VOTE SHEET

APRIL 23, 2002

RE: Docket No. 991890-WS - Investigation into ratemaking consideration of gain on sale from sales of facilities of Utilities, Inc. of Florida to the City of Maitland in Orange County and the City of Altamonte Springs in Seminole County. (Deferred from February 19, 2002 Commission Conference.)

<u>ISSUE 1</u>: Was a gain realized on the sale of UIF's Druid Isle water system and a portion of its Oakland Shores water system to the City of Maitland in Orange County?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: Yes. Staff believes a gain of \$61,669 was realized on the sale of UIF's Druid Isle water system and a portion of its Oakland Shores water system to the City of Maitland in Orange County.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY
Aug madles
Maulika
Hat the
J. Jem Lesso
Munhael A Palak.

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DISSENTING

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

04472 APR 238

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

20

VOTE SHEET APRIL 23, 2002 Docket No. 991890-WS - Investigation into ratemaking consideration of gain on sale from sales of facilities of Utilities, Inc. of Florida to the City of Maitland in Orange County and the City of Altamonte Springs in Seminole County. (Deferred from February 19, 2002 Commission Conference.)

(Continued from previous page)

<u>ISSUE 2</u>: Was a gain realized on the sale of UIF's Green Acres Campground water and wastewater facilities to the City of Altamonte Springs in Seminole County? <u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: Yes. Staff believes a gain of \$269,661 was realized on the sale of UIF's Green Acres Campground water and wastewater facilities to the City of Altamonte Springs in Seminole County.

APPROVED

<u>ISSUE 3</u>: Should the gains on the Maitland and Altamonte sales be shared with the remaining ratepayers of UIF?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: No. The remaining Orange and Seminole County UIF customers should not receive recovery of the realized gains from the Maitland or Altamonte sales.

APPROVED

<u>ISSUE 4</u>: Should this docket be closed? <u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: Yes. If no timely protest is filed by a substantially affected party, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.

APPROVED