VOTE SHEET

NOVEMBER 3, 2003

RE: Docket No: 020408-SU - Application for rate increase in Seminole County by Alafaya Utilities, Inc.

ISSUE 1: Should the security to guarantee the approved interim rates be increased, and if so, what is the appropriate guarantee amount? RECOMMENDATION: Yes. Continuing the corporate undertaking is acceptable contingent upon receipt of the written guarantee of the parent company, Utilities, Inc. (UI), and written confirmation of UI's continued attestation that it does not have any outstanding guarantees on behalf of UI-owned utilities in other states. This includes staff's recommended total amount subject to refund in this docket of \$46,637, which is an increase of \$15,580 from the previous security balance. UI should be required to file a corporate undertaking on behalf of its subsidiaries to guarantee any potential refunds of revenues collected under interim conditions. UI's total guarantee should be a cumulative amount of \$581,960. Pursuant to Rule 25-30.360(6), Florida Administrative Code, the utility should continue to provide a report by the 20th of each month indicating the monthly and total revenue collected subject to refund. Should a refund be required, the refund should be with interest and undertaken in accordance with Rule 25-30.360, Florida Administrative Code.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

	COMMISSIONERS	SIGNATURES	
MAJORITY WAS			DISSENTING
(haulto 22			
Glat flu	}		
J. Jenny Leas	77		
Mily Frank	la,		
REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMEN	TTS:		

DOCUMENT AT MREAT DATE

VOTE SHEET

NOVEMBER 3, 2003

Docket No. 020408-SU - Application for rate increase in Seminole County by Alafaya Utilities, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

ISSUE 2: Should this docket be closed?
RECOMMENDATION: No. The docket should remain open pending the
Commission's final action on the utility's requested rate increase.

APPROVED