

Kay Flynn

040000

To: Jackie Gilchrist
Cc: Paula Isler
Subject: RE: undocketed ComTech21/Cybertal Communications

Thanks. I'll document-number this note as a follow-up to that filing.

Kay

-----Original Message-----
From: Jackie Gilchrist
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2004 1:01 PM
To: Kay Flynn
Cc: Paula Isler
Subject: RE: undocketed ComTech21/Cybertal Communications

Since DN13371-03 involves the transfer of Cybertal Communication's customer base to ComTech 21 , this matter should not be docketed. However, staff will contact ComTech 21 and advise them to request a waiver of Rule 25-4.118, FAC to avoid slamming complaints.

-----Original Message-----
From: Paula Isler
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2003 11:10 AM
To: Kay Flynn; Jackie Gilchrist; Ruth Nettles
Subject: RE: undocketed ComTech21/Cybertal Communications

Kay, I was out so I don't know if Jackie responded or not. I've looked at the filing and this is something Jackie's group would handle and should advise you. Cybertel, Communications Corp. (TJ399) was cancelled for nonpayment of RAFs effective 11/18/02, but have since paid the RAFs. There is currently a docket open (030995-TI) that Melinda Watts is handling and as a result of her docket, the company paid the outstanding RAFs.

COMTECH 21, LLC (TJ400) owes:

\$62.53 for 2000 RAF plus penalty and interest;
\$ 9.91 for 2002 penalty and interest; and
2003 RAF (due by 1/30/04)

Jackie, I believe the company should be required to pay everything in full before acknowledging the transfer of customers.

-----Original Message-----
From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Wednesday, December 24, 2003 8:21 AM
To: Jackie Gilchrist; Paula Isler
Subject: undocketed ComTech21/Cybertal Communications

AUS
CAF
CMP
COM
CTR
ECR
GCL
OPC
MMS
SEC
OTH

Please take a look at the filing, DN 13371-03, and advise if it should be docketed.

Thanks!

Kay