1	
2	BEFORE THE
3	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
4	
5	X
6	: In The Matter of : DOCKET NO. 020384-GU
7	: Petition by Tampa : Electric Company d/b/a :
8	Peoples Gas System. :
9	X
10	ELECTRONIC VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE A CONVENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT
11	THE OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING AND DO NOT INCLUDE PREFILED TESTIMONY.
12	AND DO NOT INCHODE PREPILED LESTIMONT.
13	PROCEEDINGS: TAMPA SERVICE HEARING
14	BEFORE: COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON COMMISSIONER MICHAEL A. PALECKI
15	COMMISSIONER RUDOLPH "RUDY" BRADLEY
16	DATE: Tuesday, September 24, 2002
17	TIME: Commenced at 12:00 p.m. Concluded at 1:00 p.m.
18	
19	PLACE: DoubleTree Tampa Westshore Lake Forest Ballroom 4500 West Cypress Street
20	Tampa, Florida
21	REPORTED BY: Donna W. Everhart, RMR Certified Shorthand Reporter
22	
23	Notary Public State of Florida at Large BUREAU OF REPORTING
24	RECEIVED 10-8-02
25	i have been to have been the first t

1 2

APPEARANCES:

ADRIENNE E. VINING, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399, appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

JACK SHREVE, Public Counsel, Office of the Public Counsel, Room 812, 111 W. Madison Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399, appearing on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

MATTHEW R. COSTA, TECO Energy, Inc., Post Office Box 111, Tampa, Florida 33601, appearing on behalf of Teco Energy.

ANSLEY WATSON, Macfarlane, Ferguson & McMullen, 2300 Park Tower, 400 North Tampa Street, Tampa, Florida 33602, appearing on behalf of TECO Energy.

ALSO PRESENT:

MICHAEL SPRINGER, FPSC Division of Economic Regulation.

1	<u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>D</u> <u>E</u> <u>X</u>	
2		PAGE NO.
3	OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. COSTA	8
4	OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. SHREVE	12
5	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	3 3
6		
7		
8	<pre>Name:</pre>	Page No.
9	WAYNE MORRIS Direct Statement	14
10	Direct Statement	T 4
11	EXHIBITS	
12	NUMBER DESCRIPTION	PAGE
13	1 Composite, Two Pages Rate Chg % Proposed	3 0
14	Current & Proposed Disparity of Rates	
15	or Races	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS

(Meeting convened at 12:00 p.m.)

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Call this hearing to order. Could I have the notice read, please.

MS. VINING: Pursuant to notice issued by the Clerk of the Florida Public Service Commission on August 9, 2002, a customer service hearing was called for Docket No. 020384-GU at this time and place. As stated in the notice, the purpose of this hearing shall be to take testimony from members of the public on the rates and service of Peoples Gas System.

The procedure at this hearing shall be as follows: The company will present a brief summary of its case, then the members of the public may present testimony. Members of the public who wish to present testimony are urged to appear promptly at each scheduled service hearing time, since the hearing may be adjourned early if no witnesses are present to testify. All witnesses shall be subject to cross-examination at the conclusion of their testimony.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. I'm having difficulty seeing over the podium. Let me stand up for just a moment. First of all, can

```
1
      everyone hear okay? I'm getting nods that everyone
 2
      can hear. I think we've been advised to try to get
 3
      the microphone fairly close. And probably for
      witnesses to come up, you probably want to get
      fairly close to the microphone. And we're
 5
 6
      competing with a little bit of noise, noise next
 7
      door. I think that may be starting to quiet down.
 8
                I want to take just a moment. First of
 9
      all, let me ask: Mr. Shreve, do you need to make
10
      an appearance? Do you want to make an appearance
11
      in this proceeding?
12
                MR. SHREVE: Jack Shreve, Public Counsel,
      representing the Citizens of the State of Florida
13
14
      in opposition to the rate increase.
15
                COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is there a
16
      representative here from Peoples Gas?
17
                MR. COSTA: Yes, sir. Matt Costa from
      Peoples Gas, 702 North Franklin Street, Tampa,
18
19
      Florida 33602.
20
                COMMISSIONER DEASON: Sir, how do you
      spell your last name?
21
22
                MR. COSTA: C-O-S-T-A.
23
                COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you.
24
                MR. COSTA: Thank you.
25
                COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm going to take
```

just a moment and kind of -- first of all, let me introduce myself. My name is Terry Deason, a member of the Florida Public Service Commission.

I'll be chairing the hearing today. Seated to my right is Commissioner Mike Palecki, and seated to my left is Commissioner Rudy Bradley. We will constitute the panel of commissioners which will be conducting this hearing today.

There are a number of hearings scheduled in this matter. And I believe if you will refer to the Special Report that is printed on blue paper, there is some valuable background information. I would recommend it to you. And it also has a schedule on the front page of other hearings which we have already conducted and future hearings which we will be conducting throughout the service territory involved for this particular proceeding.

I'd like to take this opportunity, first of all, to welcome you to this hearing, to let you know that we are interested in what you have to say about the service which is provided by this company and any comments that you have about the matter pending before the Commission. That is the purpose of this hearing is to give you an opportunity to present that information to the Commission.

Your testimony will become part of the record in this proceeding. For it to become part of the official record, it's necessary for you to take an oath and to provide what constitutes sworn testimony. This will become part of the official record of the proceeding.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have a court reporter here who is recording all that is said. And this information, as I said, will become part of the record. available for the Commissioners and our staff, the parties, and everyone involved, and it's also available for members of the public to review as well if you wish to avail yourself of that choice.

Mr. Shreve, the public counsel, has made an appearance. He is representing customers in opposition to the requested rate increase. He also will be sponsoring the customer testimony. He has a list of individuals.

When he calls your name, I would ask that you come forward to the podium, speak directly into the microphone. Begin by giving us your name and your address. And if you think that it would be helpful to the court reporter so it is recorded accurately, you may wish to spell your name. That's your choice as well.

1 We ask that you proceed with your 2 statement, and at the conclusion there may be some 3 clarifying questions, so wait for just a moment. Those questions may come either from the 4 5 Commissioners, from our staff, or from the company or from Mr. Shreve. 6 7 I believe we're going to hear a brief opening statement from the company, which will give 8 9 some background information and some explanation 10 from their point of view as to the necessity for 11 this case. And then Mr. Shreve will be given an 12 opportunity to make a brief -- a brief statement as well. 13 14 At the conclusion of those statements, I will swear in the witnesses, and Mr. Shreve will 15 16 then call names of individuals to come forward. 17 Have I excluded anything that we need to 18 review at this point? 19 MS. VINING: No. 2.0 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I think we've 21 covered everything. Very well. Once again, 22 welcome, and we anxiously await your comments. 2.3 Company, you may proceed with your

Thank you, sir. Peoples Gas

opening statement.

MR. COSTA:

24

25

System operates the largest retail natural gas distribution system in the state of Florida, serving approximately 273,000 customers.

2.

The company provides natural gas service to residential, commercial and industrial customers within the adjacent to -- within and adjacent to Miami, North Miami, Broward County, Palm Beach Gardens, Fort Myers, Naples, Avon Park, St. Petersburg, Tampa, Lakeland, Orlando, Eustis, Daytona Beach, Jacksonville, Sarasota, Bradenton, Ocala, and Panama City.

Peoples Gas System merged with and into Tampa Electric Company on June 16, 1997. It added the Ocala and Panama City areas to its system as of June 30, 1997, when the former West Florida Natural Gas Company was merged with and into Tampa Electric Company.

Since the mergers, Peoples' natural gas operations have been conducted as a separate operating division of Tampa Electric.

Peoples Gas was last granted permanent rate relief effective September 1992 and at that time was authorized a 9.75 percent overall return. Currently the company's achieved return is 7.81 percent, and it continues to deteriorate. Without

rate relief, the achieved return for the projected test year will decline further to 6.66 percent.

2.4

In the 10 years since Peoples last rate case, many factors have contributed to the necessity for the company to now seek rate relief.

The consumer price index during this period has increased more than 30 percent, which has not only required that the company pay more for the goods and services it purchases, but has also contributed to a steady increase in the level of the company's direct and indirect payroll costs.

Additionally, health care costs continue to escalate at a rate significantly higher than that of inflation. During this 10-year period there have also been major changes in accounting regulations as well as increases in various taxes, all of which have contributed to the increase in the cost to provide service to our customers.

In spite of increased costs, the company has been able to continue to expand its pipeline distribution system in order to make natural gas available as an energy choice to more customers.

Since its last rate case, the company, through growth and acquisition, has expanded its pipeline system from approximately 5,000 miles to

approximately 9,000 miles and has added more than 100,000 customers.

1.3

1.8

At the same time, the company has strived to improve the efficiency and economy of its operations without compromising the level of service rendered to its customers.

It is essential that the company be permitted to recover its cost of providing service and earn a fair and reasonable return in order to maintain its financial integrity to enable it to maintain and raise new capital as needed for public service.

Such financial integrity bears directly upon Peoples' ability to furnish service to its present and future customers, upon the cost of such service rendered and upon the continuity, efficiency, and extension of such service.

In short, Peoples' financial integrity depends upon whether or not the rates that it is allowed to charge are adequate under efficient management to produce earnings in an amount sufficient to reasonably compensate its investors for the use of their property by the public and to encourage them to make further investments in the business as needed.

In summary, Peoples Gas has made a concerted effort over the past ten years to maintain its current level of rates in the face of ever-increasing costs. However, it has reached the point where the company's rates must be increased so that it may continue to render efficient service to its customers.

In essence, the service rates currently in use by Peoples Gas are totally inadequate to permit it to cover operating costs and earn a reasonable rate of return.

In view of the current economic conditions, rates predicated on a rate of return of 9.29 percent should be approved so that the company may have an opportunity to cover operating costs and earn a fair and reasonable rate of return.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. Mr. Shreve.

MR. SHREVE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

This is a public hearing, so I'll be brief. We've already filed in this case. We're preparing testimony. And we plan to take issue with quite a few of the aspects of this case.

The one that I'd like to mention most of

1 all at this point, the company has already said the 2 forecast is a profit or a rate of return of 3 6.66 percent. That comes out approximately a profit or return on equity of approximately 4 5 6.72 percent. They're asking that that be raised 6 to 11.75 percent return on equity or profit. 7 In today's economy with the interest 8 rates and inflation the way it is, it's totally 9 uncalled for, and this burden should not be placed 10 on the customers. We plan to take issue with that 11 and several other points in the case. Look forward to hearing from you. Thank you very much. 12 13 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you, 14 Mr. Shreve. Staff, you don't have an opening 15 statement; correct? 16 MS. VINING: No. 17 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. At this point, I'm going to ask all members of the public 18 19 who wish to make a presentation to the Commission 20 to please stand and raise your right hand. 21 (Witnesses sworn collectively.) 22 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you very 23 much, sir. Mr. Shreve, you may call your witness. 24 MR. SHREVE: Mr. Morris.

25

THEREUPON,

WAYNE MORRIS

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS MORRIS: Well, first off, let me say thank you for giving me the opportunity to at least speak. I also must say, unfortunately, I'm a little disappointed that there aren't more people at a hearing like this.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Sir, could I have your name and address before you begin.

WITNESS MORRIS: Certainly. It's Wayne Morris, 9295 125th Avenue, Largo, Florida.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you.

WITNESS MORRIS: However, my first

remarks I still feel very comfortable stating. In

regards to the rate increase, I don't know that I

necessarily have any problem with the company

needing additional funds to recover its costs or,

for that matter, look at the additional cost of

supplying energy. My issue, though, would be one

of making sure that the Commission doesn't

necessarily approve an inappropriate or inequitable

amount of an increase.

One of the questions I would ask both the

company and the Commissioners here: Does anyone currently know what the disparity is between the rates that the largest customers pay and the average customer, such as myself or, for that matter, everyone in the room here?

2.4

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me say that it is a policy of the Commission and, of course, what happens in this case depends upon the evidence that we receive, and our decisions are made based upon that evidence.

But historically you look at past cases, and it's the policy of the Commission to look at the cost of providing service to different rate classes. And there are intricate and complicated cost of service studies provided conducted by engineers, accountants, and economists, and we look at that information.

And to the extent that one class of customers, costs more to serve those than it does other classes of customer, we try to take that into consideration. We also look at burdens on customers, impacts on conservation.

There's a whole myriad of things that go into the overall evaluation, but one of the primary drivers of that is the cost of providing service to

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

different classes of customers. And if Mr. Shreve or if the company wants to add anything in response to that, I'll certainly give them an opportunity to do that.

2.4

MR. SHREVE: I think that's essentially the way it will be handled by the Commission. We do, of course, have some argument about those costs that they're putting in.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Absolutely. There are different -- Mr. Shreve sometimes takes issue with the level of the cost and how those costs are allocated. And it goes -- goes into the evidence which we consider in trying to come up with an equitable distribution of their responsibility.

WITNESS MORRIS: Fair enough. Thank you, Commissioner Deason. I appreciate you letting me know that.

What I would like to share, though, is from the informational packet that was provided to all customers. This would have been in the most recent billing.

Some real quick calculations show that the average customer pays 210 percent more; to be exact, 210.37 percent more than the average large customer in the geographic region that the service

is provided.

Under the new rate plan, that increase is going to go to 273 percent. I don't know of anything else that I buy on a daily basis that has such a huge disparity. And they're putting the responsibility of that additional cost on the average consumer.

In fact, if you actually take a look at the own information -- excuse me, the information that was provided both in this packet and also in the blue handout. First off, I'd point out that the blue handout is inconsistent with the information that was provided in this packet.

So --

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you for pointing that out. And we will definitely have our staff make a comparison of what was -- what you're holding up is the insert which was included, the notice of this hearing which was included in your bill; is that correct?

WITNESS MORRIS: That's a true statement.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. We will look at that. In fact, if you don't mind, at the end of the hearing, if you could show that disparity to our staff, they will definitely want to follow up

on that and make sure we have accurate information being communicated to customers.

1.7

2.3

2.4

WITNESS MORRIS: Certainly. The example they use is on 20 therms. And the 20 therms line of information in the public notice hearing and the one in the blue sheets is inconsistent regardless of which section you use, the West Florida Division or the Nonwest Florida Division.

MR. SHREVE: Pardon me, Commissioner, if we could, I haven't seen this, and I don't know if the staff has. I'd like to see if the company could furnish us with copies of that information. And if it's all the same, we'll just need the one. If there are different parts of it sent out to different customer groups, we'd like to know that too, go ahead and get that.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes, I think that's correct. And also I think it probably would be helpful if there is a disparity, we need an explanation for that. If it's an error, it needs to be corrected, or if there's some way it can be explained, we need that explanation.

I would ask the company to also review that information and be prepared to explain that in the next series of hearings that we have. If there

is, in fact, a disparity, how we -- how that disparity was calculated, and if it's an error, what are the correct numbers.

2.3

It may be that we made an error. I'm not saying who's at fault. I don't know. It may be an error in our blue handout. It may be an error in yours. It may just be a situation where there were certain amounts included or excluded from one or the other. And we'll try to determine that. And hopefully we might can give you an answer before you leave today.

WITNESS MORRIS: That would be great. I also have two sheets of paper that I just kind of generated based upon the public notice hearing which I'd only be too happy to share.

Unfortunately, I didn't make copies for everyone.

As I say, I've never been to one of these hearings, so I didn't know what was the practice.

Anyhow, what I did very quickly was just chart what the percentage increase is for different levels of customers. It's my understanding that based upon the new rate increase, that there would actually be seven different types of customers; is that correct?

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MR. COSTA: I believe so.

WITNESS MORRIS: As part of that, the two customers that the majority of us would fall into are going to have the largest increase. Customers, residential customers are going to have an 18 percent, and then SGS customers, which is the next level class, would have almost a 22 percent; to be exact, 21.59.

1.7

2.2

. The largest customers on the far end actually have a decrease in their cost of being provided the same energy.

I actually happen to have the opportunity to pay my bill. Unfortunately, I think there's a lot of people in the state of Florida that are on fixed incomes and don't necessarily have the opportunity to be able to quickly absorb a rate increase such as the one that they're looking at.

And so I think that they're, once again, as I used earlier the term of equity or addressing the disparity here in terms of the increase is what needs to be looked at.

And unfortunately, I'm not necessarily the most knowledgeable person to be able to address this, but I spent probably about a half hour, 45 minutes looking at this on the day that I received it in my statement. And I would only ask that

everyone in this room spend the same amount of time at least evaluating this because it doesn't seem to be equitable.

2.3

Last thing in closing of my comments, using the example, and I'll put this in more concrete numbers, of a residential customer using 75 therms of energy, under the proposed new plan, that customer would receive a bill in theory, based upon the information in the public hearing notice, of \$120.45.

The largest customer for the same quantity of energy consumed would receive a bill of \$43.98. That's a 273 percent difference. That just doesn't seem to be equitable in the way I look at things.

If you have any questions, I'd only be too happy to answer them.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me ask a quick question. The comparison that you just gave, did that include what are referred to as customer charges, the up-front flat amount to be a customer that's part of the calculation?

WITNESS MORRIS: It's based upon the public hearing document that was supplied in the bill. So as far as to your point, Mr. Deason --

Commissioner Deason, I'm sorry -- earlier when you said that there's a lot of different variables that go into the calculation, I would only have to rely on the folks from the utility commission or the company to tell me what's necessarily in all the different line items that comprise a cost.

2.3

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. We'll try to make that determination and hopefully can give you the information you're seeking.

WITNESS MORRIS: Well, actually, I would hope that we'd supply it to the public.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I agree.

WITNESS MORRIS: And I wish more of them would have been here.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I agree. And that's why I have asked the company to make sure that if there is a disparity, that they're aware of it and that it is explained and, if necessary, if it is -- if there is something incorrect in the notice that they sent, you know, there is an obligation to make sure that accurate information is provided. But I'm not jumping to any conclusions. We'll just try to determine what the situation is.

WITNESS MORRIS: Fair enough.

MR. SHREVE: Mr. Chairman, not a question, but I believe you said you had two sheets that you didn't have copies of. Would you mind us taking those and putting them in the record here so we'd have access to them?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

WITNESS MORRIS: Oh, no. Not a problem. One is just a graphical representation of the current versus proposed disparity of rates across all of the different seven customer category types. And then one is actually showing the percentage of the change both up and down or relative to the different classes of service and the proposed rate change.

MR. SHREVE: Mr. Chairman, I'd ask that those be placed in the record.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: What I'm going to ask is, Mr. Shreve, if you don't mind, if you could get that information from the witness, we'll go ahead and identify it. And if you could then provide a copy to the court reporter. And then at a subsequent service hearing after everyone has had a chance to review it, I'll give you the opportunity to move that into the record.

> All right. MR. SHREVE:

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. WITNESS MORRIS: Thank you.

2.

MR. SHREVE: That's the only witness that signed up to speak.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Well, let me -- let me take this opportunity to ask: Is there anyone else who wishes to address the Commission? As you can tell, we're friendly, and there's nothing to be concerned about. We want to get information. That is the whole purpose of this hearing is to get information which will be useful to us as we evaluate this case.

So I would ask you to please come forward, just raise your hand, and this is your opportunity.

Let the record reflect that there are no other individuals from the public who wish to make a statement. Is there anything that -- before we conclude, is there -- let's do this. It's still -- it's still quite early. There may be some individuals who are planning to join us on their lunch hour, and maybe they are just delayed for a moment.

Let's stand in recess for 15 minutes to make sure if there is someone en route that we give them an opportunity to address us. So we will

stand in recess for 15 minutes, and then we will reconvene, and we will once again ask if there are witnesses to testify. So we're in recess at this point for 15 minutes.

(Brief recess from 12:25 p.m. to 12:45 p.m.)

COMMISSIONER DEASON: We're going to go back on the record. I think Commissioner Palecki will be joining us shortly. I think Commissioner Bradley has something he needs to say at this point.

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Yes. And really it's more in the form of a -- of a question just to clarify something was -- something that was said earlier by the gentleman who testified, and it relates to the information that's in the brochure.

It's not -- I was just wondering if

Peoples Gas could come and maybe give us an

explanation as to why there's a difference between

what's in these brochures and what's in this

particular printout as it relates to the PGA and

that is the cents per therm.

MR. WATSON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Could you identify yourself, please.

MR. WATSON: Yes. I'm Ansley Watson,

Jr., of the law firm of Macfarlane, Ferguson &

McMullen in Tampa appearing for Peoples Gas System.

1.4

2.0

2.3

We think that the discrepancy between the bill stuffer notices that went out that Mr. Morris had and the blue sheet prepared by the Commission staff for this hearing probably resides in the level of the PGA or purchased gas adjustment that was used for purposes of comparing the amount of the bill without the increase and the amount of the bill with the increase.

My understanding is -- and we'll verify all this -- is that the blue sheet that was distributed this morning at the hearing used a September 2002 PGA factor, while the bill stuffers distributed by the company with the customers' bills which were prepared earlier probably used the fuel factor for August.

The PGA factor changes sometimes every month. The Commission approves a cap that can be used by the company to recover its costs of purchased gas as simply a pass-through to the customer. And gas utilities such as Peoples are permitted by the Commission to flex downward or upward each month in order to more accurately make

an effort to recover their purchased gas costs.

In any event, we believe that is the difference between the two pieces, but we will --we will verify what the difference is and the discrepancy. If we've made a mistake, we'll identify what that is. We don't think we have.

If we identify the reason for the discrepancy, we will advise the Commissioners in writing along with Mr. Shreve's office, and we'll also copy Mr. Morris on that -- that explanation.

Mr. Watson. Any questions for Mr. Watson?

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Just one question just to make sure that I understand what you -- what you just said. I do, but I just want to make sure.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you,

So basically what you -- your explanation is that the purchased gas cost can vary from month-to-month based on the cost of doing business as it relates to Peoples purchasing gas from supplies.

MR. WATSON: That's correct. The mix of gas supplies. And, of course, this is all dealt with in the purchased gas adjustment docket and is not really an issue in this case, but for purposes

of letting a customer know how their bill might change as a result of this increase, it makes no sense to compare the service rates alone, which is what this proceeding is all about.

2.1

You need to look at the total amount of the bill that would include the per-therm base rate, the customer charge, and all the other add-ons, such as energy conservation, and the fuel cost, conservation and the fuel cost being a direct pass-through where the company earns no return, just to let the customer know what -- how many dollars his bill is likely to increase if the increase sought by the company is approved.

And, yes, the costs of purchased gas vary from month-to-month and even more so, Commissioner Bradley, the through-put of gas on the company's system can vary markedly not only from month-to-month but week-to-week and day-to-day and maybe even hour-to-hour depending on what the weather is and other factors that can influence how much customers buy.

All you can do is estimate that in advance for purposes of setting a factor to recover your cost. And if the consumption by customers is less, you will have recovered less of the cost than

1 you had projected would be recovered. If it's 2 more, you may have over-recovered those costs and 3 need to adjust what you charge in future months to 4 get closer to zeroing out your purchased gas cost 5 and your purchased gas cost recovery. 6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Shreve, do you have any -- I'm sorry, Commissioner Bradley, are 7 8 you concluded? 9 COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: I'm fine. 10 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. 11 Mr. Shreve, do you have any questions for 12 Mr. Watson? 1.3 MR. SHREVE: No. No questions, 14 Commissioner. We have furnished copies to everyone 15 of the documents of Mr. Morris, and we'd like to 16 have those moved into the record, please. 17 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. The company 18 has those; is that correct, those exhibits? 19 MR. WATSON: We have them. We're not 20 prepared to address them at this time, but, again, 21 we will address them. 22 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Can you address 23 those at the evening hearing whether they're --24 whether you're going to object to their -- I'm

going to go ahead and identify them for purposes of

25

the record. We can identify -- Mr. Shreve, is it one page or two pages of exhibits?

1.5

1.6

2.0

MR. SHREVE: Two separate pages.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is it all right to identify that as a composite exhibit?

MR. SHREVE: No problem.

commissioner deason: Okay. We'll identify that as Composite Exhibit 1. I believe it's the first identified exhibit in these proceedings. If that is not the case, we will correct the numbering, but I believe this is probably the first exhibit. We will identify it as Exhibit 1.

Mr. Watson, I would just ask that if you can review that exhibit and be prepared at tomorrow's hearing to -- I'll let Mr. Shreve renew his motion to have that admitted, and if there's an objection, you can state it. If there is no objection, well, then obviously it would be admitted into the record. If there is an objection, we'll hear the nature of the objection and then determine whether it goes into the record.

Let me -- at this point, let me ask: Are there any members of the public who have joined us who wish to make a statement to the Commission? If

you would just raise your hand, identify yourself, and we would give you that opportunity.

1.8

Let the record reflect that there are no other members of the public who have joined us to testify. I believe the notice states that we will hear all witnesses until they are exhausted. The list is exhausted. We also took a recess for other folks to come. I think no one else has joined us.

We appreciate, for those of you who did join us -- Mr. Morris; is that correct? We appreciate you coming and pointing some things out to us. We hope that we've clarified it.

If there's any additional information that comes to light in the matter which you brought forward, we will be communicating that with you. If there is an error that's got to be -- error that has to be corrected, we will communicate that to all customers.

I believe, though, that -- and we're going to confirm this -- it appears it's just a fluctuation in the PGA from month-to-month is the discrepancy which you identified.

With that, we will adjourn this hearing, and we will reconvene tomorrow in Orlando. Thank you-all.

```
(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at 1:00
 1
 2
       p.m.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	FLORIDA)
2	: <u>CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER</u>
3	COUNTY OF PINELLAS)
4	
5	I, Donna W. Everhart, CSR, RPR, CP, CM,
6	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the TAMPA SERVICE
7	HEARING in this cause, Docket No. 020384-GU, was heard by the Florida Public Service Commission at the time and place herein stated; it is further
8	CERTIFIED that I stenographically
9	reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision, and
10	that this transcript, consisting of 32 pages, inclusive, constitutes a true transcription of my
11	notes of said proceedings.
12	DATED THIS 2nd day of October, A.D.,
13	2002.
14	Monno (1) En no hourt
15	Donna W. Everhart, RMR Notary Public, State of Florida
16	Commission No.: DD 063529 My Commission Expires: 12/8/2005
17	My COMMISSION EXPITES: 12/6/2003
18	,
19	
2 0	
21	
2 2	
23	
2 4	
2.5	