Kimberly Caswell

Vice President and General Counsel, Southeast Legal Department

DISTRIBUTION CENTER
02 APR 29 AM 9: 33



FLTC0007 201 North Franklin Street (33602) Post Office Box 110 Tampa, Florida 33601-0110

Phone 813 483-2606 Fax 813 204-8870 kimberly.caswell@verizon.com

LUNINISSION CLERK

April 29, 2002

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 990649B-TP

Investigation into Pricing of Unbundled Network Elements (Sprint/Verizon track)

Dear Ms. Bayo:

At the request of Commission Staff, Verizon Florida Inc. is enclosing supplemental documentation for previously submitted Requests for Confidential Classification as follows:

- 1. Responses to Staff's Amended First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1, 2, 12, 14, 15, 20, 21, 54, 55, 66, 67) (original request filed November 7, 2001); 04646-02
- 2. Confidential Exhibit DBT-3 (Direct Testimony of Dennis B. Trimble) (original request filed November 7, 2001); のりんりつこ
- 3. Responses to Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories (No. 59) and Second Request for Production of Documents (Nos. 27 and 44) (original request filed January 16, 2002);
- 4. Responses to Staff's Third Request for Production of Documents (Nos. 49, 50, 53, 57, 58, 59) (original request filed January 25, 2002); 0 4 65 1-0 2
- 5. Responses to AT&T/MCI's First Set of Interrogatories (No. 43) and First Request for Production of Documents (Nos. 3,6,13,14) (original request filed Pebruary 27, 2002);
- 6. Responses to Staff's Fifth Set of Interrogatories (No. 108) (original request filed February 28, 2002); 04655-02

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo April 29, 2002 Page 2

- 7. Responses to Staff's Fifth Request for Production of Documents (Nos. 65 and 67) (original request filed March 6, 2002); 04657-02 04658-02
- 8. Confidential Exhibit DGT-5 (Surrebuttal Testimony of David G. Tucek) (original response filed March 18, 2002); and 54659-02
- 9. Responses to Staff's First Request for Production of Documents (No. 3) (original request filed December 21, 2001).

Highlighted copies of the confidential information are attached in sealed envelopes together with one redacted copy and a line-by-line justification (Exhibit-C).

If there are any questions regarding this filing, please contact me at 813-483-2617.

Sincerely,

ل Kimberly Caswell

KC:tas Enclosures

c: Jason Fudge, Staff Counsel (w/e)

ORIGINAL

990649B-TP

Exhibit C Staff's Amended First Set of Interrogatories Nos. 1, 2, 12, 14, 15, 20, 21, 54, 55, 66 and 67

Page/Int. No.	Line(s)/Column(s)	Reason
P. 2/Int. 1-2	L5-7 &18-20, Col. B	Competitive loss data which would allow competitors to design entry and marketing strategies to ensure success in competing with Verizon.
P. 5/Int. 12 P. 6/Int. 12 P. 24/Int. 54 P. 24/Int. 55	L16-22, Col. C & L27-28 L7-9, Col. C & L12-16 L8 L14	Outside plant specific data detailing breakdown of metallic and fiber facilities in VZ Florida's network. Disclosure would give competitors unfair advantage in designing their network and competing with Verizon.
P. 7/Int. 14 P. 7/Int. 15 P. 9/Int. 20 P. 9/Int. 21	L3-10 L21-23 L1-5 L17-19	Business plans for deployment of ADSL/HDSL, SONET and ATM technologies and deployment strategy for fiber cable in the feeder, interoffice and distribution portion of the network. Disclosure would give competitors unfair advantage by enabling them to know how best to define entry and marketing strategies to compete with Verizon.
P. 27/Int. 66 P. 28/Int. 66 P. 29/Int. 66 P. 30/Int. 67 P. 31/Int. 67 P. 32/Int. 67	L14-19 and L22-28 Columns A and B Columns A and B L5-16; L19-36, col. A-C All lines, col. A-C L1-28, col. A-C	Outside plant specific data identifying location of remote and concentrator locations in VZ Florida's network and projected in the network in VZ's cost model. Disclosure would give competitors unfair advantage in designing their network to compete with Verizon.

DOCUMENT HIMBER-LYLE

04645 APR 298

Verizon Florida Inc.'s Responses to Staff's Amended First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-99) Docket No. 990649B-TP Page 2

- territory. This information does not, however, include lines lost due to bypass and other technologies (e.g., wireless, cable, etc.), which are significant.
- The following information is confidential.
- 4 <u>Line Type</u> <u>Dec-00</u>
- 5 Resale Lines
- Unbundled Loops
- 7 Unbundled Platforms
- Provided Pro

Response

- Verizon cannot answer this question, as only the ALECs know how many lines
- they have captured in Verizon's exchanges. Below, Verizon is only able to provide
- information on number of resold lines, unbundled loops and platforms in its
- territory. This information does not, however, include lines lost due to bypass and
- other technologies (e.g., wireless, cable, etc.), which are significant.
- The following information is confidential.
 - Line Type Dec-00
- Resale Lines

17

- 19 Unbundled Loops
- 20 Unbundled Platforms
- 3. Explain in detail how Verizon factored into its proposed economic lives the threat of bypass by emerging technologies such as wireless local loop technologies.

23 Response

- As explained in the testimony of Verizon witness Sovereign, Verizon considers
- competitive risk, as well as technological developments, in determining
- depreciation inputs. Bypass, whether by wireless local loop, cable technology, or
- competitors' fiber and/or switches must all be considered when determining
- depreciation inputs. Mr. Sovereign lists ample evidence of competition for Verizon
- A9 Florida in his direct testimony.

Verizon Florida Inc.'s Responses to Staff's Amended-First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-99) Docket No. 990649B-TP Page 5

Broadband and Cox already offer local telephone service over its cable television lines in some parts of the country. Cable modems from competitors such as AT&T Broadband, Time Warner, and Cox offer direct competition to Verizon's DSL services.

11. When does Verizon plan to no longer add any metallic cable plant in Florida?

Response

5

4

24

25

24

27

- There are no plans to stop the deployment of metallic cable plant installations in Florida.
- Please provide a percentage breakdown as of 1/1/2000 of the metallic cable facilities between interoffice, feeder, and distribution. The response should also include a detailed explanation as to how this percentage breakdown was determined.

13 Response

The following information is confidential.

	A	В	C
15	A. Percentage figures:	_	U
16	• IOF	Metallic	
17	 Feeder 	Metallic	
18	 Distribution 	Metallic	
19	IOF	Fiber	
20	Feeder	Fiber	
21	 Distribution 	Fiber	
22	Total:		

23 B. Explanation of how percentage breakdown was determined:

- 1. A special study was conducted to identify metallic footage feeder and distribution in service on 1/1/2000. The source of this data was the ICGS Data Base.
 - Metallic IOF facilities in Verizon Florida is

Verizon Florida Inc.'s Responses to Staff's Amended First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-99) Docket No. 990649B-TP Page 6

2 2

3

4

5 4

7 8 9

10

- 2. A special study was conducted to identify the footage of Fiber Optic Cable which was in service on 1/1/2000. The source of this data was the ICGS Data Base.
- 3. Available fiber optic data does not differentiate between IOF, Feeder, and Distribution. This information was developed based on a three-year average of the major Program Category (PCAT) codes associated with work orders:

•	ΙΟ̈́F	(GX03)	% of fiber
•	Feeder	(GH00)	% of fiber
•	Access	(GH23)	% of fiber

By multiplying the Total Fiber Optic Footage from ICGS by these percentage figures, the footage associated with these categories was developed.

- 4. From the footages, relative percentages were developed.
- 19 13. Please list the services that cannot be provided over copper facilities.

19 Response

- Typically, DS3 (44.7Mb/s) and above services cannot be provided over copper. In addition, SONET type services cannot be provided over copper. But, there are other loop parameters (length, /gauge, etc.) that may require conditioning or
- repeaters to be installed to provide lower services (1.5Mb/s for example).
- 14. What are Verizon's plans for deploying ADSL or HDSL technologies?

25 Response

- Verizon Florida objects to this interrogatory because, to the extent responsive data exists, it is proprietary and highly confidential competitive information. Verizon Florida further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that planning information is irrelevant to selecting a cost model to determine the long run, forward-looking cost of providing unbundled network elements and is not otherwise relevant to any
- issue in this proceeding.

Verizon Florida Inc.'s Responses to Staff's Amended First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-99) Docket No. 990649B-TP Page 7

REDACTED

١ Notwithstanding the above objection, Verizon Florida responds as follows: 2 The following information is confidential. 3 ADSL Strategy: 4 5 4 7 8 **HDSL Strategy:** 9 J۵ 11 15. What are Verizon's plans for deploying SONET? Response 12 13 Verizon Florida objects to this interrogatory because, to the extent responsive data exists, it is proprietary and highly confidential competitive information. Verizon 14 Florida further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that planning information 15 is irrelevant to selecting a cost model to determine the long run, forward-looking م) ا cost of providing unbundled network elements and is not otherwise relevant to any 17 issue in this proceeding. 18 19 Notwithstanding the above objection, Verizon Florida responds as follows: 20 The following information is confidential. 21 22 23 24 16. How does Verizon determine when a feeder or distribution transmission facility 25 needs to be replaced? むた Response Verizon replaces these facilities when the facilities cause excessive troubles and 27 when an approved business case supports this replacement. 28

Verizon Florida Inc.'s Responses to Staff's Amended First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-99) Docket No. 990649B-TP Page 9

1234

5

8

4 21. What is Verizon's current deployment strategy for fiber cable in the feeder, interoffice, and distribution portions of the network?

Response

- Verizon objects to this interrogatory because, to the extent responsive data exists, it is proprietary and highly confidential competitive information. Verizon further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that planning information is irrelevant to selecting a cost model to determine the long run, forward-looking cost of providing unbundled network elements and is not otherwise relevant to any issue in this proceeding.
- Notwithstanding the above objection, Verizon Florida responds as follows:
- The following information is confidential.

17 18 19

- 29 22. (a) Provide an exhaustive list of all competitors Verizon benchmarked its asset lives against.
- (b) Of the competitors Verizon used for benchmarking purposes, identify those who are currently involved in bankruptcy proceedings.

24 Response

- (a) All competitors used as benchmarks are identified in the direct testimony of Verizon witness Sovereign.
- Mr. Sovereign has not completed an exhaustive survey on the financial status of the benchmarked competitors, although he is aware that both NorthPoint and Rhythms are involved in bankruptcy. Verizon notes that regardless of the financial status of a specific competitor, the competitor's assets have value

Verizon Florida Inc.'s Responses to Staff's Amended First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-99) Docket No. 990649B-TP Page 24

27

29 28

and cable.

! 2 3 4		The SS7 module contains investment for STP locations in Virginia, Indiana, and California. Verizon utilized each of these state's material loadings when developing investment for SS7 as these loadings are more representative of cost at these locales. See also the responses to Interrogatory Number 31 (d).
5	54.	What portion of the feeder portion of the Verizon Florida network is currently fiber?
4 7 8 9		Response The following information is confidential. of the feeder portion of the Verizon Florida network was on fiber as of 1/1/2000.
10	55.	What portion of the distribution portion of the Verizon Florida network is currently fiber?
12 13 円 15		Response The following information is confidential. of the distribution portion of the Verizon Florida network is on fiber. See the response to question 12.
14	56.	Does Verizon track or maintain data on the number of customers and the amount of revenue it has lost due to increased competition in Florida as a result of the passage of the Telecommunications Act of 1996? If so, how many customer and how much revenue did Verizon lose in the period 1996-2000?
20		Response
21 23 25 25		It would be impossible for Verizon to accurately track such information. For instance, Verizon does not know how many customers it would have gained, but didn't, because of competition. It also doesn't know the amount of revenue it could have received from any given customer lost to a competitor. As explained above, Verizon does maintain information on the number of resold lines, UNE loops and UNE-Ps; however, this data is reported on a line basis, not by customer, and

represents only a fraction of the total lost lines since it does not include the number

of lines lost due to network bypass and substitute technologies such as wireless

Docket No. 990649B-TP Page 27 1 density grids, the road feet adjustment factor is not applied. The plant mix inputs affect the placement costs of the cable as well as the quantity of poles, pull boxes. 2 3 manholes and conduit placed. 65. Is the network protected in Verizon's cost model a packet switched network or a 4 5 circuit switch-based network? 4 Response 7 ICM models a circuit switched network for voice services. ٩ 66. Identify all existing remotes and concentrators by exchange in Florida. 9 Response Verizon Florida has undertaken a special study to compile the current list of 10 remotes and concentrators in the Florida service area. 11 12 The following information is Company confidential. 13 The list of Central Office remotes, which currently operate as remote switches is: 14 15 14 17 19 19 The current list of concentrators by exchange is as follows. 20 Exchange **Concentrators** 21

Verizon Florida Inc.'s Responses to Staff's Amended First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-99)

Verizon Florida Inc.'s Responses to Staff's Amended First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-99) Docket No. 990649B-TP Page 28 A B

REDACTED

Venzon Florida Inc.'s Responses to Staff's Amended First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-99) Docket No. 990649B-TP Page 29

<u>A</u>

B

67. Identify all remotes and concentrators by exchange projected in the network in Verizon's cost model.

Verizon Florida Inc.'s Responses to Staff's Amended First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-99) Docket No. 990649B-TP Page 30

	Response			
2	The following information is Company confidential.			
3	The remote switches are the following wire centers:			
45078901123454	CLLI	NAME	Switch Type	
17 8 901234567 890123456	ICM-FL mode	els DLCs in each wire center 12 NAME	Number of DLCs	

Verizon Florida Inc.'s Responses to Staff's Amended First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-99) Docket No. 990649B-TP Page 31

<u>c</u>

Verizon Florida Inc.'s Responses to Staff's Amended First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-99) Docket No. 990649B-TP Page 32

<u>C</u>

29 68. Discuss the capacity considerations of SONET rings.

Response

- SONET rings are sized to accommodate the total interoffice traffic offered, both switched and non-switched.
- 83 69. Explain how the model would be modified if additional parties were to add to a structure. For example, if an additional party added cable to a pole how would the new structure sharing rates be determined.