LAW OFFICES OF

LESLIE J. PAUGH, P.A.

Leslie J. PAUGH lpaugh@paugh-law.com

OF COUNSEL RICHARD A. ZAMBO rzambo@paugh-law.com 2473 CARE DRIVE, SUITE 3 TALLAHASSEE, FL 32308

Telephone (850) 656-3411 Facsimile (850) 656-7040 Mailing Address: Post Office Box 16069 Tallahassee, Florida 32317-6069

October 14, 2002

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó, Director Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 020233-EI; Response in Opposition to GridFlorida Companies' Motion for Leave to File Amended Petition

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed for filing please find one (1) original and fifteen (15) copies of the Response in Opposition to GridFlorida Companies' Motion for Leave to File Amended Petition, submitted for filing in the above referenced docket. Please also find the enclosed diskette, containing an electronic version of the Filing in WordPerfect format.

Please acknowledge receipt of this document by time/date stamping the enclosed additional copy of the Petition, as indicated.

Very truly yours,

Leslie J. Paugh

LJP:trc

Enclosures:

Response in Opposition to GridFlorida Companies' Motion for Leave to File

Amended Petition; original and fifteen copies

Diskette

DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE

11117 OCT 148

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Review of GridFlorida Regional)	Docket No. 020233-EI
Transmission Organization Proposal)	Filed: October14, 2002
)	

RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO GRIDFLORIDA COMPANIES' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMENDED PETITION

Come now, Calpine Corporation and Mirant Americas Development, Inc. (collectively "Joint Respondents") and pursuant to Rule 28-106.204, Florida Administrative Code, hereby file their Response in Opposition to GridFlorida Companies' Motion for Leave to File Amended Petition and as grounds therefore state:

1. On October 7, 2002 Florida Power & Light Company, Florida Power Corporation and Tampa Electric Company (collectively "GridFlorida Companies") filed a Motion for Leave to File Amended Petition to remove the request for the seventh market design principle set forth in the Petition of the GridFlorida Companies Regarding Prudence of GridFlorida market Design Principles filed September 19, 2002 ("September 19 Petition"). The principle states:

A hierarchal control system, wherein existing control areas may be maintained, but GridFlorida would be responsible for the short-term reliability and overall performance of the system.

2. As grounds for its Motion for Leave to File Amended Petition, the GridFlorida Companies state, without elaboration or support, that the foregoing issue regarding the hierarchical control system was approved pursuant to Order Finding Proactive Formation of GridFlorida Prudent and Requiring

the Fining of a Modified GridFlorida Proposal¹, Order No. PSC-01-2489-FOF-EI, issued December 20, 2001 ("December 20 Order") and that there is thus no reason to relitigate the issue.

3. Joint Respondents oppose the request to amend the September 19 Petition on two grounds presented in the alternative: first, the issue of a hierarchical control system has not previously been litigated; and second, if the issue has been deemed to have been litigated, it has since been rendered moot and requires development in the present proceeding.

4. This issue of hierarchal control system has not been litigated. On page one of their Motion, the GridFlorida Companies state, without any corroboration or substantiation that: "[i]n the initial phase of this proceeding resulting in the issuance of Order No. PSC-01-2489-FOF-EI, the Commission approved the hierarchal control system referred to by the GridFlorida companies in their September 19 Petition." This allegation is specious. Even a cursory glance at the December 20 Order reveals that the issue of a hierarchal control system is not addressed by the Commission. Likewise, the proposed OATT is not mentioned in the December 20 Order. On the contrary, the December 20 Order resolves specific broad issues relating to prudence, scope, Commission jurisdiction, transfer of ownership of transmission assets, governance, facility demarcation points and market design. In its summary, the Commission states that: "...the parties should note that this Commission will not relitigate the *issues addressed in this order*." The issue of a hierarchical control system was not addressed in the December 20 Order. As such, the issue of a hierarchical control system has not previously been litigated and the GridFlorida Companies assertion to the contrary should be summarily dismissed as unfounded.

¹Docket Nos. 000824-EI, 001148-EI and 010577-EI

²December 20 Order, pg. 24. (emphases added)

- 5. The structure of the control system, whether unified or hierarchical, is an essential element of the functioning of any power market, the details of which must be developed in this proceeding. Assuming *arguendo*, that the issue of hierarchical control areas has been litigated by implied reference to the OATT, the replacement of the physical transmission rights model with a financial transmission rights/LMP-based model negates any implied approvals of the elements of that tariff that implemented the physical rights based model. The December 20 Order which the GridFlorida Companies claim addresses the issue was applied to a proposal that employed a Transco as opposed to the current ISO structure, a physical rights based congestion management system as opposed to the current proposal for a financial rights based congestion management approach, and a 'pay as bid' form of market settlement versus the proposed clearing price form of settlement. Any of these changes individually would warrant reconsideration of a hierarchical control system. Collectively, these changes demand such a review. The issue of control areas must be revisited due to the filing of the revised market design principles.
- 6. The foregoing paragraph establishes that any implied approvals of a hierarchical control system or the preservation of local control area operator dispatch authority have been rendered moot by the revised market design filing. In addition, the significance of the issue and confusion attendant to it apparent in the GridFlorida Companies' filings, requires that the issue be heard by the Commission in this phase of the proceeding. The GridFlorida Companies underscore the importance and undefined nature of the control area issue in the prefiled testimony of C. Martin Mennes, Lee G. Schuster and Greg Ramon, filed September 19, 2002 ("Prefiled Testimony").
- a. An example of the importance of the control area construct is found at page 8 of the Prefiled Testimony. The witnesses state:

Finally, in real-time GridFlorida will operate the system to resolve all deviations from the day-ahead market (*e.g.*, load in excess of the amount scheduled by an LSE to be served by self-schedule, bilateral purchase, or day-ahead spot market purchases) using least-cost, security constrained dispatch.³

For GridFlorida to 'resolve all deviations' it is axiomatic that the RTO will have exclusive control area authority and exclusively issue dispatch directions over the unified grid. Anything less would result in confusion in the operation of the system, risk reliability and provide opportunity for the GridFlorida companies to use local control area authorities to manipulate locational energy clearing prices.

b. In addition, the Prefiled Testimony underscores the importance of the RTO having the ability to reasonably plan the operating day - a function it may not be able to perform with dueling dispatch authority at a local control area level. The witnesses state:

Further, LSE's waiting until the last minute prior to real-time before obtaining resources can make it difficult for the RTO to reasonably plan the operating day unless a mechanism is developed to allow it to address such situations.⁴

c. Finally, the GridFlorida Companies confusion as to the manner in which dueling dispatch authority will be addressed is evident at page 11 of their Prefiled Testimony:

However, notwithstanding the availability of these options, there may be some LSEs that, rather than responsibly planning to meet their loads prior to real-time operations, would attempt to rely heavily (*i.e.* lean) on the real-time market for that purpose, or would attempt to obtain at the last possible moment the supplies necessary to serve their loads.⁵

It is apparent that the GridFlorida Companies envision that at least some LSEs will be able to get

³Prefiled Testimony, page 8, lines 16-19.

⁴Prefiled Testimony, page 12, lines 13-16.

⁵Prefiled Testimony, page 11, lines 17-22.

LSEs will have real time control area dispatch authority. This LSE-based authority is directly at odds with the notion that the system will be independently operated under the ISO structure previously ordered by the Commission and the RTO will 'operate the system to resolve all deviations' as stated elsewhere in the testimony.

In sum, the GridFlorida Companies have not stated a basis upon which the Commission can grant the requested relief to amend the September 19 Petition. On the contrary, the GridFlorida Companies have underscored the need for the Commission to scrutinize the issue in order to avoid the plethora of adverse impacts a hierarchical control system will have on the efficiency, reliability, and daily operational activities of the GridFlorida RTO.

Wherefore, Joint Respondents request the Florida Public Service Commission to DENY the GridFlorida Companies' Motion for Leave to File Amended Petition.

Respectfully submitted this 14th day of October, 2002.

Leslie J. Paugh

Leslie J. Paugh, P.A.

2473 Care Drive, Suite 3, 32308

Post Office Box 16069, 32317-6069

Tallahassee, Florida

Telephone: 850-656-3411

Telecopier: 850-656-7040

Florida Bar No. 0613568

lpaugh@paugh-law.com

Attorney for: Calpine Corporation and Mirant

Americas Development, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 020233

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by facsimile (*), electronic mail (**), and U.S. Mail to the following parties on this 14th day of, 2002.

Jennifer S. Brubaker, Esq.**
William Keating, Esq.
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Lee E. Barrett**
Duke Energy North America
5400 Westheimer Court
Houston, TX 77056-5310

Mark Sundback, Esq.**
Kenneth Wiseman, Esq.
Andrews & Kurth Law Firm
1701 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Suite 300
Washington, DC 20006

David L. Cruthirds, Esq. **
Dynegy, Inc.
1000 Louisiana Street
Suite 5800
Houston, TX 77002-5050

Lee L. Willis, Esq.**
James D. Beasley, Esq.
Ausley & McMullen Law Firm
227 South Calhoun Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Michelle Hershel**
Florida Electric Cooperatives
Association, Inc.
2916 Apalachee Parkway
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Thomas W. Kaslow **
Calpine Corporation
The Pilot House, 2nd Floor
Lewis Wharf
Boston, MA 02110

Bruce May, Esq. Holland & Knight Law Firm Bank of America 315 South Calhoun Street Tallahassee, FL 32302-0810

John W. McWhirter, Esq.** McWhirter Reeves 400 North Tampa Street Suite 2450 Tampa, FL 33601-3350

Frederick M Bryant** FMPA 2061-2 Delta Way Tallahassee, Fl 32303

Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esq. ** McWhirter Reeves 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Landers Law Firm**
Wright/LaVia
310 East College Avenue
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Michael B. Twomey, Esq.** P.O. Box 5256 Tallahassee, FL 32314-5256

Natalie B. Futch **
Bill Bryant, Jr.
Katz, Kutter
106 E. College Avenue
12th Floor
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Pete Koikos **
City of Tallahassee
100 West Virginia Street
Fifth Floor
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Ed Regan **
Gainesville Regional Utility Authority
P.O. Box 147117, Station A136
Gainesville, FL 32614-7117

Douglas John**
Matthew Rick
John & Hengerer
1200 17th Street, NW
Suite 600
Washington, DC 20036-3013

John Giddens **
Reedy Creek Improvement District
P O Box 10170
Lake Buena Vista, FL 32830

Ron LaFace/Seann M. Fraizer ** Greenberg, Traurig Law Firm 101 E. College Avenue Tallahassee, FL 32301 Richard Zambo, Esq. **
598 SW Hidden River Ave
Palm City FL 34990

Marchris Robinson *
Manager, State Government Affairs
Enron Corporation
1400 Smith Street
Houston, TX 77002-7361

Florida Retail Federation **
100 E. Jefferson Street, Suite 900
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Daniel Frank, Esq. ** Sutherland, Asbill & Brennan 1275 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20004-2415

Robert Miller **
Kissimmee Utility Authority
1701 West Carroll Street
Kissimmee, FL 32746

Paul Elwing **
Lakeland Electric
501 East Lemon Street
Lakeland, FL 33801-5079

Alan J. Statman **
General Counsel
Trans-Elect, Inc.
1200 G. Street, NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20005

Wade Litchfield *
Office of General Counsel
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Paul Lewis, Jr.**
Florida Power Corporation
106 E. College Avenue, Suite 800
Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740

Jack Shreve **
Office of Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 West Madison Street, Suite 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

James A. McGee, Esq. ** Florida Power Corporation P. O. Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042

Linda Quick **
South Florida Hospital
and Healthcare
6363 Taft Street
Hollywood, FL 33024

Kenneth Hoffman, Esq. **
Rutledge Law Firm
P. O. Box 551
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Lee Schmudde *
Walt Disney World Co.
1375 Lake Buena Drive
Fourth Floor North
Lake Buena Vista, FL 32830

Thomas J. Maida *
N. Wes Strickland
Foley & Lardner Law Firm
106 E. College Avenue, Suite 900
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Harry W. Long **
Angela Llewellyn
Tampa Electric Company
P. O. Box 111
Tampa, FL 33601

Michael Briggs **
Reliant Energy Power
Generation, Inc
801 Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 620
Washington, DC 20004

Timothy Woodbury **
Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc.
16313 North Dale Mabry Highway
Tampa, FL 33688-2000

William T. Miller, Esq. **
Miller, Balis & O'Neil, P.C.
1140 Nineteenth Street, NW,
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20036-6600

John T. Butler, Esq. Steel, Hector & Davis, LLP 200 South Biscayne Boulevard Suite 4000 Miami, FL 33131-2398

Beth Bradley **
Mirant Americas Development, Inc.
1155 Perimeter Center West
Atlanta, GA 30338-5416

Suzanne Brownless, Esq. **
1975 Buford Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32308

Steven H. McElhaney, Esq. 2448 Tommy's Turn Oviedo, FL 32766

David E. Goroff, Esq.
Peter K. Matt, Esq.
Bruder, Gentile & Marcoux, LLP
1100 New York Avenue, NW
Suite 510 East
Washington, DC 20005

Michael B. Wedner **
Assistant General Counsel
117 W. Duval Street, Suite 480
Jacksonville, FL 32202

Wayne A. Morris, Esq. **
Thomas E. Washburn
Orlando Utilities Commission
Post Office Box 3193
500 South Orange Avenue
Orlando, FL 32802

CPV Atlantic Ltd. c/o Moyle Law Firm 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Florida Municipal Power Agency (Orl) Robert C. Williams 8553 Commodity Circle Orlando, FL 32819-9002 Jon C. Moyle, Esq. **
The Perkins House
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Thomas A. Cloud, Esq. **
W. Christopher Browder, Esq.
Gray, Harris & Robinson, P.A.
P. O. Box 3068
Orlando, FL 32802-3068

William G. Walker *
Florida Power & Light Company
215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 810
Tallahassee, FL 32301

P. G. Para **
Director of Legislative Affairs
JEA
21 West Church Street
Jacksonville, FL 32202

Dick Basford **
Dick Basford & Associates, Inc.
5616 Fort Sumter Road
Jacksonville, FL 32210

Florida Industrial Power Users Group **
c/o McWhirter Law Firm
Vicki Kaufman/Joseph McGlothlin
117 South Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Cynthia Bogorad/D Pomper/J Schwarz**
Spiegel & McDiarmid
1350 New York Ave., NW
Washington DC 20005-4798

Dr. Marc C Bruner **
Solid Waste Authority
7501 North Jog Road
West Palm Beach, FL 33412

Russell S Kent* Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP 2282 Killearn Center Blvd Tallahassee, FL 32308-3561

Florida Industrial Cogeneration Assoc. C/o Richard Zambo, Esq. 598 SE Hidden River Ave Palm City, FL 34990 Melissa Lavinson**
PG & E National Energy Group Co.
7500 Old Georgetown Road
Bethesda, MD 208145-4798

Florida Phosphate Council 1435 East Piedmont Drive Suite 211 Tallahassee, FL 32308

Leslie I. Panoh