02-0485-PAA-WS ISSUED: April 8, 2002

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

LILA A. JABER, Chairman J. TERRY DEASON BRAULIO L. BAEZ MICHAEL A. PALECKI RUDOLPH "RUDY" BRADLEY

ORDER APPROVING TRANSFER, CANCELLING CERTIFICATES NOS. 515-W AND 447-S, AND AMENDING CERTIFICATES NOS. 373-W AND 322-S AND NOTICE OF PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION ORDER ESTABLISHING RATE BASE FOR THE PURPOSE OF TRANSFER, DECLINING TO INCLUDE A POSITIVE ACQUISITION ADJUSTMENT, AND CONTINUING THE UTILITY'S EXISTING RATES AND CHARGES

BY THE COMMISSION:

NOTICE is hereby given by the Florida Public Service Commission that the action discussed herein establishing rate base for the purpose of transfer, declining to include a positive acquisition adjustment, and continuing the utility's existing rates and charges is preliminary in nature and will become final unless a person whose interests are substantially affected files a petition for a formal proceeding, pursuant to Rule 25-22.029, Florida Administrative Code.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

03902 APR-88

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

BACKGROUND

Steeplechase Utility Company, Inc. (Steeplechase or utility) is a Class C utility located within the St. Johns River Water Management District (SJRWMD) serving approximately 897 water in Marion County. customers and 636 wastewater customers Steeplechase is owned by the developer, Stonecrest of Marion County, Ltd. (Stonecrest, SOMC, or development). The development was in the permitting stage when the utility applied for an The utility was granted Water original certificate in 1989. Certificate No. 515-W and Wastewater Certificate No. 447-S by Order 21063, issued April 18, 1989, in Docket No. 890145-WS. No. Steeplechase's service territory was amended to include additional territory pursuant to Order No. PSC-97-1508-FOF-WS, issued November 26, 1997, in Docket No. 970897-WS. The utility's 2000 annual report indicates revenues of \$212,889 and \$120,597 and net operating losses of \$29,239 and \$96,135 for water and wastewater, respectively.

On January 29, 2001, Florida Water Services Corporation (Florida Water or buyer) submitted an application for transfer of the utility from Steeplechase to Florida Water. According to the application, on December 22, 2000, the utility, the developer, and the buyer entered into an agreement for purchase and sale of the utility and the land upon which the facilities were located. The closing on the transfer took place on December 29, 2000. The Commission has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to Section 367.071, Florida Statutes.

DECLINING TO INITIATE A SHOW CAUSE PROCEEDING

As part of our analysis of the utility's application, an audit was performed of the utility's books and records. Our staff's audit report was filed on June 21, 2001. The audit staff's review of the utility's rates and charges during the 12-month period ended December 31, 2000, indicated, and utility personnel confirmed, that the utility does not charge based on the tariffed gallonage cap for residential wastewater service. The utility's original rates and charges were approved pursuant to Order No. 21438, issued June 26, 1989, in Docket No. 890145-WS, which included a 10,000 gallon cap for residential wastewater service. However, the utility never implemented the 10,000 gallon cap, but instead, instituted a cap of

5,000 gallons. The utility's annual wastewater revenues are therefore understated because of the utility's decision to cap wastewater charges to customers at water consumption levels of 5,000 gallons rather than the tariff level of 10,000 gallons.

Additionally, audit staff noted that Recital No. 10 in the Agreement for Purchase and Sale, dated December 22, 2000, states that until June 1, 2003, Florida Water will not increase rates or service availability charges in any manner for any customer located in the territory served by Steeplechase prior to the date of closing or for any customer in a territory added to Florida Water certificates pursuant to this agreement.

A joint response to the staff audit was filed by Steeplechase and Florida Water on August 30, 2001. The respondents concur that Steeplechase has a tariffed gallonage cap of 10,000 gallons for wastewater, but applied a billing gallonage cap of 5,000 for wastewater charges to residential customers when the initial rates were established. The respondents further believe that it is in the best interest of the Steeplechase customers to maintain this cap as part of the overall agreement by Florida Water not to increase rates until June 1, 2003. No explanation was provided as to why the cap at 5,000 gallons was instituted or why the utility did not secure our approval for the change. Commission staff contacted counsel for Florida Water on December 17, 2001, and confirmed that Florida Water was continuing to charge the unauthorized gallonage cap instituted by Steeplechase.

We expressed a concern to Florida Water that customers will perceive the change to a 10,000 gallon cap in June 2003 as a rate increase. As a result, on February 28, 2002, Florida Water provided a proposed plan by which it would notify the Steeplechase customers of its intent to implement the 10,000 gallon cap. The plan includes a customer letter describing the reason for and impact of its changing the cap to 10,000 gallons per month. In addition, Florida Water proposed that, upon our approval for the transfer and plan, Florida Water would schedule a meeting to give the customers an opportunity to ask questions regard the planned change. A copy of the proposed customer notice is included as Attachment B, appended hereto.

Pursuant to Section 367.081(1), Florida Statutes, a utility may only charge rates and charges that have been approved by this In failing to charge Steeplechase's authorized Commission. wastewater rates, the utility and Florida Water are in apparent violation of the above-referenced statutory provision. Utilities are charged with the knowledge of our rules and statutes. Additionally, "[i]t is a common maxim, familiar to all minds that 'ignorance of the law' will not excuse any person, either civilly or criminally." <u>Barlow v. United States</u>, 32 U.S. 404, 411 (1833). Thus, any intentional act, such as the utility's failure to charge its approved rates and charges, would meet the standard for a "willful violation." In Order No. 24306, issued April 1, 1991, in Docket No. 890216-TL titled In Re: Investigation Into The Proper Application of Rule 25-14.003, Florida Administrative Code, Relating To Tax Savings Refund for 1988 and 1989 For GTE Florida, Inc., having found that the company had not intended to violate the rule, we nevertheless found it appropriate to order it to show cause why it should not be fined, stating that "'willful' implies an intent to do an act, and this is distinct from an intent to violate a statute or rule." Id. at 6. Section 367.161, Florida Statutes, authorizes us to assess a penalty of not more than \$5,000 for each offense, if a utility is found to have knowingly refused to comply with, or to have willfully violated any Commission rule, order, cr provision of Chapter 367, Florida Statutes.

While Steeplechase, and subsequently Florida Water's, failure to bill using the approved wastewater gallonage cap constitutes an apparent violation of Section 367.081(1), we do not find it appropriate to initiate show cause proceedings with respect to the prior owner or Florida Water. Rather, the utility shall impute the revenues that would have been generated if the tariffed gallonage cap had been billed for residential wastewater service through June 1, 2003, for the purpose of calculating its regulatory assessment fees. This will effectuate compliance with the utility's tariff, while allowing Florida Water to comply with its obligations under the purchase and sale agreement. By letter dated January 29, 2002, Florida Water agreed with the imputation and payment of regulatory assessment fees until, under the terms of the purchase agreement, the utility can charge the tariffed 10,000 gallon residential wastewater cap. Florida Water is hereby on notice that after June 1, 2003, the utility shall commence billing in accordance with its

tariff, and shall continue doing so until authorized to change by us in a subsequent proceeding.

Further, we find that Florida Water's proposed plan, including the customer notice and proposed meeting, is a reasonable solution to giving the customers notice of its intent to begin billing based on the 10,000 gallon cap in June, 2003.

APPROVING TRANSFER, CANCELLING CERTIFICATES NOS. 515-W AND 447-S, AND AMENDING CERTIFICATES NOS. 373-W and 322-S

As stated above, Florida Water applied for a transfer of Water Certificate No. 515-W and Wastewater Certificate No. 447-S in Marion County from Steeplechase on January 29, 2001. The application is in compliance with the governing statute, Section 367.071, Florida Statutes, and other pertinent statutes and administrative rules concerning an application for transfer. The maximum number of connections the water system can efficiently serve is 1,600 ERC's. For wastewater, the system can efficiently serve 750 ERC's. The application contains a check in the amount of \$3,000, which is the correct filing fee pursuant to Rule 25-30.020, Florida Administrative Code.

Section 367.071, Florida Statutes, requires that no utility shall sell, assign, or transfer its certificate of authorization, facilities, or any portion thereof, or majority organizational control without our prior approval unless such sale, assignment, or transfer is made contingent upon our approval. The closing on the transfer of the utility facilities and purchase of the land upon which the utility facilities are located took place on December 29, 2000. The purchase agreement included Section 20, Regulatory Approval and Repurchase Rights in the Agreement for Purchase and Sale, which provides for the repurchase of the utility from Florida Water in the event that we do not approve the joint transfer application. Therefore, the application is in compliance with the statute.

The application contains proof of compliance with the noticing provisions set forth in Rule 25-30.030, Florida Administrative Code. No objections to the application were received, and the time for the filing such objections has expired. A description of the territory served by the utility is appended to this Order as

Attachment A. The service area includes the original service area granted to the utility in Order No. 21063, and as amended in Order No. PSC-97-1508-FOF-WS, issued November 26, 1997, in Docket No. 970897-WS.

The application contains documentation to comply with Rules 25-30.037(2)(g), (h), (i), and (k), Florida Administrative Code, regarding terms of the sale and financing of the purchase. The application contains a copy of the agreement for purchase and sale which includes the sales price, terms of payment, and a list of the assets purchased and liabilities assumed of Steeplechase. The purchase price for the utility and land is \$1,500,000 plus an amount equal to the outstanding accounts receivable. The purchase price is based on an estimated market value of \$400,000 for land and an estimated market value of \$1,100,000 for the utility The agreement also provided for an easement for systems. Stonecrest to access and operate a wetwell and related facilities for golf course irrigation purposes. A condition precedent for each party prior to the closing was to enter into a Developer's Agreement to accommodate Stonecrest's future development requirements. Florida Water has filed the Developer's Agreement pursuant to Rule 25-30.550, Florida Administrative Code, and it appears to be consistent with Florida Water's standard development agreements and tariff. The sale was a cash transaction from funds provided by operations of Florida Water. Therefore, no outside financing was required for the transaction.

The application also contains a statement that, pursuant to Rule 25-30.037(2)(j), Florida Administrative Code, the transfer is in the public interest because Florida Water has the requisite technical and superior financial ability to own and operate the Steeplechase water and wastewater facilities. Florida Water has been regulated by us since 1964 and owns and operates water and wastewater facilities under our regulation in 21 counties throughout the state. Florida Water's application states that its financial ability is exemplified in its 2000 year-end capital structure of \$213 million, including \$101 million in equity capital and \$112 million in long-term debt. Additionally, Florida Water stated that it will fulfill the commitments, obligations, and representations of the seller with regard to utility matters.

Rule 25-30.037(2)(1), Florida Administrative Code, requires the buyer to submit a proposed net book value of the systems at the time of transfer. The application contained a proposed or estimated net book value as of December 29, 2000, of \$178,305 for water, and \$134,098 for wastewater for a combined total of \$312,403.

The application states that the buyer has performed a reasonable investigation of the utility system as required by Rule 25-30.037(3)(p), Florida Administrative Code. The buyer included a statement that the buyer has reviewed the overall condition of the water and wastewater treatment plants and facilities and found them to be in satisfactory condition. The buyer added that the systems seem to be in general compliance with the requirements of the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) and the St. John River Water Management District. Commission staff has contacted DEP and verified that there are no outstanding notices of violation.

The utility's water treatment facility is composed of two wells powered by 150-horsepower motors rated at 1,500 gallons per minute each. For reservoir capacity, there are two 20,000 gallon steel tanks. Liquid chlorination, used for disinfection, is the only form of treatment provided at this facility. The utility's wastewater treatment facility has a total permitted capacity of 150,000 gallons per day. It uses a secondary treatment, activated sludge process, and has three percolation ponds used for effluent disposal.

Rule 25-30.037(2)(q), Florida Administrative Code, requires the utility to provide proof of ownership or agreement for long term use of the land upon which its facilities are located. The application contains a warranty deed transferring the property upon which the utility treatment facilities are located from Stonecrest to Florida Water.

According to our records, the utility is current on its regulatory assessment fees through 2000, and has filed an annual report for 2000 and all prior years. Rule 25-30.037(2)(r), Florida Administrative Code, requires the application to contain a statement regarding the disposition of any outstanding regulatory assessment fees, fines, or refunds owed. Florida Water is

responsible for the 2001 and future regulatory assessment fees and annual reports.

Based on the above, we find that the transfer of facilities of Steeplechase to Florida Water, the cancellation of Certificates Nos. 515-W and 447-S, and the amendment of Certificates No. 373-W and 322-S is in the public interest and is therefore approved.

ESTABLISHING RATE BASE FOR THE PURPOSE OF TRANSFER

The audit was performed by examining, on a test basis, the utility's books and records since its original water and wastewater certificates were approved in Order No. 21063. According to the utility, the proposed rate base is \$90,535 for the water system and \$171,757 for the wastewater system as of the date of transfer.

Even though the closing took place on December 29, 2000, our audit staff, with the approval of the buyer and seller, used the period ending December 31, 2000, for reporting purposes. The audit report contained six audit exceptions concerning the utility's books and records. The buyer and seller filed a joint audit response. These audit exceptions, the utility's response, and our findings are discussed in detail below. The utility's proposed rate base and our approved adjustments are shown on Schedules Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 4, attached hereto.

Audit Exception No. 1. This exception was the audit opinion that water and wastewater Utility-Plant-in-Service (UPIS), Accumulated Depreciation, contributions-in-aid-of-construction (CIAC), and Accumulated Amortization of CIAC are understated. Steeplechase provides service to 19 subdivisions. The utility's books and records included the original cost associated with the water and wastewater infrastructure for the five subdivisions built from 1991 to 1994. The audit staff determined, and SOMC confirmed, that SOMC built and paid for the water and wastewater utility infrastructure in the 14 subdivisions developed during the period 1995 through 2000. The utility infrastructure built from 1995 to 2000 had not been recorded on the books of Steeplechase as of December 31, 2000. The entire utility infrastructure of the 14 subdivisions will be transferred to Florida Water with no expectation of payment.

The utility's rate base shall therefore be adjusted to record the developer-contributed property. The adjustments to record contributed water facilities of \$384,963 and the associated depreciation and amortization of \$29,267 are shown on Schedule 2. The adjustments to record contributed wastewater facilities of \$693,646 and the associated depreciation and amortization of \$80,212 are shown on Schedule 4.

Audit Exception No. 2. This exception was the audit opinion that water UPIS and Accumulated Depreciation are overstated by \$64,115 and \$7,693, respectively, because of misclassifications and lack of supporting documentation for certain additions. The specific audit exceptions include:

1. Water meter repairs of \$565 that were capitalized but should have been expensed and the associated accumulated depreciation of \$24 should be removed from rate base.

2. The capitalization of \$2700 to paint 36 fire hydrants as part of the utility's yearly maintenance program that should have been expensed and the associated accumulated depreciation of \$169 that should be removed from rate base.

3. Six plant additions recorded during 1995 through 1999, totaling \$29,090, that had no supporting documentation and, therefore, should be removed from rate base along with the associated accumulated depreciation of \$6683.

4. The \$31,760 cost of potable Well No. 3, which was still under construction and had not been placed in service as of December 31, 2000, should be recorded as Construction Work-in-Progress (CWIP) and the associated Accumulated Depreciation of \$817 should be removed from rate base.

The respondents concur with exceptions 1, 2, and 4; however, they disagree with exception 3. In their response to the audit, Steeplechase and Florida Water stated that we have allowed plant costs in the absence of support documentation in the form of past invoices. They further stated that we have previously accepted amounts recorded in the general ledger, the annual report, and on corporate tax returns as proof.

We have reviewed the utility's annual reports and tax returns for 1995 through 1999, the time period during which the unsupported plant additions were recorded on the utility's books. Our review indicates that the utility's annual reports and tax returns appear to include the unsupported plant additions. In addition, the tax returns do not reflect a write-off of any utility plant costs to cost of goods sold. The additions include meters, service pipes, and power equipment.

We find that no adjustment shall be made to remove the \$29,090 of plant additions and associated accumulated depreciation from rate base. However, the adjustments to reclassify the items that were capitalized but should have been expensed, the CWIP, and the associated accumulated depreciation shall be reflected in rate base. These adjustments are shown on schedule No. 2.

Audit Exception No. 3. This exception was the audit opinion that various assets were not transferred to Florida Water. Our audit staff determined, and Stonecrest confirmed, that \$6,336 in office furniture and equipment was not transferred to Florida Water. We find that these assets shall therefore be retired. The adjustments to retire \$2,269 of office furniture and equipment from the water rate base and the associated accumulated depreciation of \$1,065 are shown on Schedule 2. The adjustment to retire \$4,067 of office furniture and equipment from the wastewater rate base and the associated accumulated state and the associated accumulated to retire \$4,067 of office furniture and equipment from the wastewater rate base and the associated accumulated state accumulated to retire \$4,067 of office furniture and equipment from the wastewater rate base and the associated accumulated depreciation of \$1,491 are shown on Schedule 4.

Audit Exception No. 4. This exception was the audit opinion that various UPIS costs, including meters, treatment, and disposal, and structures and improvements were incorrectly recorded as Operating and Maintenance expenses. This resulted in water and wastewater UPIS being understated by \$12,108 and \$12,826, respectively. Additionally, the utility's water and wastewater accumulated depreciation are understated by \$371 and \$1,065, respectively.

We find that the utility's rate base shall therefore be adjusted to record the capital additions. The adjustments are shown on Schedules 2 and 4.

Audit Exception No. 5. This exception was the audit opinion that the value for the water and wastewater land as of December 31,

2000, should be \$9,102 and \$30,725, respectively. The audit recommendation is based on the National Association of Regulatory Commissioners' (NARUC) definition of original cost as the costs of such property to the person first devoting it to public service.

In Order No. 21438, issued June 26, 1989, in Docket No. 890145-WS, we recognized an annual long-term lease of \$2,229 and \$3,156 for the water and wastewater plant sites, respectively, between the developer and the newly certificated utility. The 832.508 acres of land were purchased for \$3,309,000 on February 1988 by Shultz Corporation. On February 13, 1989, the Shultz Corporation executed a Special Warranty Deed that transferred the property to Leisure Living for the Active Retiree Joint Venture (LLARJV), a related party. LLARJV recorded a platted subdivision that dedicated certain lands contained in the acreage as utility sites and easements on January 26, 1990. LLARJV was subsequently reorganized and succeeded out of bankruptcy by Stonecrest. Stonecrest and Steeplechase terminated the long-term lease and, simultaneous with the December 2000 closing, the developer executed a warranty deed that transferred approximately 2.29 acres of water plant land and 7.73 acres of wastewater plant land to Florida Water.

The respondents disagree with this exception. Included with their response was an appraisal report for the property, which determined an estimated market value of the properties to be \$45,000 per acre. The response requests that we assign a value based upon \$40,000 per acre for a land value of \$92,000 for the water plant and \$308,000 for the wastewater plant.

The response to the audit provides information as to how the buyer and seller arrived at the price per acre for the transaction, based upon today's market. However, based on the NARUC definition for original cost, the value of the land when first dedicated to public use in 1989 should be used. The land was first placed into public use when the utility was established and the 99-year lease entered into in 1989. The value of the land, based upon the purchase of the 832.508 acres for \$3,309,000, is \$3,974.74 per acre. Therefore, we find that land shall be included in the utility's rate base at \$9,102 and \$30,725 based on the original cost when first dedicated to public use. Schedules 2 and 4 contain the approved adjustments.

Audit Exception No. 6. This exception was the audit opinion that for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2000, Steeplechase incorrectly accounted for a total of 20 additions to CIAC. Specifically, wastewater CIAC additions were recorded to water CIAC and water CIAC additions were recorded to wastewater CIAC accounts. We find that adjustments shall therefore be made to reduce water CIAC \$7,900 and increase wastewater CIAC \$7,900.

Based on these adjustments, we find that, as of December 31, 2000, rate base for the Steeplechase system is \$115,815 for the water system and (\$139,747) for the wastewater system. The schedule of water rate base is shown on Schedule No. 1, with adjustments set forth on Schedule No. 2. The schedule of wastewater rate base is shown on Schedule No. 3, with adjustments set forth on Schedule No. 4. The rate base calculations are used solely to establish the net book value at the time the property is transferred. As such, the calculations do not include the normal ratemaking adjustments for working capital calculations and used and useful adjustments.

DECLINING TO INCLUDE A POSITIVE ACQUISITION ADJUSTMENT

An acquisition adjustment results when the purchase price differs from the rate base for transfer purposes. The acquisition adjustment resulting from the transfer of Steeplechase would be calculated as follows:

Purchase Price	\$1,500,000
Approved Rate Base	<u>(23,932)</u>
Positive Acquisition Adjustment	<u>\$1,523,932</u>

An acquisition adjustment was not requested by the buyer. The buyer stated that it considered the purchase price to be fair considering the number of customers, the monthly expenses, and the potential monthly income of the acquired utility. It should be noted that the purchase price of \$1,500,000 is based on an estimated fair market value of \$1,100,000 for the utility assets and \$400,000 for the land.

In the absence of extraordinary circumstances, it has been our practice that the purchase of a utility system at a premium or discount shall not affect the rate base calculation. Because the buyer stated in its application for transfer that it was not seeking an acquisition adjustment, and there are no extraordinary circumstances regarding this purchase that would justify an acquisition adjustment to rate base, an acquisition adjustment shall not be included in the calculation of rate base. Our action herein is consistent with previous decisions in this regard. See Order No. PSC-01-0425-PAA-WU, issued February 22, 2001, in Docket No. 001083-WU; Order No. PSC-01-1271-PAA-SU, issued June 6, 2001, in Docket No. 010382-SU; Order No. PSC-01-1655-PAA-WS, issued August 13, 2001, in Docket No. 000793-WS; and Order No. PSC-01-1917-PAA-WS, issued September 24, 2001, in Docket No. 001551-WS.

CONTINUING EXISTING RATES AND CHARGES

The current rates and charges have been in effect for the systems since they were originally certificated, except for periodic price index rate adjustments. We approved the current rates for water and wastewater service in a administrative price index proceeding effective June 12, 1998. The utility's approved rates and charges are as follows:

<u>Water Monthly</u> <u>Residential and</u>		
Residencial and	General	SELVICE
<u>Base Facility Charge</u> <u>Meter Sizes:</u>		
5/8" x 3/4"	\$	6.36
3/4"		9.55
1"	1	5.91
1 1/2"	3	1.81
2 "	5	0.89
3 "	10	1.78
4 "	15	9.03
6 "	31	8.06
8 "	50	8.90
<u>Gallonage Charge</u> Per 1,000 gallons	\$	0.77

Wastewater Monthly Service Rates Residential

Base Facility Charge Meter Sizes: 5/8" x 3/4" \$ 10.67

Gallonage Charge Per 1,000 Gallons Residential \$ 1.69 (Maximum charge of 10,000 gallons)

<u>General Service</u>

Base Facility Charge Meter Sizes: 5/8" x 3/4" \$ 10.67 3/4" 16.01 1" 26.68 1 1/2" 53.36 2 " 85.38 3 " 170.76 4 " 266.82 6" 533.64 8 " 853.82

<u>Gallonage Charge</u> General Service

1.69

Miscellaneous Service Charges

	<u>Water</u>	<u>Wastewater</u>
Initial Connection Normal Reconnection Violation Reconnection Premises Visit (in lieu	\$15.00 \$15.00 \$15.00	\$15.00 \$15.00 Actual Cost
of disconnection)	\$10.00	\$10.00

Service Availability Charges

<u>Water</u>

Meter Installation	Fee	
5/8" x 3/4"		\$75.00
1" and larger		Actual

System Capacity Charge Residential-per ERC (525 GPD) \$900.00 All others-per gallon 1.71

Wastewater

System Capacity Charge Residential-per ERC(263 GPD) \$1,175.00 All others-per gallon 4.47

At this time, the utility's wastewater treatment facility is not equipped to provide reuse quality effluent. In the purchase and sale agreement, the seller agrees to purchase reuse effluent from Florida Water in the event that it upgrades the treatment facility to become capable of producing reuse quality effluent. With respect to rates and charges reflecting reuse service, when it becomes available, the agreement states that there will be a charge of five cents (\$.05) per 1,000 gallons. As noted in the agreement, this charge is subject to our approval or other applicable regulatory authority. Although this is a part of the sales agreement, we are not approving or disapproving the agreed upon amount at this time. Since no information relating to the cost of providing reuse service was provided in this application, we were unable to properly analyze the rate. Before providing reuse service, the utility shall file a tariff for reuse. The appropriate rates for this service will be considered at that time.

Florida Water has not requested a change in the rates and charges of the utility. Accordingly, pursuant to Rule 25-9.044(1), Florida Administrative Code, the utility shall continue operations under the existing tariff and apply the approved rates and charges until authorized to change by this Commission in a subsequent proceeding. Florida Water has filed revised tariff pages incorporating the addition of Steeplechase in its existing tariff. The tariff filing shall be effective for services rendered or connections made on or after the stamped approval date. It is

noted that DEP is encouraging the utility to implement inverted rates. The utility must file an application for rate modification with this Commission prior to the implementation of any inverted rates.

If no timely protest is received to the proposed agency action issues, a Consummating Order shall be issued upon the expiration of the protest period. Should no timely protests be received, the docket shall be closed.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that a show cause proceeding shall not be initiated against Steeplechase Utility Company, Inc. nor Florida Water Services Corporation for apparent violation of Section 367.081(1), Florida Statutes. It is further

ORDERED that Florida Water Services Corporation shall impute the revenues that would have been generated if the tariffed gallonage cap had been billed for residential wastewater service. It is further

ORDERED that Florida Water Services Corporation shall pay its regulatory assessment fees based upon the imputed amount through June 1, 2003. It is further

ORDERED that Florida Water Services Corporation is hereby on notice that after June 1, 2003, the utility shall commence billing in accordance with its tariff, and shall continue doing so until authorized to change by this Commission in a subsequent proceeding. It is further

ORDERED that Florida Water Services Corporation shall provide notice and hold a customer meeting to give the customers notice of its intent to begin billing based on the 10,000 gallon cap in June 2003. It is further

ORDERED that the transfer of facilities of Steeplechase Utility Company, Inc. to Florida Water Services Corporation is approved. Accordingly, Certificates Nos. 373-W and 322-S shall be

amended and Certificates Nos. 515-W and 447-S shall be cancelled with an effective date of December 29, 2000. It is further

ORDERED that the attachments and all schedules, attached hereto, are incorporated herein by reference. It is further

ORDERED that rate base for the purpose of transfer is \$115,815 for the water system and (\$139,747) for the wastewater system, as of December 31, 2000. It is further

ORDERED that a positive acquisition adjustment shall not be included in the calculation of rate base for transfer purposes. It is further

ORDERED that Florida Water Services Corporation shall continue charging the rates and charges approved for Steeplechase Utility Company, Inc. until authorized to change by this Commission in a subsequent proceeding. It is further

ORDERED that the tariff pages reflecting the transfer shall be effective for services provided or connections made on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets. It is further

ORDERED that Florida Water Services Corporation shall file a tariff prior to providing reuse service. It is further

ORDERED that the provisions of this Order establishing rate base for the purpose of transfer, declining to include a positive acquisition adjustment and continuing the utility's existing returns and charges, which are preliminary in nature, shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order unless an appropriate petition, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code, is received by the Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on the date set forth in the "Notice of Further Proceedings" attached hereto. It is further

ORDERED that in the event this Order becomes final, this docket shall be closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this <u>8th</u> day of <u>April</u>, <u>2002</u>.

BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services

Kay Lee By: Kay Flynn,

Bureau of Records and Hearing Services

(SEAL)

JSB

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

As identified in the body of this order, our action discussed herein establishing rate base for the purpose of transfer, declining to include a positive acquisition adjustment, and continuing the utility's existing rates and charges is preliminary in nature. Any person whose substantial interests are affected by the action proposed by this order may file a petition for a formal

proceeding, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services, at 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on <u>April 29, 2002</u>. If such a petition is filed, mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing. In the absence of such a petition, this order shall become effective and final upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

Any objection or protest filed in this docket before the issuance date of this order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request: (1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or (2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water or wastewater utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

ATTACHMENT A

STEEPLECHASE UTILITY COMPANY, INC.

MARION COUNTY

WATER AND WASTEWATER SERVICE AREA

Township 17 South, Range 23 East

Section 25

The South 1,650.00 feet of the West 660.00 feet.

Section 26

The South 1683.00 feet of the East 412.50 feet.

Section 35

The East 1/2, less that portion lying South and West of U.S. Highway 27 and 441.

Section 36

All of Section 36, less the East 880.00 feet of the North 1980.00 feet of the Northwest 1/4, and less the East 264.00 feet of the North 594.00 feet of the Southeast 1/4 of the Northeast 1/4, and less all of that portion lying South and West of U.S. Highway 27 and 441.

Township 17 South, Range 24 East

<u>Section 31</u>

The South 3/4 of the Southwest 1/4 and the West 1/4 of the Southwest 1/4 of the Southeast 1/4.

Dear Stonecrest Customer,

When Florida Water Services acquired the Stonecrest utility from Steeplechase Utilities, we agreed not to raise rates (except for minor cost of living adjustments potentially once per year) until July 2003. We plan to keep that commitment.

We have discovered though that the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC) approved cap for residential wastewater in Stonecrest is 10,000 gallons per month, even though Steeplechase Utilities charged its customers and now we are charging a 5,000-gallon per month cap. That 10,000 gallon per month cap was approved in FPSC Docket No. 890145-WS, by Order No. 21438 issued June 26, 1989. Private utilities are required by law to charge the FPSC approved rates for service.

The Florida Public Service Commission and Florida Water have worked together to come to a solution to this problem. Florida Water will continue only charging up to the 5,000-gallon cap, however, we must show the 10,000 gallon cap on your bill. The difference shown will be the savings on that bill because of our continued application of the lower cap. This savings will continue until the July 2003 bill when we must begin applying the approved wastewater cap of 10,000 gallons. In July 2003 customers who use 5,000 gallons or less of potable water will have no effect in their bill. Customers who use between 5,000 and 10,000 gallons will pay additional gallonage charges according to their usage. A customer using over 10,000 gallons will pay the gallonage charges up to the 10,000-gallon cap. Please refer to the following examples for clarification.

	Wastewater Bills At 5k, 8k, 10k and 15k Usage Under 5k and 10k Cap					
		@5k		@8k	 @10k	 @15k
\$ Per incusand gallons:	\$	1.69		1.69	\$ 1.69	\$ 1.69
Wastewater base charge:	\$	10.67	\$	10.67	\$ 10.67	\$ 10.67
Gallonage charged at 5,000-gallon cap	\$	8.45	\$	8.45	\$ 8.45	\$ 8.45
5,000-gallon cap total wastewater bill	\$	19.12	\$	19.12	\$ 19.12	\$ 19.12
Gallonage that would be charged at 10,000-gallon cap	\$	8.45	\$	13.52	\$ 16.90	\$ 16.90
10,000-gallon cap total wastewater bill	\$	19.12	\$	24.19	\$ 27.57	\$ 27.57
Savings	s	-	\$	5.07	 8.45	 8.45

As can be seen from the above example, the maximum a wastewater bill can increase because of the 10,000-gallon cap is \$8.45 for those customers using 10,000 gallons of water or more per month.

Florida Water will be holding a customer meeting on _____ at ____ to answer any questions you may have regarding this change. Again, this change to the approved cap will take place in July of 2003.

DOCUMENT AS MORE IF ATE

2361 FEB 28 M

FPSO-Straigestolic OLERIK

If you have any questions concerning this notice, please call our Customer Care Specialists at (800) 432-4501 between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m. weekdays.

Written comments to the Commission regarding Florida Water, its rates or service may be directed to the following address: Division of Consumer Affairs, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850. Such correspondence should refer to the Steeplechase Transfer Application, FPSC Docket No. 010119-WS. You may also call the Commission's Division of Consumer Affairs at the following toll-free number: (800) 342-3552.

Sincerely,

Florida Water Services Corporation

,

.

-

SCHEDULE 1

-

STEEPLECHASE UTILITY COMPANY, INC. SCHEDULE OF WATER RATE BASE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2000

DESCRIPTION	BALANCE PER UTILITY	COMMISSION ADJUSTMENTS	BALANCE PER COMM.
Utility Plant in Servi	ce \$ 835,935	\$359,778 A	\$1,195,713
Land	0	9,102 B	9,102
Construction-Work-in- Progress (CWIP)	. 0	31,760 C	31,760
Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC	•	(337,063) D	(1,013,998)
Accumulated Depreciati	on (210,715)	(27,563) E	(238,278)
Amortization of CIAC	102,249	<u> 29,268</u> F	131,517
WATER RATE BASE	<u>\$ 90,535</u>	<u>\$25,280</u>	<u>\$115,815</u>

,

-

.

SCHEDULE 2

-

STEEPLECHASE UTILITY COMPANY, INC. SCHEDULE OF WATER RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS

А	<u>EXPLANATION</u> Utility Plant-in-Service	COMM. APPROVED <u>ADJUSTMENT</u>
1)	To record donated water facilities	\$ 384,963
2)	To correct misclassifications	(35,025)
3) 4)	To remove nontransferred assets To record unrecorded assets	(2,269) <u>12,108</u>
4)	TOTAL	359,778
в	Land	
1)	To record land at original cost	9,102
С	Construction Work-in-Progress	
1)	To record new well under construction	31,760
D	Contributions-in-Aid-of-Construction (CIAC)	
1)	To record donated water facilities	(384,963)
2)	To correct misrecorded assets	7,900
	TOTAL	(377,063)
Е	Accumulated Depreciation	
1)	To record depreciation on donated	
2)	water facilities To correct misclassifications	(29,267) 1,010
2) 3)	To remove nontransferred assets	1,010
4)	To correct unrecorded assets	(371)
- ,	TOTAL	(27,563)
F	Accumulated Amortization of CIAC	
1)	To record amortization on donated	
	water facilities	<u>29,267</u>
Tota	l Adjustments	<u>\$25,280</u>

.

-

-

STEEPLECHASE UTILITY COMPANY, INC. SCHEDULE OF WASTEWATER RATE BASE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2000

DESCRIPTION	BALANCE <u>PER UTILITY</u>	COMMISSION ADJUSTMENTS	BALANCE PER COMM.
Utility Plant in Service	\$ 698,417	\$ 702,405 A	\$1,400,822
Land	0	30,725 B	30,725
Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC)	(740,397)	(701,546) C	(1,441,943)
Accumulated Depreciation	(280,298)	(79,786) D	(360,084)
Amortization of CIAC	150,521	<u> 80,212 </u> E	230,733
WASTEWATER RATE BASE	<u>\$(171,757)</u>	<u>\$ 32,010</u>	<u>\$ (139,747)</u>

,

-

SCHEDULE 4

-

STEEPLECHASE UTILITY COMPANY, INC. SCHEDULE OF WASTEWATER RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS

.

• • • •

	EXPLANATION	COMM. APPROVED <u>ADJUSTMENT</u>
A 1) 2) 3)	Utility Plant-in-Service To record donated wastewater facilities To remove nontransferred assets To reclassify unrecorded assets TOTAL	\$ 693,646 (4,067) <u>12,826</u> 702,405
B 1)	Land To record land at original cost	30,725
C 1) 2)	Contributions-in-Aid-of-Construction (CIAC) To record donated wastewater facilities To reclassify misrecorded assets TOTAL	(693,646) _(7,900) (701,546)
D 1) 2) 3)	Accumulated Depreciation To record depreciation on donated wastewater facilities To remove nontransferred assets To record unrecorded assets TOTAL	(80,212) 1,491 <u>(1,065)</u> (79,786)
E 1)	Accumulated Amortization of CIAC To record amortization on donated wastewater facilities	80,212
TOTA	L ADJUSTMENT	\$ <u>32,010</u>