

BEFORE THE
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
DOCKET NO. 020003-GU
DETERMINATION OF PURCHASED
GAS/COST RECOVERY FACTOR

Direct Testimony of
Marc L. Schneidermann
on Behalf of
Florida Public Utilities Company

- 1 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- 2 A. Marc L. Schneidermann, 401 South Dixie Highway,
3 West Palm Beach, FL 33402.
- 4 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- 5 A. I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company
6 (FPU) as the West Palm Beach Division Manager.
- 7 Q. How long have you been employed by FPU?
- 8 A. Since February 1989.
- 9 Q. Have you previously testified before this
10 Commission?
- 11 A. Yes, I testified in each of the Company's Purchased
12 Gas Cost Recovery Dockets dating back to Docket
13 Number 910003-GU, as well as Docket Numbers 940620-
14 GU and 900151-GU, the Company's last two (2)
15 filings for rate relief for its gas operations.
- 16 Q. What are the subject matters of your testimony in
17 this proceeding?
- 18 A. My testimony will relate to three (3) specific
19 matters: forecasts of gas sales, forecasts of the

DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE

10382 SEP 27 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1 pipeline charges and the forecast of commodity
2 costs of natural gas to be purchased by the
3 Company.

4 Q. What is the projection period for this filing?

5 A. The projection period starts on January 1 of next
6 year and ends on December 31 of the same year.

7 Q. Please generally describe how the forecasts of gas
8 sales were developed for the projection period.

9 A. Florida Public Utilities developed its gas sales
10 projections based on a study period beginning with
11 January 1997 and ending June of this year. The
12 Company compiled a database, sorted by rate
13 classifications, which consisted of the historical
14 monthly customer consumption and the historical
15 monthly customer counts experienced during the
16 study period. Detailed analyses were performed on
17 the database. From these data, projections of
18 customer counts were constructed by applying the
19 historical average monthly rates of customer growth
20 to this June's actual customer count. We set this
21 June as a pivot point to ensure consistency between
22 this filing and the Company's budget preparation
23 procedures. The historical average monthly
24 consumption per customer, by rate classification,

1 was computed as part of this study. The product of
2 the projected monthly customer count and historical
3 average monthly consumption, by rate
4 classification, yielded the Company's projection of
5 gas requirements. Adjustments were made by the
6 Company's Marketing Department for variations in
7 growth which were not adequately represented by
8 historical trends. Gas requirements for company
9 use were based on historical factors developed by
10 the Company's Accounting Department. These
11 projections were compiled and sorted to determine
12 the total projected sales to the traditional non-
13 transportation firm and the interruptible classes
14 of customers for the twelve-month period of this
15 filing.

16 Q. Please describe how the forecasts of pipeline
17 charges and commodity costs of gas were developed
18 for the projection period.

19 A. The purchases for the gas cost projection model
20 were based on using Marketing's projection of sales
21 to bundled and unbundled customers. Florida Gas
22 Transmission Company's (FGT) FTS-1, FTS-2, NNTS-1
23 and ITS-1 effective charges (including surcharges)
24 and fuel rates, at the time the projections were

1 made, were used for the entire projection period.
2 The expected cost of natural gas purchased by FPU
3 and delivered to FGT, for transportation to the
4 Company and for FGT's fuel use factor, during the
5 projection period was developed using the highest
6 monthly New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX)
7 natural gas futures closing prices for like months
8 since June 1992, which we then inflated due to the
9 pricing volatility. The forecasts of the commodity
10 cost of gas also takes into account the average
11 basis differential between the NYMEX projections
12 and historic cash markets as well as premiums and
13 discounts, by zone, for term gas supplies.

14 Q. Please describe how the forecasts of the weighted
15 average costs of gas were developed for the
16 projection period.

17 A. FPU's sales to traditional non-transportation firm
18 and interruptible customers were allocated all of
19 the monthly pipeline demand costs, less the cost of
20 capacity temporarily relinquished to pool managers
21 for the accounts of unbundled customers, and were
22 allocated all of the relevant projected pipeline
23 and supplier commodity costs. The sum of these
24 costs were divided by the projected sales level to

1 said customers resulting in the projected weighted
2 average cost of gas for traditional non-
3 transportation firm customers and interruptible
4 customers and ultimately the Purchased Gas Cost
5 Recovery Factor (PGCRF) shown on Schedule E-1.
6 Capacity shortfalls, if any, would be satisfied
7 with the most economic dispatch combination of
8 acquired capacity relinquished by another FGT
9 shipper and/or gas and capacity repackaged and
10 delivered by another FGT capacity holder.
11 Obviously, if other services become available and
12 it is more economic to dispatch supplies under
13 those services, the Company will utilize those
14 services as part of its portfolio.

15 Q. Does this conclude your prepared direct testimony?

16 A. Yes.