

Kimberly Caswell

Vice President & General Counsel - Southeast Legal Department

32 001 14 81110

CCMMISSION CLERK

FLTC0007 201 North Franklin Street (33602) Post Office Box 110 Tampa, Florida 33601-0110

Phone 813 483-2606 Fax 813 204-8870 kimberly.caswell@verizon.com

October 14, 2002

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re:

Docket 990649B-TP

Investigation into Pricing of Unbundled Network Elements

(Sprint/Verizon track)

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Please find enclosed for filing an original and 15 copies of Verizon Florida Inc.'s Opposition to ALEC Coalition's Motion to Strike in the above matter. Service was made via electronic mail on October 11, 2002 to all parties of record. If there are any questions regarding this filing, please contact me at 813-483-2617.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Caswell

M. 6. 65-14/

KC:tas Enclosures

RECEIVED & FILED

EDSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

11094 OCT 148

FPSC-CummuSSION CLERK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Investigation into pricing of unbundled network elements (Sprint/Verizon track)

Docket No. 990649B-TP Filed: October 14, 2002

OPPOSITION OF VERIZON FLORIDA INC. TO ALEC COALITION'S MOTION TO STRIKE

Verizon Florida Inc. (Verizon) opposes the ALEC Coalition's October 11, 2002 Motion to Strike Verizon's letter addressing errors in calculations underlying Staff's September 25, 2002 recommendation in this docket.

Verizon's letter, filed with the Commission's Executive Director and all parties on October 9, 2002, pointed out four specific errors in calculations reflected in the recommendation.

First, Staff's common cost allocator does not reflect Staff's own revisions to Verizon's inputs for depreciation lives, cost of capital, material loading costs, and the administrative fill factor. All of Staff's changes necessarily decrease modeled investment relative to Verizon's cost model run with Verizon's inputs. The Staff's recalculation of the common cost allocator, however, reflects a modeled investment figure 60% *higher* than Verizon's own proposal.

Second, Staff's common cost allocator calculation was not adjusted for the shortfall in recovery that results when ICM-FL's calibration option is turned off. Staff disabled the calibration function in its model run. This action would have affected expenses, but not investment, which remains the same whether the calibration feature is on or off.

I 1094 OCT 148

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Third, Staff did not carry through its recommended input changes to its

calculation of the offset for use of integrated digital loop carrier technology in the UNE

platform.

Fourth, there are some minor discrepancies between the lives and salvage

values contained in Staff's recommendation and those in Staff's workpapers.

As Verizon pointed out in its letter, it does not agree with any of the Staff's

recommended changes to Verizon's inputs or its model run. However, Verizon did not

raise any of these policy disagreements in its letter. The letter was, instead, limited to

mistakes in calculations, taking the Staff's inputs as a given. The letter was not, as the

ALEC Coalition incorrectly calls it, "comments" on the Staff's recommendation.

By raising these mistakes before the Commission vote, Verizon had hoped to

avoid the inefficiency of having to correct them by motion after issuance of the order, in

the event the Commission accepts Staff's recommendations on particular issues. In

addition, Verizon filed and served the letter in accordance with the procedures outlined

by Staff counsel.

Verizon's letter addressing mistakes in the recommendation was proper and the

ALEC Coalition has offered no legitimate reason to strike it. Verizon thus asks the

Commission to deny the ALEC Coalition's Motion.

Respectfully submitted on October 14, 2002.

Bv:

Kimberly Caswell

P. O. Box 110, FLTC0007

Tampa, FL 33601

Telephone: (813) 483-2617

Attorney for Verizon Florida Inc.

2