

Kay Flynn

030001-EI

To: Cochran Keating  
Subject: RE: confidential DN 09219-03

Okay. I'll use this e-mail as a directive to return the document.

Thanks.

-----Original Message-----  
From: Cochran Keating  
Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2003 11:25 AM  
To: Kay Flynn  
Subject: RE: confidential DN 09219-03

After looking at the transcript, I remembered that neither version of those testimonies was moved into the record because each addressed issues that were deferred from the hearing. So, you can return the original document (09219-03) to Tampa Electric.

Thanks.

-----Original Message-----  
From: Kay Flynn  
Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2003 10:56 AM  
To: Cochran Keating  
Subject: RE: confidential DN 09219-03

Okay. Volumes 1 and 2 are on-line now if that helps.

-----Original Message-----  
From: Cochran Keating  
Sent: Monday, November 24, 2003 5:23 PM  
To: Kay Flynn  
Subject: RE: confidential DN 09219-03

- AUS \_\_\_\_\_
- CAF \_\_\_\_\_
- CMP \_\_\_\_\_
- COM \_\_\_\_\_
- CTR \_\_\_\_\_
- ECR \_\_\_\_\_
- GCL \_\_\_\_\_
- OPC \_\_\_\_\_
- MMS \_\_\_\_\_
- SEC   1
- OTH   C4 to

*Maryanne*

It may depend on which version Tampa Electric moved into the record at the fuel hearing. If Tampa Electric moved the revised filing into the record as their testimony, then I believe we can return the original version. I'll have to wait for the transcript to find out because I just can't remember.

-----Original Message-----  
From: Kay Flynn  
Sent: Monday, November 24, 2003 11:49 AM  
To: Cochran Keating  
Subject: RE: confidential DN 09219-03

We do if we determine they're no longer needed, but please do discuss it with Mary Anne.

Thanks.

-----Original Message-----  
From: Cochran Keating  
Sent: Monday, November 24, 2003 11:48 AM  
To: Kay Flynn  
Subject: RE: confidential DN 09219-03

I need to ask Mary Anne about that. I don't think that we usually return documents that

DOCUMENT NO. 09219-03-1

12037 NOV 25 03

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

have been filed (as opposed to discovery responses).

-----Original Message-----

From: Kay Flynn  
Sent: Monday, November 24, 2003 11:31 AM  
To: Cochran Keating; Todd Bohrmann  
Cc: Marguerite Lockard  
Subject: confidential DN 09219-03

This document (09219-03) was filed by TECO back in September. In October, they filed a substitute (DN 10145-03) and a request for confidentiality on the substitute, and made a request in the cover letter of confidential DN 10145-03 that we return DN 09219-03.

09219-03 consists of pgs 10, 19, 23, and 24 from Dibner's supplemental direct testimony, and pg 1-78 of Dibner's exhibit; AND pgs 12, 13, and 15 of Wehle's supplemental direct testimony.

10145-03 (the substitute) consists only of pgs 10, 19, and 23 of Dibner's test, and Dibner's exhibit. (Pg 24 of Dibner's test and Wehle's 3 pages are no longer considered confidential.)

Is it okay to return DN 09219-03 to TECO/Beasley?

Kay