State of Florida



Hublic Service Commission -M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: April 18, 2002

TO: Mary Bane, Executive Director

FROM: Tim Devlin, Director, Division of Economic Regulation

Mary Anne Helton, Attorney Supervisor, Office of the General Counsel

RE: Item No. 16 on April 23, 2002, Agenda Conference - Clarification pertaining to Paragraph

13 of Stipulation and Settlement in Docket No. 000824-EI (Review of Florida Power Corporation's earnings, including effects of proposed acquisition of Florida Power

Corporation by Carolina Power and Light)

On April 12, 2002, Staff received an e-mail (see attached) from Charlie Beck, Office of Public Counsel, which clarifies the meaning of one portion of Paragraph 13 of the Stipulation and Settlement in Docket No. 000824-EI, scheduled for consideration by this Commission at its April 23, 2002, Agenda Conference (Item No. 16, Issue 1). Paragraph 13 of the Stipulation and Settlement reads, in part:

FPC will continue the implementation of its four-year Commitment to Excellence Reliability Plan, including its objective of a 20% improvement in FPC's System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI), measured on a calendar-year basis. FPC will provide a \$3 million refund to customers in the event SAIDI improvement is not achieved for calendar years 2004 and 2005.

In his e-mail, Mr. Beck clarifies that the proposed \$3 million refund to customers in the event that Florida Power Corporation (FPC) does not achieve its distribution reliability objective during those years applies separately to 2004 and 2005. FPC's objective is to achieve a 20 percent improvement (decrease) compared to its 2000 SAIDI in each of those years. Thus, if the objective were not achieved in 2004, FPC would refund \$3 million to customers in 2005; and if the objective were not achieved in 2005, FPC would refund \$3 million to customers in 2006.

Counsel for FPC, Jim McGee, agreed with Mr. Beck's clarification on April 12, 2002. Staff notified all parties of the clarification and the parties were given an opportunity to object to the clarification. No party responded by Staff's requested response date of April 17, 2002. Thus, Staff believes all parties are in agreement with this clarification as stated above and as expressed in the e-mail by the Office of Public Counsel.

TD/BM:kb

Attachment

AUS Chairman Jaber CAF Commissioner Deason CMP Commissioner Baez COM CTR Commissioner Palecki **ECR** Commissioner Bradley GCL Harold McLean OPC Blanca Bayo MMS SEC

John Slemkewicz Bill McNulty Todd Bohrmann David Wheeler Elisabeth Draper Cochran Keating

DOCUMPAT KUMBER- PATE

04356 APR 198

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Bill McNulty

From: Mary Anne Helton

Sent: Wednesday, April 17, 2002 3:16 PM

To: Tim Devlin; Joe Jenkins; Bill McNulty

Subject: FW: Docket 000824 settlement, paragraph 13

I never heard back from anyone, so I say we do a memo. Bill, were you going to draft it?

----Original Message-----From: Mary Anne Helton

Sent: Friday, April 12, 2002 2:30 PM

To: John McWhirter (E-mail); Vickie Gordon Kaufman (E-mail); Seann Frazier (E-mail); Tom Cloud (E-mail);

Chris Browder (E-mail); Michael Twomey (E-mail); 'lafacer@ghrlaw.com'

Cc: James A. McGee (E-mail); James A. McGee (E-mail 2); Charlie Beck (E-mail); Tim Devlin; Joe Jenkins; Bill

McNuity

Subject: FW: Docket 000824 settlement, paragraph 13

I will assume that all the other parties to the settlement agree. If you do not, please let me know by Wednesday, April 17, at noon. Thanks.

----Original Message-----

From: McGee, James A [mailto:James.McGee@pgnmail.com]

Sent: Friday, April 12, 2002 10:29 AM

To: 'BECK.CHARLES'; Mary Anne Helton (E-mail)

Cc: McGee, James A

Subject: RE: Docket 000824 settlement, paragraph 13

Mary Anne

While it pains me to admit it, Charlie is correct.

Jim

----Original Message----

From: BECK.CHARLES [mailto:BECK.CHARLES@leg.state.fl.us]

Sent: Friday, April 12, 2002 10:10 AM

To: Mary Anne Helton (E-mail)

Cc: Jim McGee (E-mail)

Subject: Docket 000824 settlement, paragraph 13

Mary Anne, I've read the staff recommendation and want to be sure staff understands that the \$3 million refunds connected with achievement of certain SAIDI measurements in 2004 and 2005 applies separately for each of the years. If FPC fails to achieve the SAIDI measurement in 2004, it would pay a \$3 million refund. The same test applies independently in 2005, so that if FPC met the test in 2004 but failed it in 2005, it would pay \$3 million for failing to achieve the measurement in 2005. If FPC failed to achieve the SAIDI measurement in both 2004 and 2005, it would pay \$3 million for each of the years, for a total of \$6 million.

Onanic		

Charlie

Charles J. Beck Deputy Public Counsel

Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street, room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Tel: 850-487-8240 Fax: 850-488-4491

E-mail: beck.charles@leg.state.fl.us