



Public Service Commission  
CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD  
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

COMMISSION  
CLERK

DEC-3 AM 11:07

RECEIVED PSC

**DATE:** DECEMBER 4, 2003

**TO:** DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF THE COMMISSION CLERK & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES (BAYÓ)

**FROM:** DIVISION OF ECONOMIC REGULATION (BAXTER) *DPW*  
OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL (K. FLEMING) *KEF* *JDJ* *MAH*

**RE:** DOCKET NO. 030974-EU - PETITION FOR VARIANCE FROM OR WAIVER OF INDIVIDUAL METERING REQUIREMENT OF RULE 25-6.049(5)(A), F.A.C., BY ST. MAARTEN AT SILVER SHELLS CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, INC.

**AGENDA:** 12/16/03 - REGULAR AGENDA - PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION - INTERESTED PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

**CRITICAL DATES:** JANUARY 6, 2004

**SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:** NONE

**FILE NAME AND LOCATION:** S:\PSC\ECR\WP\030974.RCM

CASE BACKGROUND

St. Maarten at Silver Shells Condominium Association, Inc. (St. Maarten), a not-for-profit corporation which operates a condominium in Destin, Florida, is requesting a waiver of the requirements of Rule 25-6.049(5)(a), Florida Administrative Code. The rule sets forth the conditions under which individual occupancy units in residential and commercial buildings must be metered for their electricity use. The rule specifically states that all occupancy units in condominiums must be individually metered by the utility. St. Maarten seeks a waiver from this requirement for the St. Maarten at Silver Shells Condominium (Silver Shells). If granted, the waiver would allow the installation of a single master meter to measure usage for all of the residential units in the condominium. The waiver is sought because St. Maarten contends that, although Silver Shells is a condominium, it operates in a

DOCKET NO. 030974-EU  
DATE: December 4, 2003

manner similar to hotels and motels, which are not required to be individually metered.

Notice of the petition was published in the Florida Administrative Weekly on October 31, 2003. The comment period expired on November 14, 2003, and no comments were received.

The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Sections 366.04, 366.05, and 366.06, Florida Statutes, as well as Section 120.542, Florida Statutes.

**ISSUE 1:** Should the Commission grant St. Maarten's request for waiver of the requirements of Rule 25-6.049(5)(a), Florida Administrative Code?

**RECOMMENDATION:** Yes. Staff recommends that the requested rule waiver be granted, provided that: (1) St. Maarten allocates the cost of electricity to the individual condominium unit owners using a reasonable apportionment method, as required by Rule 25-6.049(6)(a), Florida Administrative Code; (2) St. Maarten is responsible for all of the costs associated with the conversion from individual metering to master metering; (3) the waiver is effective only so long as the condominium is operated and licensed as a transient occupancy facility, and (4) all or substantially all of the units are operated on a transient basis. At such time the condominium is no longer so operated and licensed, St. Maarten must immediately inform Gulf Power Company (Gulf), at which time Gulf will install individual meters on the occupancy units. In the event such a conversion to individual metering is required, St. Maarten will be solely responsible for the cost of such conversion.  
(BAXTER, K. FLEMING)

**STAFF ANALYSIS:** The petitioner, St. Maarten, is the operator of the St. Maarten at Silver Shells Condominium in Destin, Florida. The condominium is located in Gulf's service area, and consists of 102 residential units that are currently individually metered by the utility.

St. Maarten asserts that its condominium is operated and licensed as a "resort condominium" as defined in Section 509.242 (1), Florida Statutes. St. Maarten indicated that only 2 of the 102 units are used for permanent occupancy. They assert that the remaining unit owners do not occupy their units on a year-round basis, but treat their units as investments which are let on a daily or weekly basis to vacationers. St. Maarten maintains a registration desk and lobby where guests are required to check in and check out, and a central PBX telephone system. The units also have common water and sewer, cable, and garbage and trash removal services.

Of the 100 units offered for rent, Resort Property Management administers 60 units, Resort Quest d/b/a Abbott Realty handles 36 units, and 4 units are managed by their owners or other entities. These units are available for short-term transient rental purposes, in a manner similar to that of conventional hotel rooms. Unit

owners whose units are administered by Resort Property Management and Abbott Realty sign a 1-year contract that renews automatically unless a written 90-day notice of cancellation is given. All participants in the rental groups must provide a standardized set of furnishings and furniture for their units.

The condominium documents contain no restrictions on year-round occupancy by the unit owners. However, based on the representation that 98% of all owners have elected to participate in rental programs or are offering their units for rent, staff believes that it is highly probable that the facility will be used primarily for transient rental purposes for the foreseeable future.

St. Maarten represents that the Silver Shells is operated as a luxury hotel. It is registered with and licensed by the Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation to engage in the business of transient lodging and is registered with the Florida Department of Revenue to collect and remit sales taxes on revenue realized from providing such transient accommodations. The condominium will be in direct competition with hotels and motels in the area. St. Maarten states that an agreement with Resort Property Management has been signed to operate Silver Shells.

Rule 25-6.049(5)(a), Florida Administrative Code, requires the utility to individually meter condominium units:

(5)(a) Individual electric metering by the utility shall be required for each separate occupancy unit of new commercial establishments, residential buildings, condominiums, cooperatives, marinas, and trailer, mobile home and recreational vehicle parks for which construction is commenced after January 1, 1981.

(Emphasis added.)

St. Maarten seeks a waiver that would allow it to be billed under a master meter that would serve all of the condominium units, instead of individual meters. This would allow the residential units to be billed under a single commercial account, instead of 102 separate residential accounts. This consolidation will likely result in lower electricity costs to Silver Shells.

I. REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 120.542(5), FLORIDA STATUTES

Section 120.542(1), Florida Statutes, provides a two-pronged test for determining when waivers and variances from agency rules shall be granted:

. . . when the person subject to the rule demonstrates that the purpose of the underlying statute will be or has been achieved by other means by the person **and** when application of the rule would create a substantial hardship or would violate principles of fairness. For purposes of this section, "substantial hardship" means demonstrated economic, technological, legal or other type of hardship to the person requesting the variance or waiver.

(Emphasis added.)

A. THE PURPOSES OF THE UNDERLYING STATUTES

Pursuant to Section 120.542, Florida Statutes, the petitioner must demonstrate that the purpose of the underlying statute will be or has been achieved by other means by the person. Rule 25-6.049, Florida Administrative Code, implements Section 366.05(1), Florida Statutes, and Sections 366.81 and 366.82, Florida Statutes.

Section 366.05(1), Florida Statutes, gives the Commission the authority to prescribe rate classifications and service rules and regulations to be observed by the investor-owned electric utilities. Rule 25-6.049, Florida Administrative Code, implements this statute by setting forth the circumstances under which individual occupancy units must be metered by the utility.

Sections 366.81 and 366.82, Florida Statutes, are known collectively as the Florida Energy Efficiency and Conservation Act, or FEECA. This statute directs the Commission to adopt goals and approve plans related to the conservation of electric energy. Rule 25-6.049(5)(a), Florida Administrative Code, implements this statute by setting forth the conditions under which individual occupancy units must be metered by the utility:

(5)(a) Individual electric metering by the utility shall be required for each separate occupancy unit of new commercial establishments, residential buildings,

condominiums, cooperatives, marinas, and trailer, mobile home and recreational vehicle parks for which construction is commenced after January 1, 1981.

The requirement that individual occupancy units be individually metered serves the conservation goals of FEECA because when unit owners are responsible for paying based on their actual electricity consumption, they are more likely to conserve to minimize their bills.

Rule 25-6.049(5)(a), Florida Administrative Code, provides certain exemptions from the individual metering requirement for facilities such as hospitals, nursing homes, college dormitories, convents, fraternity and sorority houses, hotels, and motels. The types of facilities exempted from the individual metering requirement are those in which, due to their nature or mode of operation, attributing usage to individual occupants is not practical. For example, hotels and motels are commercial enterprises in which the occupants of the units are not billed for their use of electricity, but pay a bundled rate for the use of a room for a limited time.

The rule also exempts timeshare plans from the individual metering requirement. In a timeshare plan, owners purchase the right to use a unit for a specified period of time, typically one week. The units are not used for permanent occupancy, and operate in a manner similar to hotels and motels. The owners do not directly pay for the electricity used during their stay. Instead, the cost of electricity is treated as a common expense that is apportioned based on ownership interest. Residents of nursing homes and similar care facilities also typically are not billed for their individual use of electricity, but pay a bundled price.

In each exemption, there is little or no conservation incentive gained by requiring individual metering, because the occupants of the units do not pay directly for the electricity they use. Based on the representations of St. Maarten, staff believes the same reasoning applies to the Silver Shells, because the condominium will be operated in a manner similar to that of timeshare plans, hotels, and motels. Conservation efforts in such cases are more effectively carried out by the building manager, who can implement measures to reduce the overall electricity consumption of the facility.

Rule 25-6.049(6)(a), Florida Administrative Code, states that if master metering is used, the cost of electricity must be allocated to the individual occupancy units using a "reasonable apportionment method." St. Maarten states its intent that if the waiver is granted, the cost of electricity for Silver Shells will be recovered from the unit owners either through a pro rata apportionment based on square footage, or by another reasonable apportionment method as required by Rule 25-6.049(6)(a), Florida Administrative Code. Staff believes that if St. Maarten chooses a reasonable apportionment method, the purpose of Section 366.05(1), Florida Statutes, will be fulfilled.

Staff believes that the purpose of FEECA is also fulfilled in this case. Because of the nature of the operation of the Silver Shells and similar facilities, conservation efforts are most effectively carried out by the building manager.

B. SUBSTANTIAL HARDSHIP AND PRINCIPLES OF FAIRNESS

Pursuant to Section 120.542, Florida Statutes, the petitioner must also demonstrate that application of the rule would create a substantial hardship or would violate principles of fairness. Substantial hardship is defined as a demonstrated economic, technologic, legal or other type of hardship to the person requesting the waiver. Principles of fairness are violated when the literal application of a rule affects a particular person in a manner significantly different from the way it affects other similarly situated persons who are subject to the rule.

Substantial Hardship. St. Maarten asserts that application of the rule will create a substantial hardship because it will place the Silver Shells at a competitive disadvantage with respect to the motels and hotels with which the Silver Shells competes for guests.

Because motels and hotels are exempt from the individual metering requirement, they benefit from the lower electricity costs of master metering. If St. Maarten is required to individually meter, it will incur higher costs than its competitors. Staff believes that the application of the rule in this instance will result in economic hardship as defined in Section 120.542(2), Florida Statutes.

Principles of Fairness. Section 120.542(2), Florida Statutes, states that principles of fairness are violated when the literal application of a rule affects a particular person in a manner significantly different from the way it affects other similarly situated persons who are subject to the rule.

St. Maarten asserts, and staff agrees, that the application of the rule in this particular instance results in different treatment to similarly situated facilities. St. Maarten contends that the Silver Shells will be operated in a manner similar to that of timeshare plans, hotels, and motels, which are exempted from the individual metering requirement.

Staff believes that the disparate treatment of similar facilities that results from the application of the rule constitutes a violation of the principles of fairness as defined in Section 120.542(2), Florida Statutes.

## II. CONCLUSION

Staff recommends that the requested rule waiver be granted, provided that:

1. St. Maarten allocates the cost of electricity to the individual condominium unit owners using a reasonable apportionment method, as required by Rule 25-6.049(6)(a), Florida Administrative Code.
2. St. Maarten is responsible for all of the costs associated with the conversion from individual metering to master metering.
3. The Waiver is effective only so long as the condominium is operated and licensed as a transient occupancy facility or until the Commission acts otherwise. At such time the condominium is no longer so operated and licensed or the Commission has acted otherwise, St. Maarten must immediately inform Gulf, at which time Gulf must install individual meters on all the occupancy units. In the event such a conversion to individual metering is required, Silver Shells will be solely responsible for the cost of such conversion.



DOCKET NO. 030974-EU  
DATE: December 4, 2003

4. The Waiver is effective only so long as all or substantially all of the units are operated on a transient basis.

These conditions are similar to those the Commission required in Order No. PSC-03-0323-CO-EU, issued September 8, 1998, in Docket No. 021005-EU, In Re: Petition for variance from or waiver of Rule 25-6.049(5)(a), F.A.C., Regarding Electric Metering, by Holiday Villas II Condominium Association, Inc., p. 5 and in Order No. PSC-01-0626-PAA-EU, issued March 14, 2001, in Docket No. 001543-EU, In Re: Petition for variance from or waiver of Rule 25-6.049 (5)(a), F.A.C., by Sundestin Homeowners Association, Inc. pgs. 10-11, and Docket No. 001544-EU, In Re; Petition for variance from or waiver of Rule 25-6.049 (5)(a), F.A.C., by Dunes of Panama Owners Association, Inc., pgs. 10-11. These dockets addressed waivers of the individual metering requirement for Holiday Villas II Condominium, Sundestin International Condominium, and Dunes of Panama Condominium, similar hotel/condominium facilities.

DOCKET NO. 030974-EU  
DATE: December 4, 2003

**ISSUE 2:** Should this docket be closed?

**RECOMMENDATION:** Yes, if no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order. (FLEMING)

**STAFF ANALYSIS:** Yes, if no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.