1 2	FLORIDA	BEFORE THE A PUBLIC SERVICE	COMMISSION	
3	In the Matter of			
4	PETITION BY VERIZON FL	ODIDA INC	DOCKET NO.	020067 TI
5	TO REFORM INTRASTATE N	NETWORK ACCESS	DOCKET NO.	030007-12
6	AND BASIC LOCAL TELECO RATES IN ACCORDANCE WI	ITH SECTION		
7	364.164, FLORIDA STATU	JIES.		
8				
9	PETITION BY SPRINT-FLO INCORPORATED TO REDUCE	E INTRASTATE	DOCKET NO.	030868-TL
10	SWITCHED NETWORK ACCES INTERSTATE PARITY IN F	REVENUE - NEUTRAL		
11	MANNER PURSUANT TO SEC 364.164(1), FLORIDA ST	TATUTES.		
12				
13	PETITION FOR IMPLEMENT		DOCKET NO.	030869-TL
14	SECTION 364.164, FLORE BY REBALANCING RATES	IN A		
15	REVENUE-NEUTRAL MANNER DECREASES IN INTRASTAT	TE SWITCHED	ي بر .	
16	ACCESS CHARGES WITH OF RATE ADJUSTMENTS FOR E BY BELLSOUTH TELECOMMU	FFSETTING BASIC SERVICES.	- -	
17	BY BELLSOUTH TELECOMMU	JNICATIONS, INĆ.	/	
18			<u></u> '	
19	11	VERSIONS OF THIS NIENCE COPY ONLY		RE TO THE TENT
20	THE OFFICE	IAL TRANSCRIPT OF SION INCLUDES PRE	THE HEARING.	NNV
	THE .FUI VEX.	SION INCLUDES PRE	FILED TESTING	лчт
21	DD00EEDINGS D			
22	PROCEEDINGS: DA	AYTONA BEACH SERV	TICE HEARING	
23				
24		HAIRMAN LILA A. J OMMISSIONER CHARL)N
25				
				BOOK FOLL FILE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

- 1		L
1	DATE:	Monday, October 27, 2003
2 3	TIME:	Commenced at 6:00 p.m. Concluded at 8:35 p.m.
4	PLACE:	Daytona Beach City Commission Chambers
5		301 South Ridgewood Avenue Daytona Beach, Florida
6 7	REPORTED BY:	JANE FAUROT, RPR
8		JANE FAUROT, RPR Chief, Office of Hearing Reporter Services FPSC Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services
9		(850) 413-6732
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		•
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	\	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

APPEARANCES:
CHARLES BECK, ESQUIRE, Office of Public Counsel.
c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 West Madison Street, Room
812, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of
the Office of Public Counsel.
MICHAEL B. TWOMEY, ESQUIRE, P.O. Box 5256,
Tallahassee, Florida 32314-5256, appearing on behalf of AARP.
NANCY WHITE, ESQUIRE, and MARSHALL CRISER, III,
V.PRegulatory, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., c/o Ms.
Nancy H. Sims, 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400, Tallahassee,
32301-1556, appearing on behalf of BellSouth
Telecommunications, Inc.
BETH KEATING, ESQUIRE, FPSC General Counsel's
Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida
32399-0850, appearing on behalf of Commission Staff.
•

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE NO.
3	Opening Statement by Mr. Criser	10 12 13
4	Opening Statement by Mr. Criser Opening Statement by Mr. Beck Opening Statement by Mr. Twomey Opening Statement by Mr. Keating	13 18
5	Spenning deadement by the reading	
6	WITNESSES	
7	NAME:	PAGE NO.
8	TERRY DILLIGARD Direct Statement	20
10	JOE ARNALL Direct Statement	23
1112	RICK FRASER Direct Statement	35
13	JARVIS SCHWARZ Direct Statement	41
14 15	LINDA WHITE Direct Statement	45
16 17	GARY EARL Direct Statement	49
18	JIM DAVIS Direct Statement	54
19 20	BILL WARFEL Direct Statement	58
21	LUCILLE BORNMANN Direct Statement	67
22 23	JOHN WILLIAMS Direct Statement	76
24	TOM BARUTH Direct Statement	80
25		

1	WITNESSES: (continued)	PAGE	
2	JIM CAMERON Direct Statement	80	
3	TERRI MALIA	0.5	
4	Direct Statement	85	
5	LUTHER DAVIDSON Direct Statement	90	
7	MAURICE GREENBERG Direct Statement	94	
8			
9			
10			
11	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	96	
12			
13			
14 15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN JABER: Good evening. Let me begin by introducing myself. I'm Lila Jaber. I chair the Florida Public Service Commission. And to my left is Commissioner Charles Davidson. We want to both join in welcoming you tonight. We really appreciate that you all came out to give us your input on these proceedings, which I will tell you a little bit more about in just a minute.

But if you will bear with us, I need to start the formality of our process, and we start that by allowing our staff counsel to read the notice for the hearing, and I will allow the parties over here to introduce themselves to you. We will come back and get just a little bit more of an introductory remark, and I will tell you a little bit more about the proceedings, and then I'm going to switch back to the parties and let them give you their perspective on the cases that have been filed in front of the Commission.

Just so you know, I have asked the parties to be brief this evening, as in any other evening, because the purpose of tonight is to hear from you, the customers. But saying that, I do want you to know that they are entitled to make a short presentation tonight. They are entitled to ask customers some questions as a follow-up to help us build the record in the case, so I don't want you to be surprised by any of that. With that we will get started.

Ms. Keating, do you want to read the notice?

MS. KEATING: By notice issued October 8th, 2003.

this time and place have been set for a hearing in Docket

Numbers 030867, 030868, and 030869. The purpose is as set

forth in the notice.

CHAIRMAN JABER: And, Mr. Criser, if you will get us started with the appearances that would be great.

MR. CRISER: Marshall Criser; with me is Nancy White, representing BellSouth.

MR. TWOMEY: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. I'm Mike Twomey appearing on behalf of the AARP.

MR. BECK: My name is Charlie Beck, I'm with the Office of Public Counsel.

MS. KEATING: And, again, I'm Beth Keating, and I'm here for the Commission.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Ms. Keating, would you take a minute to introduce Ms. Salak and some of the other Florida folks we have got with us.

MS. KEATING: Certainly, Madam Chairman. To my left is Beth Salak, she is the Director of the Division of .

Competitive Markets. And when you first walked in the door outside you may have met a gentleman at the table that was handing out pamphlets, he is with our Division of Consumers Affairs, his name is Dick Durbin.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Ms. Keating. As I said

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |

earlier, the purpose of tonight is to hear from the customers in the central Daytona Beach area on three proceedings that have been filed and open with the Public Service Commission for processing. They relate to a law that was passed this past legislative session, and that new law is called the Telecompetition Innovation and Infrastructure Enhancement Act. It became effective May 23rd, 2003.

And what it does is it allows certain local telephone companies, BellSouth, Sprint, and Verizon, to petition the PSC to reduce certain fees that they charge to long distance companies for use of their network. And in return, those local companies, BellSouth, Sprint, and Verizon, have asked to offset any lost revenues associated with that by an increase in local monthly rates.

Now, in deciding those petitions there are criteria that we have to consider. We have to consider whether granting that petition will help create a more attractive competitive local telecommunications market for the benefit of the residential consumer. So, therefore, we are particularly interested in hearing from your perspective what competitive providers you feel you have in your area. Do you feel that you do have competition in your area. We might want to ask you questions about, well, how much more in local monthly rates are you willing to pay for increasing competition in your area.

When you walked in, Mr. Durbin probably asked you to

sign up and give us your name and address for the purpose of speaking tonight. If you do wish to speak tonight, Mr. Beck has that list right now, and he will be calling your name. We ask that you come to the podium and give us your name again, and we will ask for your testimony.

Again, the formality of the process requires me to administer an oath. The oath is simply do you affirm that the testimony you are about to give is the truth and nothing but the truth. Do not let that intimidate you, please. It is a very formal evidentiary process, but we need your testimony.

For those customers that do not wish to speak tonight, you are also welcome to fill out a customer comment sheet. You may have gotten a yellow tonight -- we switch the colors, I know, from evening to evening -- but you should have gotten a sheet that looks very much like this, a special report. And on the last page of the report is a customer comment sheet. Feel free to fill that out. You can leave it with any one of us, you can leave it at Mr. Durbin's desk. But this will go into our docket file. And the staff in preparing their recommendation for us will consider it in its recommendation and, therefore, we will consider your comments in our decision.

At this time I would like the parties to go ahead and make their brief statements outlining their perspectives. I think we will start with BellSouth. We will come back to Mr.

Beck, and close it out with Mr. Twomey.

Mr. Criser.

MR. CRISER: Thank you very much.

Good evening. And I'm Marshall Criser, and I'm here tonight representing BellSouth. As you may have already heard, BellSouth revised its proposal we filed at the Public Service Commission. We filed that revision on September 30th. I would like to take just a couple of minutes to describe the proposal that we currently have before the Commission for their consideration.

What we are proposing to do is reduce in-state toll access charges in three 12-month increments. These reductions will reduce the amount that BellSouth charges to long distance companies for in-state calls to the same level as the amount that we charge the long distance companies for interstate calls. There has been some confusion about where these charges apply, and I would just like to make sure that you understand that the access charges are billed not only on long distance calls from your home telephone, but also apply to 800 numbers, prepaid cards, such as Sam's cards, or calls placed over 10-10 numbers.

Implementation of our proposals will require that the long distance companies reduce their in-state phone charges, including the complete elimination of \$1.75 to \$1.99 in-state connection charge, which is currently on many Florida

customers' bills.

If our proposal is approved by the Public Service Commission, we plan to offset the reduction by adjusting the charges for certain services. We will simplify the charges for business single-line service by reducing the current 12 different rate groups that we have statewide to three rate groups over two years.

In addition to that, we are proposing to adjust the charge for residential basic service in three increments.

Under our current proposal, the adjustment to residential basic service would be \$1.25 in 2004 and 2005, and about \$1.00 in 2006. We have continued our original proposal that we will voluntarily commit to continue the Lifeline exemption from these charges for the full four years that would be allowed.

We also plan to adjust our nonrecurring charges for services such as service installation by approximately 17 percent over the same period as the adjustment in the residential basic services. Again, all of these adjustments are subject to verification by the Public Service Commission to ensure that they are revenue neutral to BellSouth. It is also subject to verification by the Public Service Commission that the reductions we make to the long distance companies are, in fact, flowed through in their charges to their customers.

We continue to pursue this effort because we believe that the objectives of competition and a strong economic

foundation are essential to the well-being of Florida and its customers. We appreciate you taking the time here tonight to share your opinions and look forward to hearing your comments. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Beck.

MR. BECK: Thank you, Chairman Jaber. My name is Charlie Beck. I'm with the Office of Public Counsel, and I thank you for coming. You may not be familiar with our office, so let me briefly describe who we are and what we do. The Office of Public Counsel is completely separate from the Public Service Commission. By statute we represent customers before the Commission and we appear before them as a party just like any other party to a case. We get to cross-examine the witnesses put up by the company, get to present our own witnesses, which we will do in this case. We are going to present the testimony of two expert witnesses and get to argue before the Commission and we can appeal their orders to the court.

The Commission has very wide discretion in whether to grant or deny the petitions of BellSouth, Verizon, and Sprint. And one of the things they have to show is that their petitions benefit residential customers, and that makes your testimony very important here tonight. The Commission has already shown its broad discretion. Early on in the case they dismissed the petitions because they had asked for the rate increases faster

than allowed by the statute. So your testimony here tonight will give information to the Commissioners whether the petitions benefit or do not benefit residential customers. We look forward to your testimony. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Twomey.

MR. TWOMEY: Thank you, Madam Chair. Ladies and gentlemen, good evening. Mike Twomey, again, for AARP, which has intervened in these three cases to represent their approximately 2.6 million members throughout the State of Florida.

I want to tell you briefly, if you don't know this already, the theory behind the law that allows these cases was presented to the legislature something like this, that these companies, three companies, the three largest, Verizon, BellSouth, and Sprint, spent millions to get a law passed which they wrote entirely by themselves. And if they were successful in getting that, they would come and filed these cases, spend more money in order that they could raise your rates and thereby have more competition and lose some of their customers.

Now, frankly, the AARP doesn't believe that anybody believes that rational businesses want to lose customers and money they get from those customers' rates. But, notwithstanding that, BellSouth said we are not going to make any money off of this, this is revenue neutral. Well, we maintain that it is not true, and what we suggest to you,

ladies and gentlemen, is the reason that these three companies are doing this is that they were losing money hand over fist from a source of money called access fee revenues that they get from the long distance companies from connecting and terminating calls to your homes.

They are losing money at the rate of 9 to 10 percent per year. So what they came upon was a scheme to get this law passed and they could shift the responsibility for \$355.5 million a year from the rapidly depleting access money to the backs of you all residential and single-line business customers. And they told the legislature when they were peddling this bill that they were going to implement these rate increases three years for BellSouth and four years each for Verizon and Sprint in order to, quote, minimize the rate shock to our customers.

Well, as soon as they filed the petitions they said they were doing it two years each, all of them. And what they did, in fact -- which was stopped by Charlie Beck -- they asked to increase the rates January 1st by roughly half and then the remainder increase the rates 367 days later. The Commission exercised its discretion and kicked the cases out, which were rapidly refiled again and now they plan to raise the rates basically in three increments over the course of two years and a day. That is why we think they are doing this.

Now, they say it is revenue neutral and they even

suggest that it is revenue neutral for residential customers because they say even though your rates are going to go up from 35 to 90 percent, you can possibly save money and break even on your total monthly bill, or even win if you make enough long distance calls and use the lower in-state rates that have to result from this legislation. And the AARP says we don't believe that in the first place, that you folks, the residential folks make enough calls to qualify for the breakeven bills, but more importantly the telephone companies haven't brought in the long distance companies to let us know and let the Commissioners know what the in-state rates will be. And, therefore, we are asking that they kick out these three cases again until these companies bring their long distance friends back in and put on the table what your lower rates are going to be that you qualify for.

And we are really concerned about that because the law, which was a horrible law, says that the long distance companies have to distribute or apportion the rate reductions in in-state -- and it is only in-state, not interstate, from state-to-state -- they have to apportion the in-state reductions between their business and residential customers.

Now, what we said at the time was that would allow them to give 99 percent of the rate reductions to the big business customers who, by the way, through the law they wrote don't get any local rate increases at all. They could get 99

ა

- · percent of the rate reductions in-state, and you all residential customers might get one percent. And we want to know that up front before this Commission can decide whether this is good and give the residential benefits.

And very quickly I want to tell you what the Commission can do. It can exercise its discretion in granting these cases, which we oppose, or it can deny them. If it denies the cases, your rates under current law can only go up the rate of inflation minus one percent. That is because this industry is a declining cost industry, just like computers and TV sets and that kind of thing. Rates were going down, ladies and gentlemen, for ten years until 1995 when the industry wrote another law that froze the rates when they should have kept going down.

You may have some chamber of commerce types in here tonight that will say, oh, it is unfair that they don't get more rate increases over the last 20 years. That is wrong. Declining cost, rates should have been going down. If they grant the increases, your rates can go up from 35 to 90 percent in two years and a day and then they can go up, ladies and gentlemen, 20 percent per year every year thereafter without these companies having to even ask permission from the Public Service Commission.

Quality of service. One of the last remaining visages of control that the PSC has over local telephone

1

5 6

7

8

9 10 11

13

14

15

12

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

companies is controlling whether the quality of service they provide you complies with the PSC's rules. If they deny the increases, that jurisdiction is maintained. If they grant the increases, the companies within as little as two years can try and take that quality of control jurisdiction away from the Public Service Commission.

Lifeline. The companies will try and suggest to you that the expansion of Lifeline eligibility to people at 125 percent of the poverty level is contingent upon these rate increases going through. That's not true. That's there in the law irrespective of whether the rates are increased or Either way. denied.

Now, you heard Mr. Criser say that BellSouth wants to maintain the protection of the Lifeline recipients for up to four years. We are concerned that they can't do that legally. We would like to see it happen. But the problem is that if the rate increases are granted, Lifeline recipients who would get about 13 to \$13-1/2 a month in assistance will experience the same huge level of rate increases the rest of you will experience either at the end of two years or four years. And the AARP is afraid that many of them will no longer be able to afford service.

If the Public Service Commission denies the increases, then nobody gets rates increases, including the recipient of Lifeline assistance. I hope each one of you have

gotten a blue handout from the AARP. On the back there is a website link, if you have a computer, or have family that have computers, you can go there and find out what the AARP is doing to try to help all of you and you can vote. You can contact your legislators and the Public Service Commission and let them know how you feel. Which we would hope you would come up and say that you don't think it makes sense to buy competition and that you are not interested in having competition if you have to pay for it. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN JABER: And, Staff, finally, I have asked you to give a very quick overview of the legislation itself.

MS. KEATING: Certainly, Madam Chairman. As you indicated earlier, the legislature did set forth four very specific criteria that the Commission has to address in considering these petitions. The first one is the Commission has to determine whether granting the petitions will remove current support for basic local telecommunication services that prevents the creation of a more attractive competitive local exchange market for the benefit of residential consumers.

The PSC also has to consider whether granting the petitions will induce/enhance market entry, and whether granting the petitions will also require intrastate switched network access rate reductions to parity over a period of not less than two years or more than four years. And parity essentially means that the access charges that are charged for

2

3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23

24

25

intrastate long distance calls will end up being the same as these for interstate long distance calls.

And, finally, the last criteria the Commission has to consider is whether granting the petitions will be revenue neutral. And revenue neutrality is generally defined as the changes in the access revenues will be offset by equal changes in the local rates.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Ms. Keating. There is a person in the audience I would like to take an opportunity to introduce. As some of you may have read or may have heard, the Attorney General's Office, the office of Charlie Crist has also been watching and following the cases. And his representative is the former Public Counsel for the State of Florida, Jack Shreve, who is with us today. If you could just stand, Jack, and let people know who you are. Jack is now with the Office of Attorney General.

And with that, if you intend to testify tonight, I will ask that you stand and raise your right hand, please.

(Witnesses collectively sworn.)

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Beck, do you want to call the first witness?

MR. BECK: Thank you, Chairman Jaber. When you came in you signed up on a list, and what I am going to do is call everybody in exactly the order that you signed up this evening.

The first witness is Terry Dilligard.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Would you spell the last name for us, Mr. Beck?

MR. BECK: Dilligard, D-I-L-L-I-G-A-R-D.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Welcome, sir.

TERRY DILLIGARD

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. DILLIGARD: Good evening. My name is Terry Dilligard. My address is 218 West Euclid Avenue in DeLand, Florida. As you can see, I work for BellSouth. However, I am not here as a BellSouth employee. I just got off work and I came directly here to speak to you tonight. I'm a candidate also for the DeLand City Commission, and I want to comment on the recently passed telecom bill as it relates to good public policy.

First of all, the legislation really helps those who are truly needy. Those who are below 125 percent of the poverty level. And as I understand it, Lifeline service will provide a subsidy of \$13.50 per month for telephone service to those who really need it, and this is good public policy.

The legislation should also encourage competition in the local residential telecom market by driving prices towards actual cost. Competition will determine ultimate prices and customer service satisfaction levels. That has worked within

the free enterprise in America for most industries, so why should it be any different in the telecommunications industry. That is what we have been experiencing in the cellular market for over ten years now. Ultimately, consumers want choices and they don't benefit from artificial socially priced services, especially in a competitive marketplace.

It seems to me that this has become a little too emotional. As I read the legislation, no telecom company will be allowed to get more revenue. Some customers might see an increase in their monthly bill and others should see a decrease depending on their calling habits. Furthermore, the truly needy are protected. With over 23 years of service with BellSouth, your decisions tonight will have very little impact on my career personally, but however as a consumer, and as a future elected official, I would like to see more competition and more choices. Free enterprise has made America strong and let's allow that trend to be seen in telecommunications. Good public policy would be to allow this to happen. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Dilligard, thank you. Are there questions?

MR. TWOMEY: Yes, ma'am, Madam Chairman. Mr. Dilligard, did you hear me say in my introduction that the expanded Lifeline eligibility is available with or without the rate increases?

MR. DILLIGARD: Yes, I did.

MR. TWOMEY: Do you recognize that that is the case?

MR. DILLIGARD: I wasn't aware of it before, but I heard you say it a few minutes ago, sir.

MR. TWOMEY: Okay. If I am correct in what I'm saying there, and further, that Lifeline recipients, if the rate increases are granted, will have to pay the increased rates either two years or four years down the road, wouldn't you agree with me that it is better for those people not to have to pay rate increases?

MR. DILLIGARD: No, sir, I tend to disagree.

MR. TWOMEY: You are saying that Lifeline people that you hope to have as your constituents in the city commission, you are saying that you want to see them have to pay more even though they are now receiving assistance because of their financial condition, you are saying you want them to have to pay more than not to pay anything more?

MR. DILLIGARD: Well, from my understanding of the legislation, they are going to be protected anyway.

MR. TWOMEY: How so?

MR. DILLIGARD: If they are under that 125 percent poverty level, this legislation should protect them anyway.

MR. TWOMEY: Yes, sir. And what I am saying is -hypothetically, let's take it this way, if I am correct that
let's say you have got two choices, okay? One choice is that
the Public Service Commission increases everybody's rates

within two years and that Lifeline recipients get those rate increases two to four years later, but have to pay them eventually. And the other choice is nobody pays any rate increases at all, including the Lifeline recipients, which one do you want as a person running for city commission?

MR. DILLIGARD: The increase.

MR. TWOMEY: You want your constituents' rates to go up?

MR. DILLIGARD: Yes. sir.

MR. TWOMEY: Okay. That's all I have. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Dilligard, thank you.

MR. DILLIGARD: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Beck. the second witness.

MR. BECK: The next witness is Joe Arnall.

JOE ARNALL

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. ARNALL: Good evening, Madam Chairman,
Commissioner, other dignitaries. Let me tell you a little bit
about myself just to start with. I am formerly in the
legislature. I served as Chairman of the Utilities and
Communications Committee as it was called. It was called
various and sundry things during the years, but in 1996 to '98
that is what I was Chairman of in the Florida House.

And during that time we reviewed and listened to a lot of testimony relative to this type of procedure. I might also say that after getting out of the legislature in 2000, I served as a consultant to the telecommunications industry. But I speak today as a proponent of this legislation for various reasons.

There are several things that you can point to. I would talk about the Lifeline as being a very strong positive, being 125 percent of poverty. That was not addressed at that level, but in addition -- when we passed the bill out of committee. But in addition to what has been stated about it, another good factor in this particular bill because there are a lot of people in the state that are eligible that don't know they are eligible. So there is an advertising issue that is also part of this bill. Interestingly enough, it is underutilized even though it is available at the Lifeline rate of \$13.50.

Business competition, an interesting issue. I mean, there are visible, and certainly in recent past history and memory, visible issues that we can all look at as to what competition is all about. I mean, my telephone bill in 1995 went as high as -- sometimes my cell bill as high \$750 a month. Now I'm a high user. The piece of equipment I was using looked something like half a brick. And it dropped a lot of lines, a lot of calls when I was using it. Was it worth it? It was

because of the convenience and it was because of business necessity, talking to constituents, et cetera.

Now, today this isn't, I might add, Cingular.

BellSouth, Verizon, or Sprint, it's a Nextel phone, and I pay \$159 a month for unlimited roaming, unlimited number of calls, unlimited minutes. Now, I would say that that is a tangible piece of evidence for something that I'm sometimes afraid I'm going to lose and send it to the dry cleaners. And you can get them smaller than this. But the point is technology has given this because of competition. If we didn't have competition, we would still be talking in something that looked like half a brick, and we would still be charged like a penny minute or more for the same kind of service, more efficient, more effective, that is only seven or eight years later.

So for all the bugaboos that could be brought about by those who are negative on a bill that you make the decision on, it says can. That is the operative word, can. It doesn't say will. Because if competition is below the price that they can go to, guess where the big boys are going to be. They are going to be there competitively. They are not going to say because we are BellSouth, or Verizon, or Sprint we are going to charge you more. Because you can get it cheaper through Nextel, people are going to go to Nextel. And Nextel could be called ABC local residential phone service. Same difference.

The next thing I think I would like to talk about is

just what is the PSC charged to do. The one thing that was really bad in terms of publicity about the bill that we passed in 1998 was that, well, it said that this was mandated. The legislature has mandated this increase. Which was, again, an offset against access charges. So I didn't see it as an increase, I saw it as a fractured economic model. But nonetheless, what those who said -- including Mr. Twomey at the time -- was the problem with the bill is the PSC didn't have the ability to make the decision. So now it is with you, and that model that Mr. Twomey then wanted and now he says, you know, that everything that is tried isn't good. Well, maybe he is right and maybe he is wrong, I'm just saying that the PSC does have the ability in this bill to make that decision, and that squarely is in the bill.

If you had an elected PSC instead of an appointed PSC, then you are going to have artificially suppressed rates, and bad economic models, and no competition on a local level. That is the reason why we have an appointed PSC now, so that they can make decisions objectively and with all the facts in front of them, which I'm sure you are going to do. And we appreciate your public service, because it really is, it is not an easy thing that you have to do. Sometimes you have to act like King Solomon and see how people want to divide the baby. I don't think you really have to do it in this case. I think that competition is a primary reason why this bill is done, and

I think that you can see with tangible evidence that we have seen in the cellular phone business that it absolutely does work. Thank you, and I'm ready for questions.

MR. TWOMEY: Yes, sir. Good evening. Mr. Arnall, you spoke about the merit of the Lifeline expansion to 125 percent of the national poverty level. But do you recognize, as I tried to elicit from the previous witness, that that expansion of eligibility to 125 is there in the law irrespective of whether the rate increases are granted or not?

MR. ARNALL: You have already stated that.

MR. TWOMEY: But I'm asking do you recognize that?

MR. ARNALL: You have already recognized it. You have stated it.

MR. TWOMEY: Do you know that it is true,
Representative Arnall, that the advertising commitment for
Lifeline is an obligation of the company irrespective of
whether these rate increases are approved or not?

MR. ARNALL: That's correct.

MR. TWOMEY: Is it your testimony that you think competition is worth paying for as opposed to the traditional model that competition brings lower prices and better selection of goods? Is it your testimony that we should turn that on its head and say we should pay more for competition for services that might be of lower quality in the future?

MR. ARNALL: That's not what I said.

MR. TWOMEY: Well, let me ask you this. It is your testimony, is it not, that you want this Commission to raise BellSouth's customers' rates by \$46.32 a year in the hope of achieving more competition, is that what you are asking them to do?

MR. ARNALL: I'm not clear with that number. \$46.32 a year?

MR. TWOMEY: Yes, sir. That is just 12 times the \$3.86 per month they are asking for the maximum of residential rates they are asking for.

MR. ARNALL: And by your testimony, or by your original testimony may I make a statement, please? That you said that they can go up to that, and that is exactly the point. Can. It doesn't say will. And the point is that that brings about competition. And you also haven't mentioned the other \$1.95 charge that comes off. And I understand the complexity. Let me just make -- and we can continue, Mr. Twomey, I'm not trying to avoid you. Just the complexity of reading a bill, listening to a gentleman coming in here tonight who was talking about the increases in his bill already. Well, there are increases and taxes and other things that aren't the subject of this particular legislation or the purview of what you are supposed to make a decision on.

What we are talking about is the basic line charge and access charges. And, unfortunately, what gets clouded in

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

23

22

24

25

this are taxes, which are a major part of any utility bill, and I know a lot of emotionalism revolves around that.

MR. TWOMEY: Yes, sir. But don't you understand -- I mean, do you understand that this company is not asking for maybe permission to increase their rates by a certain amount. they are, by their petition, asking to reduce access fees by a certain number of dollars by which, if they are successful, the Public Service Commission will tell them they have to raise their rates by \$3.86 per month or \$46.32 a year? It is not a maybe thing. Do you understand that they have to raise their rates if they are given approval?

MR. ARNALL: I think if I was told that I had to charge a certain rate and charged less as a utility. I wouldn't think that would be a bad thing, no. And I don't think anybody would protest. So what you are saying is they can raise it, which is what I said.

MR. TWOMEY: No, sir. I am supposed to ask questions in the form of -- what I am saying is would you believe they have no choice but to raise their rates by what they are asking?

MS. WHITE: I'm going to object. That is not what -that is not what the legislation says, so I am going to object to the premise of Mr. Twomey's question. There is nothing in the statute that says BellSouth has to raise rates.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Okay. So, Ms. White, your objection

is to the form of the question?

MS. WHITE: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Twomey, your response?

MR. TWOMEY: Yes. Madam Chair, their petition to this Commission says they want to reduce their access fees that they receive from AT&T and the others by \$136.4 million a year, and if you grant it they are saying we want the right and the obligation to raise our basic local service rates by 3.86 for residential, less for single-line business customers. And if you grant it, they have got to do it. So I don't see where --

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Twomey, I recognize it has been a couple of days since I have read the legislation, I don't see the words right or obligation. I will allow the question. Mr. Twomey, I will allow the question. I need you to rephrase it. I need you to rephrase it not in the form of an argument, and I will have Mr. Arnall address your question.

MR. TWOMEY: All right. Let me just clarify one more thing. We're not talking about legislation. You don't have a law or a bill before you that is seeking to be approved. That has already been done by the Florida Legislature and it has been signed by the Governor. What you have now before you, as you know, is a petition which is an entirely different document. So my question, Mr. Arnall, is do you or don't you understand that the company is asking to increase its rates by a specific amount of money?

1	MR. ARNALL: I do understand that.
2	MR. TWOMEY: And that it has to raise those rates?
3	MR. ARNALL: I do not understand that.
4	MR. TWOMEY: Okay. Now, you said that you had did
5	you say that you had paid as much as \$750 a month for a cell
6	phone?
7	MR. ARNALL: Yes.
8	MR. TWOMEY: You mentioned the \$1.95 in-state
9	connection charge.
10	MR. ARNALL: Yes.
11	MR. TWOMEY: Are you aware that that charge isn't a
12	charge that appears on the bills of all residential customers?
13	MR. ARNALL: No, I'm not.
14	MR. TWOMEY: Are you aware that by the terms of the
15	legislation that that charge doesn't have to be reduced until,
16	or eliminated until July of 2006?
17	MR. ARNALL: I think the way you know, the way the
18	law is written it may be different from the way the petitions
19	are, Mr. Twomey, and I think the petitions indicate that it
20	will be reduced incrementally as the other increases occur. Am
21	I correct? Well, you are the one that is reading the petition
22	not me. I read the law.
23	MR. TWOMEY: Well, that is true. I'm not supposed to
24	be answering questions, I'm supposed to ask them.
25	MR. ARNALL: Okay.

MR. TWOMEY: The companies can tell you.

MR. ARNALL: I'm just looking for clarification, sir.

CHAIRMAN JABER: And, Mr. Arnall, just to interrupt the two of you, where you just don't know the answer to a question, the best answer is I don't know. That doesn't clutter up the record.

MR. TWOMEY: Lastly, you had indicated that you were a consultant to the industry?

MR. ARNALL: Yes.

MR. TWOMEY: How many of the companies paid you or, was it more than one?

MR. ARNALL: It was more than one.

MR. TWOMEY: And which companies were you a consultant to?

MR. ARNALL: BellSouth, Sprint, Verizon.

MR. TWOMEY: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Arnall, let me ask you a question with regard to your fundamental understanding as it relates to the marketplace. I was intrigued by your statement that you are a telecommunications consultant. From a business perspective and from a residential consumer perspective, answer this question for me. How is it that granting the petitions -- and, of course, inherent in that is that some increase is granted -- creates an environment where new competitive providers are attracted to the Florida market? Help me

understand that.

MR. ARNALL: From what I recall, and what I know in the simplistic sense is that the basic line rate is below cost. That is borne by the fact that in the business market there is a lot of competition with a higher line charge and in the residential there is not much. One of the things that hasn't been stated is that those who have chosen to go into the residential line competition at the rate of \$10 plus or minus have not necessarily done well and sometimes they have gone out of business and sometimes they have failed to pay their access charges which has caused a lot of cost to go to the LECs, the local exchange companies, like BellSouth, Verizon, and Sprint.

So, that is one of the high costs that has been reflected that Mr. Twomey commented on, just lumping it in with all the other issues. But I would say that being able to increase in the small incremental fashion that the petition is asking would give some hope to some of these ALECs that are trying to get into the business with the residential consumer, hope that they can make a living.

Now, understand that if we were just talking about the line charge, that is not the only thing that there is. There are all the other add-on services from caller ID, call waiting, to whatever which are high-profit items that will then be affected by competition, as well. I mean, just because it may seem like a good idea for you to have caller ID at \$3 a

month, the actual charge may be less than 5 cents a month. And when you start getting competition, those services will also be affected. So the overall effect of the bill should be much lower than it is presently in the state.

CHAIRMAN JABER: And from a residential consumer prospective, let me just ask you, who is your local provider?

MR. ARNALL: BellSouth.

CHAIRMAN JABER: And what would make you shop around in terms of your residential local service? What are you looking for?

MR. ARNALL: Well, actually all I have on my phone is my basic phone, because I use my cell phone all the time.

CHAIRMAN JABER: So how does this bill help you as a residential consumer?

MR. ARNALL: It probably doesn't help me. I don't qualify for Lifeline. I would like to mention, though, that Lifeline -- I think your statistics will bear this out -- have the highest percentage of add-on services. Even though they get a free basic line, there is a high, high percentage of add-on services. Just a thought to ponder.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Okay. Thank you, sir. Thank you for being here.

MR. ARNALL: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Beck, your next witness.

MR. BECK: The next witness is Rick Fraser.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2

3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

RICK FRASER

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. FRASER: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Rick Fraser. I'm president of the Workforce Development Board of Flagler and Volusia Counties. Thank you for being here and taking your time to hear this testimony. I'm here really to speak only about the Lifeline issue. As you may or may not know, the regional work force boards, there are 24 of them in the State of Florida, provide recruiting and employment services to not only businesses, but also to job seekers who are looking to reenter or enter the work force for the first time and are looking for some assistance. The way we look at this is giving job seekers a handup rather than a handout. We are trying to get some training and some educational services to them.

One of the things that is important for these job seekers is the availability of a phone. Most of these folks are out of work, welfare or just recently dislocated or laid In their seeking a job they need to stay in contact with potential employers. Last year the regional board here in Volusia and Flagler County served a little over 22,000 jobs seekers in the two counties. And, again, most of those folks were laid off or welfare trying to get off the welfare rolls

into the self-sufficient job market.

And, again, I am here to support the Lifeline portion of the bill. In Volusia and Flagler Counties we have got over 50,000 people who would be eligible for Lifeline because of the 125 percent poverty level. Certainly I don't envy your job here to rule on this, and I encourage your continued due diligence in this matter. I also would like to state for the record that the one-stop career centers which we are responsible for would be an excellent place to get the word out in terms of marketing Lifeline.

And I read in our local news journal this morning that there is a marketing campaign, I believe it was one million dollars or something like that, to do the marketing of Lifeline. And I submit to you that the one-stop career centers could assist in that marketing effort because of the people that are coming through our doors. So, again, I am here to support the Lifeline part of this bill.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Fraser, there may be questions from the parties, but let me just quickly ask you, that notion that there could be more partnerships in terms of increasing Lifeline awareness is something our staff at our direction is pursuing. And let me just get on the record, if the Commission agrees to expand the list of partners, can we put on the record your willingness to assist in that effort through your workforce development agencies and the one-stop centers?

MR. FRASER: Absolutely. We are 100 percent -- we stand ready to help wherever we can.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Questions? Mr. Twomey.

MR. TWOMEY: Yes, Madam Chairman. Thank you. Mr. Fraser, did you hear my questions of the previous speakers in terms of -- let me ask it this way. Do you understand that the expanded eligibility for Lifeline protection is in existence and will remain in existence irrespective of whether the rate increases are approved or not?

MR. FRASER: Mr. Twomey, I heard you say that, and I guess I will take you at your word.

MR. TWOMEY: Let me ask you this way. Had you been told anything different, have you been led to believe that the expansion of Lifeline eligibility was in any way dependent upon the Public Service Commission increasing local rates?

MR. FRASER: No.

MR. TWOMEY: The people that you represent that recently lost their jobs, or whatever, that you are trying to assist, are you interested in seeing them -- are they -- to your knowledge would they be eligible for Lifeline, all of them?

MR. FRASER: Probably not all of them, but a good portion of them.

MR. TWOMEY: Now, the people that are not eligible for Lifeline, but they are still out of work that you could

assist, if you were given a choice -- just yes or no -- if you were given a choice of having them have to pay \$46.32 more a year or not paying 46.32 more a year for their telephone service, which would you elect?

MR. FRASER: That's not a yes or no question.

MR. TWOMEY: I'm sorry. That's fair. Let's change it. If you had a choice of sticking your clients with 46.32 more in telephone bills or not doing that, which would you elect?

MR. FRASER: Well, Mr. Twomey, I have to say that I am a proponent that competition does, in most cases, reduce the amount of money that people pay. That is why you see Burger Kings and McDonald's and Wendy's on the same block. Certainly none of us want to pay more money, but I sincerely believe that competition is a way to save money in the long run. I don't know if that answers your question. I wouldn't want -- I wouldn't want anybody to have to pay more money than is absolutely necessary to remain competitive.

MR. TWOMEY: Well, if you don't want anybody to pay more money, wouldn't your choice be no rate increases?

MR. FRASER: Not necessarily. I don't know that that is a question that I can answer black and white.

MR. TWOMEY: Okay. Let me ask you one last question. Does your organization receive any funding from BellSouth or other telecommunications organizations?

MR. FRASER: No.

2

MR. TWOMEY: Thank you very much.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN JABER: Let me ask you something. This is frankly the first service hearing that I have been to that I thought to ask this question from a workforce development standpoint. If there are new telecommunications competitive providers that come into the Volusia and Flagler County area, how does your organization seek them out? I will tell you where I am going with it, how do you find new companies that come into the area and how do you get them to commit to partnering with you in terms of seeking employment?

MR. FRASER: Well, let me answer that, and it may not answer your question. You may have to re-ask it, I guess. Because the workforce system is federally funded through public tax dollars, it is necessary for us to do our FPEs for all the services that we offer. So in terms of looking for a telecom carrier, that is how we would procure that particular service through our FPE. Does that answer your question?

CHAIRMAN JABER: I guess I am coming at it more from an economic development standpoint. You mentioned -- and this is what triggered the question for me, you mentioned that you are seeking to help people who are maybe out of a job for whatever reason. It seems to me that one of the goals you should have is to target new companies coming into the state or companies coming into the region for employment opportunities

2
 3
 4

for those people. And my question is really from an economic development standpoint. If it is correct that implementation of this bill creates a situation -- Mr. Twomey, I can hear you whispering.

MR. TWOMEY: I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN JABER: From an economic development standpoint, is there a way to make these companies partner with your organization?

MR. FRASER: Absolutely. We have a very strong tie with the Volusia County and the Flagler County economic development department. We have established protocols between the two departments, and we actively work with those two entities when they are out prospecting for new business to come in. And it is absolutely kind of tied at the hip. What we do, and I have often said this, is it doesn't do us any good to provide the educational opportunities for our job seekers if there are no jobs to be had. And vice versa, it doesn't do the economic development departments any good to go out and recruit new businesses if the workforce is not skilled and ready to go to work. So, there definitely is a strong link between economic development and workforce development.

CHAIRMAN JABER: One of the criteria we have to take a look at is we have got to find that the development of a competitive market is achieved for the benefit of the residential consumer. Have you ever done any analytical work,

any study that would indicate to us that there is an economic development aspect for the benefit of the residential consumer?

MR. FRASER: No, we have not. Again, our main focus, Madam Chair, is to get folks the education and training opportunities in order to make them self-sufficient in the workforce.

CHAIRMAN JABER: And my final question is how did you find out about tonight's hearing?

MR. FRASER: I saw the article in the paper this morning and also talked with fellow workforce colleagues, as well.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, sir. Mr. Beck.

MR. BECK: The next witness is Jarvis Schwarz.

JARVIS SCHWARZ

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. SCHWARZ: My name is Jarvis Schwarz and I am a resident of Ormond Beach. This is more than I expected. I can't begin to get into the details of competition and if it does or doesn't profit the actual consumer. And I have all sorts of questions about it. What I think I want to say is that I represent just an actual citizen working -- well, I'm not working now. I'm retired -- but an actual citizen. What I don't hear or what I think is not dealt with or not part of

your consideration is what all this really means to the average citizen who pays the bills when they come due. I cannot argue whether the statistics I have heard are right or wrong, but if I hear something like the legislation or petition, I'm not sure the bill is already passed, if it really could result in a 35 to 90 percent increase in a person's telephone bill, and then within four years it was represented 20 percent increase, with a possible 20 percent increase. Unless my math is very bad, that could be a 100 percent increase in five years. And I don't see this being dealt with by the Commission in terms of what these rates will really mean to the normal average citizen. And apparently that is not going to be dealt with tonight. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you. Mr. Schwarz, can Mr. Beck ask you a question?

MR. BECK: I would like to make a short statement. CHAIRMAN JABER: Go right ahead, Mr. Beck.

MR. BECK: Mr. Schwarz, I just want to let you know we are sponsoring expert witnesses in front of the Commission and we are going to do that type of analysis and present that type of information to the Commission. And we are going to look at the average toll usage of customers and try to compare what they expect the toll bill to go down versus the local rates to go up and see whether they can break even or not. And our expert witnesses will say that even if get twice as much as

the average toll usage you still won't break even on the bill. So that type of information will be presented to the Commission.

MR. SCHWARZ: Well, I'm glad to hear that, but I will be watching for it.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Absolutely. Mr. Twomey, before I let you ask a question, let me also address Mr. Schwarz. Tonight feel free to comment on whatever you want to comment on, but Mr. Beck is absolutely right, this is only the tenth service hearing. The part of our proceeding where we hear from the customers, on December 10th and 11th we will be back in Tallahassee and that is when these parties put on their testimony. They bring in expert witnesses and they ask each other questions of their expert witnesses and we act as a collegial body. There are five of us. We will listen to the testimony, and it is based on all of the evidence that we make our decision.

MR. SCHWARZ: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Twomey.

MR. TWOMEY: Yes, ma'am. Thank you. Mr. Schwarz, do you recall what the recently announced COL would be for Social Security?

MR. SCHWARZ: 2.1 percent.

MR. TWOMEY: 2.1 percent. Are you reasonably satisfied -- is BellSouth your telephone company?

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

MR. SCHWARZ: Yes.

MR. TWOMEY: Are you satisfied with the service you receive from BellSouth?

MR. SCHWARZ: Yes. I think so.

MR. TWOMEY: Are you interested in paying more to receive competition even, if it results?

MR. SCHWARZ: Well, I still have real questions about whether competition for the average man on the street means lower prices. And I have a lot of guestions on that. Businesswise, you know, the corporate people in the companies might have it, but what we are concerned with is what happens -- where our paycheck goes. Or not our paycheck, our retirement.

MR. TWOMEY: Yes, sir. I always get that guestion wrong apparently. Let me ask you this way. If you had two choices, paying 46.32 a year more for your BellSouth telephone service or not paying any more at all, which choice would you elect?

MR. SCHWARZ: Well, the normal thing I think would be to say no raise, but that is not realistic. But just normally I would say I don't want to pay any more than I have to. And I have questions whether the figures that I am hearing are not going to make it very easy for a retired person on a fixed income. And, of course, the stock market even if you have small investments, isn't letting you keep up. So, I would be

very hesitant to want to accept any raises.

MR. TWOMEY: Yes, sir. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, sir. Mr. Beck, the next witness.

MR. BECK: The next witness is Linda White.

LINDA WHITE

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MS. WHITE: Good evening. For the record, my name is Linda White and I am Executive Director of the Chamber of Commerce for West Volusia at 520 North Volusia Avenue in Orange City. I wanted to tell you all that I appreciate the opportunity to be here and offer some comments and to thank you for your time and being around the state and taking comments. We don't often get to have dialogue about these things.

I was particularly interested in coming here tonight because about three years ago I spent almost nine months in Volusia County going from community to community listening to issues about phone service. Particularly at that time our community was attempting to get a unified area code, and I think I came before you in Tallahassee and you were gracious enough to allow that to happen.

But we spent many, many hours in these communities, across all aspects of the community. We talked to senior

citizens, we talked to commuters, we talked to students, we talked to almost everyone trying to understand what their feelings were about their phone service. And obviously they wanted a unified area code because we had -- Deltona, which is the largest city in Volusia County, actually had three area codes. So that was an important thing to them just to cut down on the confusion.

But we identified three factors as we went around the community of values that people had about their phone service. They wanted simplistic dialing. They wanted that unified area code. They asked us to try to help them decrease the long distance service in the county, and I want to talk a little bit about that. For people on the west side of Volusia County, and I suspect it is that way in any county that has an area that is not metropolitan, health care becomes an area that people have to use long distance. They may have their primary provider in the community, but specialty care, as in the case of Volusia County, you may have to call long distance to set up your appointments, to see people in the hospital, that sort of thing. So that was a real issue for them.

And the third thing we heard from them was that they wanted a choice in their providers. Now, given we didn't talk much about finances during these sessions, but it was just about what they truly valued in their phone service. And then just in closing comments, I would like to offer that our job as

chambers of commerce across America is to try to promote sound 1 2 principles of economy. We encourage competition and 3 adaptability to the marketplace, and we tell all of our people 4 that if it is a small business or a large business that there 5 absolutely has to be that competition and adaptability in that 6 marketplace. We know that competition creates innovation and 7 higher quality and most of the time lower cost eventually. 8 American consumers are empowered by choice. They 9 have been that way for many years. They have come to expect 10 the ability to choose, whether it is a hamburger or their 11 health care. They deserve no less in phone service. Thank you for your time. 12 13 CHAIRMAN JABER: Ms. White, thank you. Questions? 14 MR. TWOMEY: Yes. ma'am. 15 CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Twomey. 16 MR. TWOMEY: Ms. White, did you just testify in favor 17 of the rate increases? 18 MS. WHITE: Yes. 19 MR. TWOMEY: How many members does your chamber 20 have? 21 MS. WHITE: We have about 600. 22 MR. TWOMEY: Six hundred. Is BellSouth a member? 23 MS. WHITE: Yes. MR. TWOMEY: Do you know how many or what percentage 24

of your members would be small enough to be single-line

25

business customers?

MS. WHITE: It would be significant. In my area it is probably 80 or 85 percent. A lot of home-based businesses.

MR. TWOMEY: So do I understand that notwithstanding the fact that single-line business customers will receive rate increases, you're testifying that you want their rates to go up nonetheless?

MS. WHITE: What I have said is that I believe in our area residential and single-line businesses use long distance, and I believe that that will help to even out that total phone bill.

MR. TWOMEY: Would you agree with me that in order to make that calculation one would have to know two things; one, how many phone calls you made in-state toll, and, secondly, and just as importantly, what the rates would be?

MS. WHITE: Yes. And I am referring specifically to anecdotal information that we have taken through community listening groups.

MR. TWOMEY: Uh-huh. Did you hear me say at the beginning of my introduction that the phone companies had not provided the long distance rate reduction information in these cases?

MS. WHITE: Yes, I heard you say that.

MR. TWOMEY: Would you agree with me that that would appear to be necessary to figure out whether a residential

1 customer or even a single-line business customers could save an 2 their bill? 3 MS. WHITE: Yes. MR. TWOMEY: Thank you very much. 4 5 MS. WHITE: Thank you. And I would also like to just 6 close by saying that the dialogue that we have been able to 7 have, especially with some of your groups about Lifeline. has been a tremendous educational process for us. And I would go 8 9 on record to say the chambers of commerce would also be 10 interested in partnering to help make that happen. Thank you. MR. TWOMEY: Thank you. 11 12 CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Beck. 13 MR. BECK: The next witness is Gary Earl. 14 GARY EARL 15 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State 16 of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 17 DIRECT STATEMENT MR. EARL: Good evening. Like one of my immediately 18 19 preceding speakers, I, too, am a president and CEO of a 20 workforce development board, but I'm not in front of you as 21 that tonight. I probably would have come to your hearing that 22 was in Orlando had I not been out of town and spoken to you 23 from that perspective. But as it happens, I live in New Smyrna

24

25

I have pretty much my whole family in the central

Beach, and I would rather speak to you as a resident.

Florida area. I have five kids who are all married. I have eight grandchildren, and I have a 79-year-old mother who lives probably about three miles from my house. I tell you that because I will tell you that in our family probably 75 to 80 percent of the phone calls we make are out of area. No one lives very close anymore. If you live so much as an hour's

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7 commute, you are probably dialing long distance to get there.

I will tell you a story that happened to me just six months ago. Living in New Smyrna Beach, New Smyrna Beach has a utilities commission under the city government. They had for some time marketed, and both my mother and I purchased a package deal from a company by the name of Eficus (phonetic) that was a flat rate. It included all long distance, no charges, that sort of an arrangement. We moved from one house to another. And when it came time to reconnect the service we went three days, five days, six days and still no phone service. And when I finally got someone directly from Eficus on the line to talk about when we might see a telephone connection at the new house, they couldn't give me a date. And after about 30 minutes of discussion on the subject, I finally said, you know what, I think I will just hang up and call a phone company that can give me date. And I terminated their service and went to BellSouth.

Frankly, there was probably maybe a dollar and a half a month charge difference in the two services, even with long

distance being charged. But I have spent most of my professional life dealing with provision of public services through competitive bid processes. I believe in competition. I believe, actually, that competition is much more capable of controlling prices than this Commission is. I do not believe in government control or government subsidies of things that people need.

I took some pleasure in firing a phone company that couldn't answer a question on the phone, quite frankly. And if that does cost us somewhere in the neighborhood of four dollars a month to get to that that level of competition, to the point where the phone company at the other end of the phone line needs to pay attention to the customers and needs to provide quality services, then so be it. And so I would speak out in favor of the petition.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Earl, thank you.

Mr. Beck, you have a question?

MR. BECK: Mr. Earl, who do use for your long distance within the state?

MR. EARL: I went completely with BellSouth on everything.

MR. BECK: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Twomey.

MR. TWOMEY: Yes, ma'am, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

MR. EARL: So did my mother, by the way.

1	CHAIRMAN JABER: I'm sorry, I didn't hear that.
2	MR. EARL: I said so did my mother.
3	CHAIRMAN JABER: Go with BellSouth long distance?
4	MR. EARL: (Indicating affirmatively.)
5	MR. TWOMEY: Do you have a package or a bundled
6	supply of BellSouth services, then?
7	MR. EARL: Whatever they offer. I basically just use
8	them.
9	MR. TWOMEY: Do you pay a fixed price for local
10	service?
11	MR. EARL: Right now we do not. Right now the local
12	and long distance is broken up.
13	MR. TWOMEY: You mentioned your belief that
14	competition could control prices, telephone prices better than
15	this Commission. Were you not aware that BellSouth and the
16	other local telephone companies have been subject to
17	competition since 1995, and that this Public Service Commission
18	has had very little control of their prices since then?
19	MR. EARL: Is that true in residential, sir?
20	MR. TWOMEY: I'm asking if you know whether they were
21	subject to yes, residential?
22	MR. EARL: I don't believe most residential telephone
23	customers have access to much competition at all. I mean, I
24	would like to see competition in cable. I don't believe
25	satellites give cable enough competition. I would like to see

competition in my power bill. I would like to have shoice when I go to pay a bill no matter what I buy.

MR. TWOMEY: Would you be interested in paying 51 percent more for satellite service if it gave you a choice, more choice over your cable provider?

MR. EARL: I don't choose to purchase satellite services, and I buy only what cable my household needs. One of the reasons I don't deal with satellite services is because to go to satellite you have to decide that you need 300 channels. I don't need that.

MR. TWOMEY: Yes, sir. Who is your electric company?

MR. EARL: That is also controlled by the New Smyrna

Beach Utilities Commission.

MR. TWOMEY: If New Smyrna came to you, Mr. Earl, and said we want to raise your already high electric bills by 51 percent a year in order to induce competition from other electric companies, would you think that would be a good deal?

MR. EARL: I don't believe they would ever do that.

But in my heart of hearts, sir, I believe competition

ultimately in the long-term over a period of years would prove

more effective than government control.

MR. TWOMEY: So asking you the same question I have asked the others, if you were given a choice of this Commission exercising its discretion and not granting any rate increases at all, or giving the company the additional \$46.32 a year that

it wants from you, your testimony is that you would want rate increases?

MR. EARL: I would trust the free market before I trusted the government, sir.

MR. TWOMEY: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Earl, thank you for being here.

MR. BECK: The next witness is Jim Davis.

JIM DAVIS

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. DAVIS: Good evening. My name is Jim Davis. I am a resident of Orange City, Florida, and I am a retired federal employee. I have heard a lot of very interesting things coming down this evening, but some of the things that I have not heard are the specific answers that you are looking for. When I look in the front of my telephone book, I see a whole mountain of people that I can call and choose to provide me CLEC level service, ostensibly selling me my local carrier's services through another vendor. So I've got some choices there.

I know that no matter who I choose in my geographic area, the wire that carriers that signal to my house is going to come from Sprint. Sprint owns it and Sprint wholesales it to that CLEC. I'm making an assumption that for the price that

they wholesale it to the CLEC, they are at least breaking even. And when I look at what those numbers are, the fact that they can sell that local access line for a small fraction of what they charge me for it, I have to assume there is a pretty good profit in that.

The other piece that comes into play, I'm a private carrier, FCC licensed private carrier. As such I can buy lines and numbers at a wholesale rate, so I can buy phone numbers for 50 cents. I don't understand why the infrastructure costs need to be compensated by a local carrier's loss of revenue from long distance providers who may give them a kickback for directing services to that carrier or for making the last mile connection, as it were.

The trends that I see reflect that we are going more and more toward digital and wireless technology, and the wire carriers are going to find themselves having a difficult time no matter what you do anymore. And I have listened to witnesses this evening say, gee, I use my cell phone or my wireless device as much or more as I use my wire line. And I think as technology evolves, we will see more and more of that to the point where the competition is going to be do I get my local phone service from my cable provider, or my satellite provider, or do I just use a cell phone because it has got an attachment that let's me transmit data, and I just don't need a piece of wire coming to my house. Or I've got a piece of fiber

coming to my house that handles everything.

artificial already. I don't support rate increases. I don't support trying to maintain a parity because the local carrier is loosing some of its revenue to competition, because that is what I see this as happening. What I see is that the local carrier, or the BOCs, or whoever the big buys guys are, needing to become more efficient, not trying to pass on their losses from competition to me, the local user, local consumer.

So I get to wear the hat of the local consumer that pays for my single-line service, and I get to wear the hat of the commercial consumer that buys a thousand numbers. And granted the prospective is very different, but the point is that I don't believe that any of the carriers that sell me service are selling me their services at a loss.

I listened to one of your witnesses suggest that the features are a high profit item, and I fully recognize that. They are for me as far as being a carrier is concerned. Call forwarding in an electronic switch virtually costs nothing. It is part of the feature of the switch. Three-party calling basically costs nothing. It is a feature of the switch. There was cost in putting in the switch, which I would expect has long been recovered. In a conversation with Mr. Twomey before this meeting started. I commented to him that the perspective of the carriers charging an extra dollar to have Touch-Tone

service when we know that that has been an obsolete necessity fee for years, and years, and years. And, in fact, if everybody said I don't want Touch-Tone, I am going to use rotary service right now, we would probably shut down the carriers because they would have to convert all of those pulses to tones. And yet they are charging us a buck or some of them I think it has about ended now. And that went on for years, even beyond the necessity. So this type of thing puts a distrust in my heart and mind for some of the fee structures that I see that I'm paying.

I heard a comment and discussion about the proposal putting a may into the price structure. Not a you will, but you may charge a certain amount. We have some of that right now. The FCC charges the carrier is allowed to pass along if they choose to. It is not a mandatory fee, it is a discretionary fee, and yet I don't know of very many carriers that don't pass it along to the fullest extent they can.

We see any number of discretionary types of fees that carriers charge us that aren't in the middle of the range, or in the low end of the range. They get maxed out. And that angers me as a consumer. I oppose approval of the rate increases, particularly in the perspective of trying to achieve parity. I think the numbers are already skewed.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Davis, thank you for your testimony. Questions? Thank you, sir. Mr. Beck.

MR. BECK: The next witness is Bill Warfel.

BILL WARFEL

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. WARFEL: My name is Bill Warfel and I'm from Ocala, Florida. I am formerly a resident of Birmingham, Alabama, a former Bell system employee, and a contractor for big utility companies in the State of Alabama for 30 years. I started out as an accountant in Birmingham, and one of my first assignments was to supervise a rate under bond procedure that we had cooking at the time. The Bell system sought rate relief from the Public Service Commission, which was denied. They then went to court to have it overturned and have the increase granted. In doing so, they had to put the rates under bond and it was a nightmare, because this was 1960, before computers. We had to do it all by hand.

I have come to you as a free enterprise businessman. I can see both sides of this thing. I have always believed that the utility companies should be paid for what they do. They should have profit that is directly related to their rate base, which is the way I was brought up in the business. And I think that's fair. However, what I see today is a total corruption of the process mainly by the federal judiciary who took the greatest telephone company in the world and broke it

into little pieces. The result of that has been that long distance rates have plunged. You can talk your head off on long distance service now for very little money. Local service rates have gone steadily up ever since the big breakup.

Competition. As a free enterprise businessman and dealing in a competitive bidding process with utility companies over the years, I never got a job where I was the high bidder. I never was able to charge more money for my service and expect to get any work out of it.

One of the gentleman talked about competition with Wendy's, McDonald's, and Burger King. If I could persuade Wendy's, Burger King, and McDonald's to raise the price of a hamburger to five dollars, I would go into the burger business and I could compete with them. That's crazy. And for this proposal to pass the reduction in access charges for people who want to make long distance phone calls from Ocala to Tampa and pass that along to me, as a residential customer, is just nuts. I don't get that at all.

One of the gentlemen alluded to the fact that we are selling line charges for ten bucks or below cost. Well, I don't know where he gets that, because my bill in Ocala with Sprint -- is anybody here from Sprint tonight?

CHAIRMAN JABER: No, sir.

MR. WARFEL: I don't blame them, I wouldn't have shown up either; \$9.94 for the basic line charge. That is

ა 4

5 6

8

9

7

1011

12

1314

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2223

24

25

pretty cheap. In Alabama, I still have some phones in Alabama at my farm up there. My line charge with ALLTEL in Leeds, Alabama is \$17.87. But then again I can call half a million people from that telephone, which I can't do in Ocala.

But then we come along here and we have a \$6.50 access fee that is FCC mandated. Now, I have been trying for a long time to figure out where that came from. And finally I got a congressman to send me this nice little report here about the access charges. I tried to talk to the Public Service Commissioners in Tallahassee, and I tried to talk to the Public Service Commissioners in Montgomery, Alabama, and got nowhere with it. The people in Alabama wouldn't even talk to me about The people in Tallahassee said, well, there is nothing we it. can do about it. And it is true, there is nothing you can do about it. But I grew up in a simpler time when you didn't have all this access charges business. The FCC wasn't raising local telephone rates. You had a rate base, you went to the Public Service Commission and said we need 8.6 percent, or whatever the number was at the time, and they either did it or they didn't do it, and if they didn't do it, you litigated it. And that is how it was.

But now we have got a situation where you have got the Public Service Commission on one hand trying to raise the rates on the front door, or perhaps raise the rates on the front door, and you have got the Federal Communications

Commission raising the rates in the back door. And the first thing you know my \$9.94 telephone bill shows up as a bottom line of \$19.57, which includes a \$6.50 access fee. which used to be three bucks, now it is 6.50 going higher than that: 62 cents for Al Gore's universal service fee, that's what it is. he wanted to put the internet in every public library and every school in the world, or in the United States. That has long since been accomplished.

COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: I am just glad you gave credit where credit is due.

MR. WARFEL: But this charge is still there. By the way, the \$6.50 access fee, in case any of you folks don't know where that came from, it was intended to allow local telephone companies to recover some of the fixed costs, telephone wire, poles, and other facilities of connecting phone customers to the interstate long distance network, circa 1984. Now, like the previous gentleman testified, a lot of this stuff now is the function of the switch. Any cost that they had to connect you to the long distance service network has long since been recovered, especially at \$6.50 a month.

If you figure that 100,000 phone customers in Marion County, Florida, that is \$650,000 a month that they are knocking down on this universal access fee alone. That is, what, \$8 million a year. I'm kind of hard pressed to understand how we are in need here. But it goes on. Number

portability, 53 cents. which I can't even use. If I move across the street in Ocala, Florida, I can't take my number with me. because they don't have the technology to do that. Telecom relay charge, 12 cents; 50 cents, 911; 54 cents federal tax; 40 cents -- I guess that is local tax; 42 cents state tax, for a grand total of \$9.63 in fees and taxes on \$9.94 access charges.

The real money, though, is in the \$6.50 that the FCC has stuck us with. And to me it is back door. When they started all of this business breaking up the Bell system, the idea was that it was going to cost us less. Well, it worked on long distance, but on local service, huh-uh. Because the first thing that happened, all the telephone companies went in there and said, hey, we are getting creamed on this. We have got to have some help. So the FCC, in its infinite wisdom, provided this sliding scale of increases that started at \$3 and now it is 6.50 and probably go up to 7 and change before it is over with.

Competition, local competition. I have heard that talked about a lot. Local competition for local telephone service is a myth. The only real competition that your hardwire services, BellSouth, Verizon, and Sprint have is with the wireless companies. These people that are listed in the front of the phone book that you are trying to call and say, hey, I would like some local service, they can't do anything

unless the big company. the Bell system. Verizon. or Sprint decide to get them a pair out there so they can wholesale that to them somehow, some way, run it into a central office, hit a switch, switch it over into the BellSouth switch, and then make the call.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I called two of them when I moved to Ocala, because I couldn't get a pair in my house which had a cable running right in front of it. And the guy said, "Well, yes, we will come out there and see what we can do." He came out there and he said, "Well, where is your cable?" I said, "It's out there." And he said, "Well, where is your nearest terminal?" And I said, "It's down there." And he said, "Well, okay. I'll get back to you." He called me back in a day or two. I needed a phone bad. He called me back in a day or two, and said, "We don't have a pair out there." I said, Are you in the phone business or aren't you?" He said, "Well, we have to have a pair from Sprint before we can do anything for you." I said, "Let me see if I've got this right. You're going to have to get a pair from Sprint, you are going to have to run it down into the central office, you are going to have to switch it from your switch into their switch, and then send the call over to wherever I want it to go, right?" He said, "Yes." I said, "Then why do I need you? If this thing breaks down, who is going to fix it?" He said, "Sprint." I said, "You guys are a joke. I think I'll go get a Nextel cell phone, "which I did.

Quality of service. I don't know about the Bell system, because I don't have it anymore. I used to. It was a wonderful company. I loved it. You guys do a good job and always have. But Sprint is laughable. I have had line noise on my phone for over a year ever since lightening hit the pole. the power pole across the street from my house about a year ago. And the guy came out, and first he said it was in my house, which it was not. My house didn't get hit. So we get him back again, and he came out, and he finally checked it out again. He has these bad connecters. Any of you all know what a pedestal is? It's a little green box on the side of the street that looks like a big Spam can. Anyway, he starts checking it, and he has got bad connecters. You know what beenies (phonetic) are, don't you? The pairs come up into the pedestal and they are hooked to a terminal or they are spliced together with little beenies, little connectors you stick on it and clamp it shut. So he finds four or five little beenies bad. And he checks it all the way down the street, makes a right and heads towards town still finding bad beenies. Corrosion. Bad deal. So he finally got rid of most of the noise, and he came back, and he said. "That is the best I can do now. In order to fix this thing, we are going to have to go all the way back to the office with it." I said, "Well, that's what you are here for, isn't it, to go all the way back to the office if that is what it takes?" He said, "Well, I've got to

go clear some other troubles. I will be back later." Well, he has never been back. We have called again. They said, "Well, we are going to have to really work on this thing." These are the same people who -- they had to go up the street and tie into another pedestal, run another cable down the road parallel to the existing cable to get me a pair in the first place. These people are living in the 1940s. But the line noise is still there and probably still will be forever, and there is not a heck of a lot I can do about it.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Warfel, if you will make sure Mr. Durbin on your way out has your address, we will follow up with Sprint.

MR. WARFEL: Okay. I will finish up. I have covered just about everything. I resent the heck out of this \$6.50 access charge that is on my bill by the FCC that we didn't get a chance to vote on. We didn't have a public hearing on it. We didn't get to come and talk to you nice people tonight about it or anything else, we just got stuck with it. And that is your government in action.

The only option that you have on local service is wireless. You will never create competition on local telephone service if you have got some fly-by-nighter, some opportunist to try to hook up to the Bell system or Sprint lines and try to make a go of it. It just ain't going to happen, folks.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, sir, for your testimony.

Hang on, Mr. Twomey. Ms. Salak, if you will make sure to follow up with Mr. Durbin and that we have Mr. Warfel's address, we will follow-up on the line noise and get you an answer back. Mr. Twomey, you had a question?

MR. TWOMEY: Yes, ma'am. Mr. Warfel, you recognize, I think, or do you recognize where the \$6.50 goes?

MR. WARFEL: Yes.

MR. TWOMEY: Where does it go?

MR. WARFEL: To the local phone company, does it not?

MR. TWOMEY: It doesn't go to the FCC, does it?

MR. WARFEL: No, it goes to your local phone company.

MR. TWOMEY: Now, Chairman Jaber just offered to have the Commission staff look into your line noise problem. Did you hear my introductory statements to the effect that if the rate increases are granted, that within as little as two years these companies can attempt to take away the Public Service Commission's ability to control quality of service?

MR. WARFEL: I heard that.

MR. TWOMEY: Would you rather the Commission maintain its quality of control service over the phone companies or not have it?

MR. WARFEL: I would much rather the Commission have a voice in it, of course. But to take Sprint, for instance, they are going to increase rates by \$6.86 on a \$9 and change bill, that is over 60 percent for poor service. The stuff is

1	antiquated, it should have been junked years ago. Sprint has
2	always been behind the curve in telephone technology. When I
3	worked for the phone company of Birmingham, Sprint I believe
4	was part of GTE, was it not? Am I correct in that?
5	CHAIRMAN JABER: In Alabama, frankly
6	MR. WARFEL: No, even down here.
7	CHAIRMAN JABER: No, that was and you all can
8	correct me if I'm wrong, but GTE has become Verizon. Were
9	Sprint systems ever
10	MR. CRISER: I believe it used to be Centel and
11	United.
12	CHAIRMAN JABER: You're testing our history here. I
13	will have to tell you that was probably before all of our
14	collective time. But thank you, sir, for your testimony.
15	MR. WARFEL: You're welcome.
16	CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Beck.
17	MR. BECK: The next witness is Lucille Bornmann.
18	LUCILLE BORNMANN
19	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State
20	of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
21	DIRECT STATEMENT
22	CHAIRMAN JABER: Ms. Bornmann?
23	MS. BORNMANN: Yes. My name is Lucille Bornmann, and
24	I guess you have my address there?
25	CHAIRMAN JABER: Yes, ma'am.

MS. BORNMANN: I would like to say up front that my presentation is going to be a lot different than what you have heard so far. My husband retired with 40 years from BellSouth, and so I am well aware of the lot of things that have gone on in telephone companies. Anymore it is hard to tell who works for who, and who is really the big dog, and is it all still one company or whatever.

I appeared before this Commission a number of years back when Attorney Shreve was the greatest representative for the public.

CHAIRMAN JABER: He is right behind you.

MS. BORNMANN: I know it. It is good to see you again. I took my notes I planned on presenting to the Commission for Attorney Shreve to review before I spoke. He read them, said great, go with it. I brought out facts I knew were going on with the telephone company at the same time my phone was continually messed up, and so one seemed to be able to keep it working right.

So many times they said my line was crossed with another. I asked what was happening to the messages my friend said they left. I was told someone else was getting them. My friends can verify all of this. Mr. Clendenon (phonetic) was at the meeting I spoke. And he talked to me after the meeting. He was very concerned. Evidently he spoke to Nick Triventhor (phonetic), who was over the toll office where my husband

1

3

4

5 6

8 9

7

10 11

12

13 14

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

worked. My husband told me the next day that Nick salled a meeting of those in the office to discuss me. He told my husband to control his wife.

I don't know what the rest of the meeting or the discussion was, but I do know that my life has been a living hell since. I have not had a private conversation on my phone since then. I have bought at least 12 to 14 phones, at a cost of \$49 to \$149 over the years. They work fine for one day and then right back to the noises on the phone. It makes my friends leery to discuss anything personal. They say my phone is the only one they hear these noises on.

I have been in terrible pain the last few years because my hip had deteriorated. It was very hard for me to try to get to a phone when you don't have but one that works. I had surgery in June and am still in therapy three times a week. A telephone repairman came to my house to check out the system. When he left not one jack in my upstairs bedrooms worked. They were just left a bunch of wires pushed into the openings, so I was left with no way to have a phone upstairs. So each time I climbed 21 stairs to go to my bedroom, I had to take the phone from the only jack that worked downstairs and hold onto the phone and cane in one hand and pull myself up each step holding onto the rail. Do you know how painful that was for me?

I bought another phone, over \$100. Called repair to

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

_ :

25

would somebody please fix the jacks upstairs and make them operational again. A repairman came and put in all new jacks. He tested the new phone I bought and everything was working fine for one day, and then right back to the same thing. What is going on here? All of these years why is someone so afraid of what I may say to someone?

We talk about the companies and hard to tell which one is which, who does what, and all of that anymore. I would like to give you a little background so that those that probably will look to discredit me, you will know that I am an intelligent woman. I have served as vice chair and chairman of a hospital board. I have served as vice chair of two different zoning boards at different times. I served eight years on the ACT Corporation (phonetic) which handles all of the mental health for Volusia and Flagler Counties. I served on the Stewart Marchman (phonetic) board, which is over all of the substance abuse and alcohol for Volusia and Flagler. I have been in politics. I have lobbied in Tallahassee. I have worked with many people that have become senators. representatives, and so forth. I do my homework. I do not lie. And so I want you to know that when I tell you these things, they are true.

I have a good memory for being able to recall things and remember it word-by-word. I can remember telephone

numbers, license numbers, et cetera. I have been going back and forth to therapy three times a week now for a number of months, and I have to go from Ormond all the way out to Halifax Hospital, so I pass a lot of telephone companies. What kind of bewilders me is why is it that some of the cars I see at one company one day are at another company another day. And then they may be in New Smyrna, they may be in Ormond, or whatever. So whose employees are these? Who is paying them, and just what is going on?

I would also like to say that I have seen so many of these people just plain goofing off. Sitting in parks down at the end of my street, across the river, whatever. Just plain goofing off. If somebody is paying them to work during the day, they are getting cheated, and we are getting cheated.

I am so tired of what has gone on in the system for years and years, and every time I call this one will say I don't do this. Or I don't do that. I have a transformer right in front of my house, and yet one told me that my phone was in one that was three or four blocks away. And you are lucky to ever get one to go in one of them and check something to see if your lines are crossed or whatever. But I have seen so much waste in these companies. And these people do not deserve any increase. It used to be that the telephone companies was service, service, service. Now it is money, money, money.

Do you have an office anymore that your public can go

in and talk to somebody or get them to help you decide what your best service would be and this type of thing at all? No. There is nothing for the public anymore. You have to pick up a phone and talk to somebody in another state or whatever to do whatever you want to do pertaining to communications. And we are supposed to pay more for that? You know, the telephone company is saying we need money, money, money. Do you realize how many employees they have laid off? Do you know how many empty buildings they have right here in Volusia County that sit on prime real estate? If they are so broke, why don't they sell some of them? Why are they coming to the public, to the public, to the public, to the public, to the public?

They have these big buildings that only have a few people in them. They even rent out parts of some of them and most of them are sitting there almost empty. So they are not really trying to sell telephone service to the public anymore, they don't want to have to give service. If everybody goes wireless, they just go in and buy a phone and go home. So this thing about long distance and all of this other bull and all, you know, I came across a paper that I had, and my husband worked in the toll office for many, many, many years, and I assume he always worked for -- they were BellSouth then, they are Southern Bell or whatever, I don't know. They keep changing names of everything and selling companies, because as they sell them then they stop paying dividends.

They are also fooling around with the bension funds and the health funds that they promised those employees many many years ago, and now these people are retired and dependent on the word of those companies, and they are fixing to get screwed here. It is not right.

But right in here in 1984 it states that AT&T in this area took over the toll offices in Daytona Beach. They took over the offices on Nova Road in Ormond Beach and at 227 South Ridgewood in South Daytona. They are all now AT&T phone stores, but it doesn't say that. So, my husband was supposed to have retired from BellSouth in 1985. And yet this says that AT&T took over that toll office in 1984. So who did he really retire from and why does he get things from BellSouth instead of AT&T?

Now, when he retired 40 years, he received \$1,100 a month pension, and he received the larger checks that showed the itemized list of what was taken out for what and so forth. Well, he had one little policy that was being deducted through the telephone company that we have paid for over 40 years and as you get older the benefits go down but the price goes up. And so between that and what the telephone company has done now, they promised these people that they would get a cost of living with their pension. That has never happened. Now, he starts with \$1,100, then it goes to \$900, in the last six months it is now \$600. Which means if anything happened to him

as his widow I would receive \$300 a month. And I would have to pay for the health insurance and right on down the line. So, now instead of getting the checks that he got before that told you what they were taking things out for, these last few months and all he has gotten a little narrow check that all it says on it is his name and \$600. And that's it. So, I mean, I could write a book. I really could. And to listen to all of these other people and their reasons why they should or should not go along with the raises, I can tell you that telephone company has more money than they know what to do with. They have their real estate companies, they have their other companies. One thing they don't have is service for the local residents anymore. So they do not deserve a rate increase. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Ms. Bornmann. There may be questions and comments, but let me just confirm some information so our staff can follow up with you on your service issue, as well. You live in Ormond Beach is what I heard you say?

MS. BORNMANN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JABER: And for the record, you receive service from BellSouth?

MS. BORNMANN: I guess. I'm supposed to be.

CHAIRMAN JABER: What I would like to do, we have asked the telephone companies at all of these service hearings to have representatives here that can follow up with you and

our staff to make sure that you no longer have any service issues. So I will ask again. Ms. Salak. Mr. Durbin, and Mr. Criser, I don't know who you have here tonight to do that. but --

MR. CRISER: Ms. Sims is here.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Great.

MS. BORNMANN: And also if the AT&T took over the toll office in '84, my husband retired in '85, I don't know which one he retired from. And it was in an early out, and if so, what happened to the good-sized check he should have received as early out? Those are questions I really would like to have answered.

CHAIRMAN JABER: That question the PSC won't be able to address for you, because it is not a regulatory issue. But certainly Ms. Sims can given you the information to follow up on that question. But as it relates to the service issue, Mr. Criser, I would like to have some response from your company by Wednesday, please. Thank you.

MS. BORNMANN: Could I leave this with the attorney?

CHAIRMAN JABER: Absolutely. If you will hand it to

Mr. Shreve, he will be kind enough to give it to us before he

leaves.

MS. BORNMANN: We miss you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Ms. Bornmann. Were there any questions?

1 MR. TWOMEY: No. ma'am. 2 MR. BECK: The next witness is John Williams. 3 MR. WILLIAMS: I think you guys could take a 4 ten-minute break. We have been here for two hours. If nothing 5 else, stand up and at least they move around like we do. CHAIRMAN JABER: Are you Mr. Williams? We've been 6 7 waiting for your testimony. Maybe we will break right after 8 you. 9 MR. WILLIAMS: Mine is going to be so brief you will 10 be amazed. 11 CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you for being here. 12 MR. WILLIAMS: But you really should take a ten-minute break. You have been at it for two hours. 13 14 the army we used to take ten-minute breaks every two hours to 15 let guys pee over the side of the truck. (Laughter.) 16 CHAIRMAN JABER: I am afraid you have touched a sore 17 spot. 18 JOHN WILLIAMS 19 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State 20 of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 21 DIRECT STATEMENT 22 MR. WILLIAMS: My name is John Williams. I live here in Daytona Beach, and I want to welcome all of you here to 23 24 Daytona Beach. It's a beautiful city, and it has been -- I 25 came down from the north, as you probably suspect with my

accent. I'm a Yankee from Boston.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN JABER: Well, welcome. And by the way. I don't let them eat. either.

MR. WILLIAMS: And I have travelled the whole state. but I decided that Daytona Beach was alive and well and I loved it. I want to ask you folks, I have been with the telephone company for a long time. I'm over 80 years old now, so I have had them for a long, long time. And I find no fault with the telephone companies as such, but I would like to propose a question. You don't have to answer the question, but to yourself I would like to have you give me or give yourself an answer to this question. If you folks had to make a choice in the future, within a couple of weeks, or months, or a year between your telephone and your automobile, I tell you, you would have a very difficult decision to make. We don't appreciate the telephone. I appreciate the telephone. You are going to have to appreciate the telephone. This summer I spent three weeks without a telephone up in Nova Scotia. I want to tell you something. I felt like the most naked person in the world until somebody told me to go down and buy a \$20 telephone card and, therefore, you can make some phone calls.

I'm here primarily for one reason. I have no beef against anybody and anything. It is inflation that I am worried about. Inflation is killing everybody. I have heard people say I've got out of this, I have been here, I have been

there. Yes. I get out of my company after almost 40 years. My pension has not changed. They were wise enough not to build in a cost of living so-called, so the \$85, I don't know how much it is worth today, but this is what I represent, 140,000 Social Security recipients. And so, therefore, when the federal government says to me that next year, guess what, we are going to give you a 2.1 percent increase in your Social Security. That is what I want you to give the telephone companies and that is it. If I have to live with 2.1 percent, let them live with 2.1 percent.

And I'm happy to be able to pick up the phone. I sleep with a phone on the floor next to my bed. And the reason for it is one of my close friends was a day and a half on the floor dead because he couldn't reach up to take the phone off the wall. That is how important the phone is. We don't realize the importance of the phone. Right here in Daytona Beach I have had a woman who couldn't get out of the bathtub for a day and a half until her daughter came and rescued her. But the daughter had to pick up the phone and call 911. And so, therefore, give the phone company a 2.1 increase the same as I get. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Mr. Williams.

MR. WILLIAMS: And I hope you take a break.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you. Mr. Twomey is going to ask you a question.

1	MR. TWOMEY: But I will be brief. You said. Mr.	
2	Williams, that they should give the phone company no more than	
3	2.1 percent.	
4	MR. WILLIAMS: Which is what the government says I'm	
5	going to get.	
6	MR. TWOMEY: Yes, sir. Now, did you hear my	
7	introductory remarks where I said that if the Public Service	
8	Commission denies these rate increases, that the current law	
9	will allow the companies to raise their rates only by the rate	
10	of inflation minus one percent?	
11	MR. WILLIAMS: I didn't think I heard the minus one	
12	percent.	
13	MR. TWOMEY: My question is	
14	MR. WILLIAMS: I don't mind. If you will give them	
15	2.1 percent minus percent, hey, that's good for me, too.	
16	MR. TWOMEY: Thank you.	
17	CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, sir.	
18	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.	
19	CHAIRMAN JABER: And I have to tell you, against your	
20	recommendation, we are going to move on. They don't get a	
21	break.	
22	Mr. Beck, call your next witness.	
23	MR. BECK: Thank you, Chairman Jaber. The next	
24	witness is Tom Baruth.	
25	TOM BARUTH	

1	W
2	0
3	
4	
5	I
6	t
7	р
8	W
9	3
10	S
11	u
12	a
13	m
14	С
15	0
16	a
17	
18	t
19	
20	R
21	

23

24

25

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. BARUTH: Good evening. My name is Thomas Baruth. I live at 1014 East Georgia in DeLand, Florida. And I'm here to be brief and very concise. I would like to oppose the present rate increases on the telephone service. I was faced with -- I was receiving a \$22 bill a month, it jumped to 28 to 32 in about 60 days. I think it is unreasonable for the service that I get and for the limited use of the phone that I use. I'm a disabled veteran on a fixed income and I can't afford a rate increase. I have one land-based phone, and I make very few long distance phone calls. I'm not pleased with cell phones or the inconvenience they cause to others. I'm not on the Internet and I don't intend to be. And I don't appreciate the rate increases.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Baruth, thank you for your testimony. Mr. Beck.

MR. BECK: Thank you. The next witness is Marsha Radulovich. Is Marsha Radulovich here? Jim Cameron.

JIM CAMERON

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. CAMERON: My name is Jim Cameron. I'm Vice

2
 3
 4

Government of Government Relations with the Daytona 3each
Halifax area Chamber of Commerce. Glad to be with you tonight
on behalf of our 1,200 members, which includes church
facilities, manufacturers, professional businesses. as well as
our many small business members.

And this past session our chamber -- I was in Tallahassee, we worked with other business organizations, including the Florida chamber in support of Senate Bill 654 as we believed it was in the best interest of our members. We see it as a means to modernize the communications, telecommunications market by promoting new technologies, and we feel that this will encourage innovation and spur competition, especially in the local phone service market.

We believe that it will further reduce long distance access charges and we are glad that it does include the expanded Lifeline program. We want to encourage the Commission to keep in mind that telecommunications companies must be permitted to price important services that they provide at a fair market value subject to, again, PSC oversight.

And if I'm not mistaken, this legislation that was approved this session, that clause was not in previous bills. I may be mistaken, but we were glad to see that. But, prior to this legislation, telecommunication companies had to offer services at below cost with the difference being made up with commercial and long distance rates along with other services

and charges. Pricing must reflect the true cost and realities of today's communication market if the telecommunications industry is to provide service in the Florida marketplace.

And I will add, for the record, that BellSouth, Sprint, and Verizon are members of our chamber.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Cameron, thank you. Are there questions?

MR. TWOMEY: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Twomey.

MR. TWOMEY: Mr. Cameron, how many of your 1,200 members would you suppose are single-line business customers?

MR. CAMERON: And I remember hearing you ask that of Linda White, and I believe she said about 80 or 85 percent. I'm guessing in our membership, and this is just a rough guess, I would say more than half, at least 50 percent. I'm just saying that right off the top.

MR. TWOMEY: Do you recognize that under these rate increase petitions that the single-line business customers will get rate increases, but that the multi-line will not?

MR. CAMERON: And, again, I'm going to go back to like Linda White was saying, that we feel that the difference will be made up as far as increased competition with long distance rates, and we feel that that will make up that savings with long distance rates, lower long distance rates.

MR. TWOMEY: Yes, sir. And like Ms. White, would you

agree that it would be important to know what the reduced in-state long distance toll rates would be to calculate the ability to make those savings?

MR. CAMERON: Like I said, again, we can't predict the future and all, but we just feel, again, that this will mean a savings for businesses in the long run, all things considered.

MR. TWOMEY: You spoke about new technologies and innovations that you would expect to see as a result of these rate increases. What new technologies and innovations do you have in mind?

MR. CAMERON: Again, I mean, I am just saying new means of service. I'm not in the phone business myself, per se, but, I mean, again, probably 20 or 30 years ago I never would have thought of things like three-way calling that they have. Also, what is it, caller identification. What is it, *69. That type of calling that you can get a return call back to. I never would have thought they would have had those things, but who knows what they are going to have coming in the future that we will see as a necessity in the future.

MR. TWOMEY: I believe I heard you say that you believe that the telephone companies are selling their local service at below cost, is that correct?

MR. CAMERON: That's my understanding, yes.

MR. TWOMEY: Do you know that as a fact, Mr. Cameron,

1	or is it just something that you have been told by the
2	telephone companies?
3	MR. CAMERON: No, that is our understanding as a
4	chamber of commerce.
5	MR. TWOMEY: And where did you get that understanding
6	from?
7	MR. CAMERON: Like I said, we looked at I have
8	attended Public Service Commission meetings, and I have looked
9	at the staff analysis that was prepared by the House and Senate
10	committees on this bill. Mr. Arnall, I was up there following
11	this bill back when Mr. Arnall was telecommunications chairman,
12	so I have looked at this bill in Tallahassee. I have been
13	going up there since '87. I remember sitting in on meetings
14	and reading the staff analysis on this bill since, again, I
15	would say mid-'90s, my guess.
16	MR. TWOMEY: Yes, sir. Do you expect your members
17	make an appreciable amount of in-state toll calls?
18	MR. CAMERON: I feel so, yes. They have got
19	suppliers and customers and all, so, yes, I believe there is a
20	good bit of long distance service there.
21	MR. TWOMEY: And have you been led to believe that
22	those members that make appreciable in-state toll calls will
23	receive benefits by these in-state toll rates going in?
24	MR. CAMERON: It is my belief that it is, yes.
25	MR. TWOMEY: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, sir, for your testimony. 1 Mr. Beck. 3 MR. CAMERON: Anything else? CHAIRMAN JABER: I think you are good to go. Thank 4 5 you. 6 MR. CAMERON: Thank you. 7 MR. BECK: The next witness is P. Terri Malia. TERRI MALIA 8 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State 9 10 of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 11 DIRECT STATEMENT 12 MS. MALIA: Thank you. That is pronounced Malia. I would like to thank you for having this forum here in Daytona. 13 14 We really appreciate it, and also for hearing us today. I am 15 speaking as a residential consumer and as an independent representative of an alternate provider of telephone service 16 that has just launched local service in the State of Florida. 17 Georgia, North and South Carolina, Tennessee, and the other 18 19 BellSouth states. 20 CHAIRMAN JABER: What is that? 21 MS. MALIA: American Communications Network, ACN, 22 based out of Farmington Hills, Massachusetts. A ten-year-old 23 company. We are also licensed to market gas and electric in 24 nine states in the United States and Europe, and all 50 states 25 for local and long distance service.

There was an article in the newspaper recently that said that the three phone giants are seeking a rate increase to spur competition. I don't believe that's the case. In actuality competition already exists. It is just that consumers don't know about it yet, or haven't been as informed as they could be. My company, ACN, as I said, just launched local service and we offer a 23 to 41 percent decrease over local and long distance rates just in the State of Florida. Other states are different rates.

My question is is it not the Public Service's mandate to dispense information to the consumers so that they know that they have a choice? A lot of consumers just don't know that they have a choice. My company is changing that, but it still is something that I think it is a public service mandate to do that.

Other states, other PSCs in other states, New York, for example, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Illinois have taken proactive charges and done just that by putting articles in newspapers and letting out information. I know there is a cost bearing by that, but there is -- that is happening in other states. And my company is also entering into the advertising and is disseminating information to the consumer.

I do have these brochures, but I also have 30 years with BellSouth. I retired as a BellSouth employee doing just about everything but climbing telephone poles. And part of

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Like I said, I like these brochures, but even with 30 years service with BellSouth, I didn't know they existed. My customers know because I tell them to look for them and compare my company with BellSouth.

that was in testing and in the central office. and I know that

the lines already have on them -- all the central offices are

computers, or mostly, I will say 99 percent of them are

computers now -- so the lines are all providing all of the

from the line and you don't pay for it. But, again, those

services. If you don't want the service, you just remove it

services are already there, it doesn't cost anything more. One

of the other gentlemen spoke about the fact that those services

were there and the consumer can either pay for it or not pay

for it, but the cost has already been recouped by the company

CHAIRMAN JABER: Ms. Malia, have you ever gotten on the Florida PSC website?

MS. MALIA: I have, yes.

as far as I'm concerned.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Then perhaps you didn't see the information on those brochures?

MS. MALIA: You would have to know to go there. But, yes. My other statement is that I just appreciate what you have brought to the community. At least it was, you know, noted in the newspaper that you would be here. And, again, I appreciate that.

COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: I have one question. Is ACN 1 2 a facilities-based competitor? MS. MALIA: It can be a facilities-based. but it is 3 not in this state. 4 COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: Is it a UNE-P provided, or 5 how is it providing local residential service, via what 6 platform? 7 MS. MALIA: Through the BellSouth platform. So we 8 resell. if you will. 9 10 COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: Resale. 11 MS. MALIA: In this state, yes. In this state we 12 have Verizon. AmeriTech, and out in California it is Pac-Bell. 13 CHAIRMAN JABER: And in terms of marketing -- were 14 you done. Commissioner Davidson? 15 COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: Yes. 16 CHAIRMAN JABER: In terms of marketing, what you have 17 done to disseminate information in the areas where you have launched local service? 18 MS. MALIA: It is word of mouth mostly. Mine is a 19 home-based business. I'm an independent contractor, if you 20 will. Multi-level marketing. I sell to people I know. I 21 don't stand in front of BellSouth and say, "I will save you 22 23 money." I don't do telemarketing. I don't do mass mailings. 24 That is not part of what my company is. 25 CHAIRMAN JABER: How do you disseminate information

about your website?

MS. MALIA: I pass out information. It is on the website if anybody wants to go to telecom it is right on the website.

ט

CHAIRMAN JABER: So how do they know about your website, I'm sorry, I missed that.

MS. MALIA: You can go to telecom providers. You just search for telecom providers and you will get a list that way. If you would like the website, I can give it to you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Twomey.

MR. TWOMEY: Briefly, Madam Chairman. How long did you say ACN has been providing service in Florida?

MS. MALIA: We have provided long distance service in Florida for ten years. We have only launched local service this month. We have provided local service in eight other states for over two years now, and in Europe -- we are very, very active in Europe. Most of Europe is mobile. We are launching mobile service. We provide gas and electric in some states, eight other states.

MR. TWOMEY: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Ms. Malia, for your testimony.

MS. MALIA: Thank you, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Beck.

MR. BECK: The next witness is Luther Davidson.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

14

13

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

LUTHER DAVIDSON

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. DAVIDSON: My name is Luther Davidson. I reside at 912 Juniper (phonetic) Tree Place, Port Orange, Florida. became aware just through radio, listening on the radio, reading it in the newspaper, et cetera, and I have sat here and listened to all kinds of people come up here tonight. Of course, the chamber of commerce is going to come up here and talk about how great it is because if they get up here and talk about how bad it is, or something like that, BellSouth and all the other ones may not want to be members of the chamber any more, and pay their dues, or whatever the case may be.

Somebody had a real good suggestion up here about cost of living allowances and, you know, maybe that is what the telephone companies should get. But, again, I have sat here and heard all of this testimony, and I guess my question my, biggest question is it appears that everybody is talking about competition, this will increase competition, this will increase competition, this will increase competition. If I'm not mistaken, somebody awhile back deregulated the pricing industry for the cable industry to talk about the increased competition. Well, there is no increased competition. Through various franchising agreements and everything else, you don't have a

choice.

Now, I moved into an area where my cable for more channels than I've got right now was \$11 a month and was part of the homeowners association. And they were bought out by the local cable company, who I guess now is Brighthouse (phonetic). I guess, Time Warner, Brighthouse, or whatever. And the basic cable rates have constantly gone up, and gone up, and gone up. And I have less channels initially when they took over my system than what I had when I had it. The same thing with the telephone stuff. I mean, I have a cell phone. Yes, I have a cell phone from work and a cell phone that I use personally. I use a calling card to call long distance because I can buy a calling card and get long distance for 3.5 cents a minute. And if I don't use my calling card, because I do call Germany and a I don't use a calling card on it, I only pay 14 cents a minute for long distance, or 12 cents, whatever it is through AT&T.

So sitting here and telling me that you are going increase my basic home telephone rate and increase long distance competition or increase this competition, I'm sorry, I haven't seen any. The airline industry wanted to be deregulated to increase competition. There wasn't any increased competition. All these things that we deregulate or do price changes to increase competition because of government regulation more than anything else, there is no competition. I mean, I can't decide that I want to go with somebody else to

get my power in this area, because Florida Power and Light has got the only name in the game. They are the only name in town, and there is a reason for that and I understand that.

But I think one gentleman hit it on the head. Most of the infrastructure that is in the telephone companies today is bought and paid for 100 times over. And everything you are getting right now is more profit than anything else. You know, I haven't seen one good reason, or have not heard one good thing from the telephone companies as to why they need more money. One lady said you can't get anybody on the phone. Most of the people don't realize when you dial a number what you get is a very updated computer that has a real good voice that sounds like a human being on it, and it is not a human being on the end of that thing. It is not like the days when 2001: A Space Odyssey was out there and HAL was talking. Those days are long gone. We are sophisticated now. What you get is a computer with a whole bunch of menu selections. Do this, do this, do this, or et cetera.

I don't understand the reason for the rate. And I'm not on Social Security yet, but there are people in here that have talked about their cost of living, and they might have been 2.1 percent Social Security cost of living, but on the other end of that thing their Medicare premium went up. So I think their cost of living increase might have been like minus 5.8 percent when it all balances out. I just think it is a

real bad idea and especially in a time like this.

You can talk about modern communication, you can do this, to me the most modern communication is if every telephone call were to go up to a satellite and then be gone somewhere else so that when you have a storm come through, it doesn't take down the telephone lines or anything else as hurricanes and everything else have done. I mean, that technology is up there, but it is too expensive right now. I would be willing to bet they can do it, but it is too expensive right now. So, I mean, what are they going to get, what is the increase in fees going to give us? And don't tell me competition, because there isn't any. That's it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, sir.

MR. BECK: Chairman, Mr. Davidson is the last person who signed up.

CHAIRMAN JABER: There was someone we were going to call out again.

MR. BECK: Ms. Radulovich. Ms. Radulovich.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Okay. Before we adjourn, let me ask is there anyone else that wishes to testify? And, sir, you weren't here when I administered the oath, right? Let me have you stand and raise your right hand.

(Witness sworn.)

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, sir. When you come up, I need you to state your name since we don't have it here. Is

2

3 4

5

7

6

9 10

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

there anyone else in the audience that wishes to testify? Go ahead. sir.

MAURICE GREENBERG

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. GREENBERG: Good evening and thank you for being here. My name is Maurice Greenberg. I live in Ormond Beach. And I really didn't intend to speak tonight, however I have been listening the whole evening to what has been going on and it is a little depressing. It sounds to me like everyone from the chamber of commerce, which represents big business, is enthusiastic about an increase, and the ordinary resident who has to pay these increases obviously is against them. It is a very strange thing that telephone companies will ask for an increase that is revenue neutral. Why ask for an increase that is revenue neutral? If you don't benefit from it, why do you want it? Obviously it isn't revenue neutral.

And looking at the size of the increases, the three different companies have very, very, different increases. And if competition is what drives these things, it looks to me like Verizon and Sprint are going to go out of business since BellSouth is the most reasonable. BellSouth proposes an increase that over a three-year period of time it looks to me like it is roughly an 18 percent increase. And what I hear

tonight is followed by that they can get a 20 percent increase every year. The government doesn't increase my Social Security 20 percent every year.

And I find it also interesting that the governor who vetoed this bill last year signed it this year because he doesn't have to run for office again. I find that fascinating. So it seems to me that what should benefit the consumer, according to what the charge is, will do nothing for the consumer. After all, it has to take years before competition will be there that will drive these costs down. And by that time, many of us will be long gone. So, I think it is something that this commission ought to consider very carefully. Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, sir, for being here.

Florida.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Especially in the State of

CHAIRMAN JABER: Let me again state that this is the tenth hearing, tenth public hearing in fourteen. And we really appreciate you all being here with us tonight, both Commissioner Davidson and I, and being part of the process. We will evaluate all of the information as we deliberate on this proceeding.

Thank you, and that concludes this hearing. (The service hearing concluded at 8:25 p.m.)