

~~2/2/2004~~ (01/30/04)

Kay Flynn

(Action taken 1/30/04 when Outlook was down, kg)

ORIGINAL

To: Stephanie Cater
Cc: Cheryl Bulecza-Banks; Bob Casey; Marguerite Lockard
Subject: RE: Confidential Documents from 2001

Thanks, Stephanie. Based on this information, I have made the following updates in CMS for the material you filed in 2001:

010000(kg)

- 1. "Moved" the 4 documents from undocketed 000001 to Docket 981444-TP.
- 2. Changed the confidential status of each of the 4 documents from "filed by staff" to either filed under notice of intent or filed under claim.

Thank you for taking the time to research this for us. Please let me know if any questions.

Kay

-----Original Message-----

From: Stephanie Cater
Sent: Tuesday, January 27, 2004 2:43 PM
To: Kay Flynn
Cc: Cheryl Bulecza-Banks; Bob Casey
Subject: RE: Confidential Documents from 2001

AUS _____
CAF _____
CMP _____
COM _____
CTR _____
ECR _____
GCL _____
OPC _____
MMS _____
SEC 1
OTH Marguerite

This is what I have been able to find out.

Arch-Transmittal letter dated March 21, 2001 which indicates that information provided is confidential. The letter has the word "claim" written above the document number. The document number of this letter is 03861-01.

AT&T (TCG South Florida)-Transmittal letter dated March 16, 2001 which indicates that the procedures provided are confidential pursuant to rule 25-22.006. The document number of this letter is 03380-01.

Nextel-A notice of intent for this material used in the numbering review was filed on May 5, 2001. The document number for this notice is 05767-01 (Docket No. 98144-TP).

AT&T Wireless-It appears that a claim of confidentiality for the information utilized in the review was filed on May 1, 2001. The document number on this claim is 05476-01.

-----Original Message-----

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Tuesday, January 27, 2004 7:20 AM
To: Stephanie Cater
Cc: Cheryl Bulecza-Banks; Bob Casey
Subject: RE: Confidential Documents from 2001

Did the companies ever submit any kind of claim, notice of intent, or request for confidentiality? If not, they would need to be declassified.

How did the companies indicate the material/info was confidential?

-----Original Message-----

From: Stephanie Cater
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2004 1:10 PM
To: Kay Flynn
Cc: Cheryl Bulecza-Banks; Bob Casey
Subject: Confidential Documents from 2001

From reviewing the documents listed below, it appears that these documents are staff workpapers from our review of confidential documents. Based on my reading of APM 11.04(D) (4)(a)(6), this makes the documents confidential. I do not believe that they can be declassified and returned to me.

Do I need to send you a memo indicating that they should not be declassified?

Thanks

-----Original Message-----

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Tuesday, November 18, 2003 3:49 PM
To: Stephanie Cater
Cc: Marguerite Lockard
Subject: confidential documents from 2001

Stephanie, we are trying to clear out some of the older confidential documents, especially those that were undocketed. I have questions on the following documents:

07436-01 - filed 6/14/01; described as "Workpapers of numbering review of Arch Wireless/Pagenet."

07439-01 - filed 6/14/01; described as "Workpapers of numbering review of Nextel Communications, Inc."

10544-01 - filed 8/24/01; described as "Workpapers for numbering review of TCG South Florida, Inc."

13771-01 - filed 10/31/01; described as "Workpapers and 1 diskette for AT&T Wireless Services."

I don't find that any of the companies ever submitted a claim of confidentiality. Would that mean these documents can be removed from the confidential file and returned to you?

Kay