#### VOTE SHEET

### **FEBRUARY 3, 2004**

RE: Docket No. 030999-EG - Petition for approval of modifications to Residential New Construction and Residential Energy Management DSM programs by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission approve PEF's petition to modify its Residential New Construction program?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: Yes. Incorporating the changes proposed by PEF, the Residential New Construction program is expected to continue to meet the policy objectives of the Florida Energy Efficiency and Conservation Act, to continue to be monitorable, and continue to be cost-effective.

## APPROVED

AUS	
CAF	
CMP	
COM	
CTR	
ECR	
GCL	
OPC	
MMS	
SEC	
OTH	
O I D	

#### **COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission**

#### **COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES**

MAJORITY /	<b>DISSENTING</b>
Made M. Dan	
Mayby 22	
J. Ten Vo.	
Moedy Brackley	
ALA Only	
* 1 9 / 9 / 9	

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

Commissioner Jaber participated in the conference by telephone. She agreed with/dissented from the majority vote and will sign the vote sheet upon return to the office.

BOOLMENT NUMBER-PATE

01622 FEB-43

**VOTE SHEET** 

**FEBRUARY 3, 2004** 

Docket No. 030999-EG - Petition for approval of modifications to Residential New Construction and Residential Energy Management DSM programs by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>ISSUE 2</u>: Should the Commission approve PEF's petition to modify its Residential Energy Management program?

<u>PRIMARY RECOMMENDATION</u>: No. By controlling only the secondary strip heat portion of a heat pump, PEF would essentially place customers on a "no load-control" program with no reduction in credit payment. The existing program continues to be cost-effective, so there is no urgency to correct a problem. It appears that PEF is proposing the changes to the program to increase participating customer satisfaction with little or no incremental benefit to the remaining body of ratepayers.

# WITHDRAWN

<u>ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATION</u>: Yes. Incorporating the changes proposed by PEF, the Residential Energy Management program is expected to continue to meet the policy objectives of the Florida Energy Efficiency and Conservation Act, continue to be monitorable, and continue to be cost-effective.

ISSUE 3: Should this docket be closed?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: Yes. If the Commission approves the primary staff recommendation in Issue 2, and no timely protest is filed, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a Consummating Order. If the Commission approves <u>staff's recommendation in Issue 1 or</u> the alternate staff recommendation in Issue 2, and a protest is filed within 21 days of the issuance of an Order, the tariff should remain in effect pending resolution of the protest; however, if no timely protest is filed, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

APPROVED Additionally, the order will acknowledge the company's withdrawal of a portion of its petition.