

STEEL HECTOR & DAVIS"

RECEIVED HPSO

02 APR 23 AM 9: 17

COMMISSION CLERK

Steel Hector & Davis LLP 200 South Biscayne Boulevard Miami. Florida 33131-2398 305,577,7000 305,577,7001 Fax www.steelhector.com

John T. Butler, P.A. 305.577.2939 ibuller steelhector.com

April 23, 2002

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399

Re: Docket Nos. 020262-EI and 020263-EI

Dear Ms. Bayó:

AUS

CAF CMP

COM CTR

ECR GCL OPC MMS

SEC OTH

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company are the original and seven (7) copies of Florida Power & Light Company's Response to Petitions for Leave To Intervene of South Pond Energy Park, LLC.

If there are any questions regarding this transmittal, please contact me at 305.577.2939.

Very truly yours,

John T. Butler, P.A.

Enclosures

04426 APR 23 B

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition of Florida Power & Light Company for a determination of need for a power plant proposed to be located in Martin County))))	Docket No. 020262-EI
In re: Petition of Florida Power & Light)	Docket No. 020263-EI
Company for a determination of need for)	Dated: April 23, 2002
a power plant proposed to be located)	_
in Manatee County)	
)	

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO PETITIONS FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE OF SOUTH POND ENERGY PARK, LLC

Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL") hereby responds as follows to the petitions for leave to intervene that South Pond Energy Park LLC ("South Pond") has filed in the above dockets. The grounds for FPL's response are as follows:

1. South Pond alleges that it timely submitted a bid in response to FPL's August 2001 Request for Proposals ("RFP"). Without accepting South Pond's characterization of the RFP process, FPL acknowledges that South Pond was indeed a bidder. Accordingly, FPL has no objection to South Pond's intervening in these dockets to participate in the evaluation of FPL's need-determination petitions consistent with Section 403.519, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.082, Florida Administrative Code. However, two aspects of South Pond's petitions to intervene raise concerns requiring comment.

- 2. South Pond's petitions to intervene allege "ultimate facts" that FPL strongly disputes. It will be South Pond's burden to prove these alleged "ultimate facts," which FPL is confident South Pond will be unable to do.
- The prayer for relief in South Pond's petitions to intervene goes well beyond requesting that South Pond be granted intervenor status. That request is covered by Paragraph 1, but the prayer for relief then goes on to ask that, "upon completion of appropriate proceedings," the Commission dismiss or deny FPL's need petitions (Paragraph 2), require FPL to conduct a new, Commission-supervised RFP process (Paragraph 3), and take whatever other actions are necessary to protect FPL's customers (Paragraph 4). While South Pond (unlike earlier prospective intervenors in these dockets) thus has at least recognized that the relief sought in Paragraphs 2-4 would be premature at this time, two of those paragraphs remain problematic. Paragraph 3 appears to seek relief (a Commission-supervised RFP process) that is not available to the Commission under the applicable statutes and rules, and Paragraph 4 is too open-ended to ascertain at this time whether, and to what extent, it seeks improper relief. At this time, the Commission should deny Paragraphs 3 and 4 of South Pond's prayer for relief.

WHEREFORE, FPL respectfully requests that, if the Commission grants South Pond

¹ FPL also strongly disagrees with the relief sought in Paragraph 2 (dismissal or denial of FPL's need petitions) but agrees that the Commission's decision on that relief must await the outcome of hearings in this docket. As noted above, FPL is confident that South Pond will be unable to prove the ultimate facts that are the predicate for this relief.

intervenor status in these dockets, the Commission deny Paragraphs 3 and 4 of South Pond's prayer for relief.

R. Wade Litchfield, Esq. Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard

Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420 Telephone: 561.691.7101

Respectfully submitted,

Steel Hector & Davis LLP 200 S. Biscayne Boulevard

Suite 4000

Miami, Florida 33131-2398 Telephone: 305.577.2939

Fla. Bar No. 283479

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket Nos. 020262-EI and 020263-EI

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy or courtesy copy (*) of Florida Power & Light Company's Response to Petitions for Leave to Intervene of South Pond Energy Park LLC was served by United States Mail this 23nd day of April, 2002, to the following:

Martha Carter Brown, Esq. Lawrence Harris, Esq. Legal Division Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esq.*
McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson,
Decker, Kaufman, Arnold & Steen, P.A.
117 South Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Michael G. Briggs*
Reliant Energy, Inc.
801 Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 620
Washington, DC 20004

D. Bruce May, Jr., Esq. Karen D. Walker, Esq. Holland & Knight LLP P.O. Drawer 810 Tallahassee, Florida 32302 Robert Scheffel Wright, Esq.* Diane K. Kiesling, Esq. John T. LaVia, III Landers & Parsons, P.A. 310 West College Avenue Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Suzanne Brownless, Esq.* Suzanne Brownless, P.A. 1311-B Paul Russell Road Suite 201 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Jon C. Moyle, Jr., Esq.*
Cathy M. Sellers, Esq.
Moyle Flanigan Katz Raymond & Sheehan, P.A.
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

By: John T. Butler, J.A.