

1311 Executive Center Drive, Suite 220 Tallahassee, FL 32301-5027

February 9, 2004

Mrs. Blanca Bayo, Director Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RE: Docket No. 030851-TP -SUPRA'S SURREBUTTAL EXHIBITS OF DAVID A. NILSON

Dear Mrs. Bayo:

Enclosed is the original and fifteen (15) copies of Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc.'s (Supra) Surrebuttal Exhibits of David A. Nilson to be filed in the captioned docket. These exhibits were inadvertently not filed along with Mr. Nilson's Surrebuttal Testimony of January 28, 2004. Also, these exhibits were served on both BellSouth and Staff late Friday afternoon.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return it to me.

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

Sincerely,

orge Croz-Bustillo/JWA

Jorge Cruz-Bustillo Assistant General Counsel

AUS CAF COM 5H1 CTR ECR GCL MMS SEC 1 OTH

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01843 FEB-93

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 030851-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the following was served via e-mail, Hand Delivery, and/or U.S. Mail this 9th day of February 2004 to the following:

Jeremy Susac

Office of the General Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

AARP * 200 West College Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

AT&T

Tracy Hatch* 101 North Monroe Street, Suite 700 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1549 Phone: (850) 425-6364 Fax: 425-6361

<u>AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC</u> <u>Ms. Lisa A. Sapper*</u> 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E., Ste. 8100 Atlanta, GA 30309-3579 Phone: (404) 810-7812 Fax: (832) 213-0268 Email: <u>lisariley@att.com</u>

Access Integrated Networks, Inc. Mr. Mark A. Ozanick* 4885 Riverside Drive, Suite 107 Macon, GA 31210-1148 Phone: (478) 475-9800 Fax: (478) 475-9988 Email: mark.ozanick@accesscomm.com

Allegiance Telecom, Inc.(IL) **Theresa P. Larkin *** 700 East Butterfield Road, Suite 400 Lombard, IL 60148-5671 Phone: (630) 522-5463 Fax: (630) 522-5453 Email: <u>terry.larkin@algx.com</u>

Allegiance Telecom, Inc. **Charles Gerkin, Jr., Esq.*** 9201 North Central Expressway Dallas, TX 75231 Phone:469-259-4051 Fax: 770-234-5365 Email: charles.gerkin @algx.com BellSouth BSE, Inc. **Mr. Mario L. Soto*** North Terraces Building 400 Perimeter Center Terrace, #400 Atlanta, GA 30346-1231 Phone: (678) 443-3937 Fax: (678) 443-3470 Email: <u>mario.soto@bellsouth.com</u>

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. **R.Lackey/M.Mays/N.White/J.Meza/A.Shore*** c/o Ms. Nancy H. Sims 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1556 Phone: (850) 224-7798 Fax: 222-8640 Email: <u>nancy.sims@bellsouth.com</u>

Ben Johnson Associates, Inc. John Nesmith* 2252 Killearn Center Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32309 Phone: 850-893-8600 Fax: 668-2731 Email: jn@benjohnsonassociates.com

Casey & Gentz, L.L.P. **Bill Magness*** 919 Congress Avenue, Suite 1060 Austin, TX 78701 Phone: 512-225-0019 Fax: 512-480-9200

Comm South Companies, Inc. Sheri Pringle* P.O. Box 570159 Dallas, TX 75357-9900 Phone: (214) 355-7005 Fax: (214) 355-7259 Email: springle@commsouth.net

Covad Communications Company Mr. Charles E. Watkins* 1230 Peachtree Street, NE, 19th Floor Altanta, GA 30309-3574 Phone: (404) 942-3492 Fax: (404) 942-3495 Email: gwatkins@covad.com FDN Communications Matthew Feil/Scott Kassman* 390 North Orange Ave., Suite 2000 Orlando, FL 32801 Phone: (407) 835-0460 Fax: (407) 835-0309 Email: mfeil@mail.fdn.com/skassman@mail.fdn.com

Firstmile Technologies, LLC Michael Farmer* 750 Liberty Drive Westfield, IN 46074-8844 Phone: (317) 569-2808 Fax: (317) 569-2805 Email: <u>mfarmer@gotown.net</u>

Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc., Inc. **Michael A. Gross*** 246 E. 6th Avenue, Suite 100 Tallahassee, FL 32303 Phone: 850-681-1990 Fax: 681-9676 Email: <u>mgross@fcta.com</u>

Florida Competitive Carriers Assoc. c/o McWhirter Law Firm Joseph McGlothlin/Vicki Kaufman* 117 S. Gadsden St. Tallahassee, FL 32301 Phone: 850-222-2525 Fax: 222-5606 Email: <u>imcglothlin@mac-law.com/vkaufman@maclaw.com</u>

Granite Telecommunications, LLC **Rand Currier/Geoff Cookman*** 234 Copeland Street Quincy, MA 02169-4005 Phone: (617) 847-1500 Fax: (617) 847-0931 Email: <u>rcurrier@granitenet.com</u>

ITC^DeltaCom Nanette Edwards* 4092 South Memorial Parkway Huntsville, AL 35802 Phone: (256) 382-3856 KMC Telecom III, LLC Marva Brown Johnson, Esq.* 1755 North Brown Road Lawrenceville, GA 30043-8119 Phone: (678) 985-6261 Fax: (678) 985-6213 Email: marva.johnson@kmctelecom.com

MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. Ms. Donna C. McNulty* 1203 Governors Square Blvd., Suite 201 Tallahassee, FL 32301-2960 Phone: (850) 219-1008 Fax: 219-1018 Email: <u>donna.mcnulty@wcom.com</u>

MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc.(GA) De O'Roark, Esq.* Six Concourse Parkway, Suite 600 Atlanta, GA 30328 Email: <u>de.oroark@wcom.com</u>

McKenna Long Law Firm **Ms. Tami Azorsky*** 1900 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006 Phone: (202) 496-7573

McWhirter Law Firm Vicki Kaufman* 117 S. Gadsden St. Tallahassee, FL 32301 Phone: 850-222-2525 Fax: 222-5606 Email: <u>vkaufman@mac-law.com</u>

Messer Law Firm Floyd Self/Norman Horton P.O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876 Phone: 850-222-0720 Fax: 224-4359

Michael B. Twomey, Esq. * P. O. Box 5256 Tallahassee, FL 32314-5256 Phone: 850-421-9530 Fax: 421-8543 Email: <u>miketwomey@talstar.com</u> Miller Isar, Inc. Andrew O. Isar* 7901 Skansie Avenue, St. 240 Gig Harbor, WA 98335 Phone: (253) 851-6700 Fax: (253) 851-6474 Email: <u>aisar(@millerisar.com</u>

Moyle Law Firm (Tall) Jon Moyle, Jr.* The Perkins House 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Phone: (850) 681-3828 Fax: 681-8788 Email: jmoylejr@/moylelaw.com

<u>NOW Communications, Inc.</u> **Mr. R. Scott Seab*** 711 South Tejon Street, Suite 201 Colorado Springs, CO 80903-4054 Phone: (719) 633-3059 Fax: (719) 623-0287 Email: <u>rss@nowcommunications.com</u>

Office of Public Counsel Charles Beck* c/o The Floirda Legislature 111 W. Madison St., #812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 Phone: 850-488-9330

NewSouth Communications Corp. Jake E. Jennings* Two North Main Center Greenville, SC 29601-2719 Phone: (864) 672-5877 Fax: (864) 672-5313 Email: jejennings@newsouth.com

Phone Club Corporation **Carlos Jordan*** 168 S.E. 1st Street, Suite 705 Miami, FL 33131-1423 Phone: (786) 777-0079 Fax: (786) 777-0810 Email: phoneclubcorp(@aol.com Sprint-Florida/Sprint Communications Company Susan Masterton* P. O. Box 2214 Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214 Phone: (850) 599-1560 Fax: 878-0777 Email: susan.masterton@mail.sprint.com

Supra Telecommunications & Information Systems, Inc.(Mia) Jorge Cruz-Bustillo, Esq.* 2620 S.W. 27th Avenue Miami, FL 33133-3005 Phone: 305-476-4252 Fax: 305-443-1078 Email: Jorge.cruz-bustillo@stis.com

Tier 3 Communications Kim Brown* 2235 First Street, Suite 217 Ft. Myers, FL 33901-2981 Phone: (239) 689-0000 Fax: (239) 689-0001 Email: steve@tier3communications.net

Universal Telecom, Inc. Jennifer Hart* P. O. Box 679 LaGrange, KY 40031-0679 Phone: (502) 222-9004 Fax: (800) 217-7158 Email: Jenniferh@universaltelecominc.com

Verizon Florida Inc. **Richard Chapkis/Kimberly Caswell*** P.O. Box 110, FLTC0007 Tampa, FL 33601-0110 Phone: (813) 483-1256 Fax: (813) 273-9825 Email: richard.chapkis@verizon.com

Womble Carlyle Law Firm Ms. Lori Reese Patton* 3300 One Wachovia Center 301 South College Street Charlotte, NC 28202 Phone: (704) 331-4926 Fax: (704) 338-7839

<u>Xspedius Communications</u> **Ms. Rabinai E. Carson*** 5555 Winghaven Blvd., Suite 300 O'Fallon, MO 63366-3868 Phone: (301) 361-4220 Fax: (301) 361-4277 Email: <u>rabinai.carson@xspedius.com</u> Z-Tel Communications, Inc. **Thomas Koutsky*** 1200 19th Street, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036 Phone: 202-955-9653 Email: <u>tkoutsky@z-tel.com</u>

¢

* -- Electronically served by E-mail.

.

SUPRA TELECOMMUNICATIONS & INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC. 2620 S. W. 27th Avenue Miami, FL 33133 Telephone: 305/ 476-4252 Facsimile: 305/ 443-1078

orge Cruz-Bustille/JUNA

By: Jorge Cruz-Bustillo

Supra Exhibit – DAN-RT-1 Docket No. 030851-TP

Shelfer, Ann

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tech.Talk@BellSouth.com Thursday, February 05, 2004 6:23 AM Smith, Bette BellSouth

BELLSOUTH ---- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

Order Type and Number: NQFJTB58 Due Date: 20040205 Wire Center: 305651

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR18367 Billing Account Number: 305 W90-0649

Local Serving Office:305651BellSouth Circuit Identification:70.TYNU.585015..SBYour Circuit Identification (if provided):

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tech.Talk@BellSouth.com Wednesday, January 07, 2004 7:01 PM Smith, Bette BellSouth

BELLSOUTH --- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

Order Type and Number: NQC0MD55 Due Date: 20040107 Wire Center: 305651

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR10544 Billing Account Number: 305 W17-7318

Local Serving Office: 305651 BellSouth Circuit Identification: 70.TYNU.580810..SB Your Circuit Identification (if provided):

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tech.Talk@BellSouth.com Wednesday, January 07, 2004 9:27 PM Smith, Bette BellSouth

BELLSOUTH ---- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

Order Type and Number: NR5F5KF4 Due Date: 20040107 Wire Center: 561964

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR07985 Billing Account Number: 954 V14-7720

Local Serving Office: 561964 BellSouth Circuit Identification: 80.TYNU.664843..SB Your Circuit Identification (if provided):

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tech.Talk@BellSouth.com Monday, January 19, 2004 3:54 PM Smith, Bette BellSouth

BELLSOUTH --- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

Order Type and Number: NQBTB864 Due Date: 20040119 Wire Center: 305252

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR14161 Billing Account Number: 305 W90-6139

Local Serving Office:305252BellSouth Circuit Identification:70.TYNU.582136..SB.Your Circuit Identification (if provided):

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tech.Talk@BellSouth.com Wednesday, January 07, 2004 7:02 PM Smith, Bette BellSouth

BELLSOUTH --- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

Order Type and Number: NQG2N4G2 Due Date: 20040107 Wire Center: 305651

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR09987 Billing Account Number: 305 W58-8763

Local Serving Office: 305651 BellSouth Circuit Identification: 70.TYNU.580569..SB Your Circuit Identification (if provided):

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tech.Talk@BellSouth.com Wednesday, January 07, 2004 9:28 PM Smith, Bette BellSouth

BELLSOUTH --- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

1

Order Type and Number: NR8H84N8 Due Date: 20040107 Wire Center: 561964

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR07986 Billing Account Number: 561 V78-1043

Local Serving Office: 561964 BellSouth Circuit Identification: 80.TYNU.664850..SB Your Circuit Identification (if provided):

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tech.Talk@BellSouth.com Wednesday, January 21, 2004 7:57 AM Smith, Bette BellSouth

BELLSOUTH ---- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

Order Type and Number: NR0HB772 Due Date: 20040121 Wire Center: 954761

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR12527 Billing Account Number: 954 V14-1655

Local Serving Office: 954761 BellSouth Circuit Identification: 80.TYNU.667244..SB Your Circuit Identification (if provided):

From: . Sent: Smith, Bette To: Subject: BellSouth

Tech.Talk@BellSouth.com Wednesday, January 07, 2004 7:39 PM

BELLSOUTH --- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

Order Type and Number: NQ4118N4 Due Date: 20040107 Wire Center: 305591

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR10995 Billing Account Number: 305 W20-9933

Local Serving Office: 305591 BellSouth Circuit Identification: 70.TYNU.581206..SB Your Circuit Identification (if provided):

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tech.Talk@BellSouth.com Wednesday, January 07, 2004 9:28 PM Smith, Bette BellSouth

BELLSOUTH --- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

Order Type and Number: NR8X27C4 Due Date: 20040107 Wire Center: 561964

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR07982 Billing Account Number: 561 V78-0643

Local Serving Office: 561964 BellSouth Circuit Identification: 80.TYNU.664847..SB Your Circuit Identification (if provided):

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tech.Talk@BellSouth.com Wednesday, January 21, 2004 7:59 AM Smith, Bette BellSouth

BELLSOUTH --- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

Order Type and Number: NRB11LV0 Due Date: 20040121 Wire Center: 954761

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR12537 Billing Account Number: 954 V14-1850

Local Serving Office: 954761 BellSouth Circuit Identification: 80.TYNU.667269..SB Your Circuit Identification (if provided):

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tech.Talk@BellSouth.com Wednesday, January 07, 2004 9:16 PM Smith, Bette BellSouth

BELLSOUTH ---- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

Order Type and Number: NR92G5C0 Due Date: 20040107 Wire Center: 561964

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR08037 Billing Account Number: 954 V02-9135

Local Serving Office: 561964 BellSouth Circuit Identification: 80.TYNU.664863..SB Your Circuit Identification (if provided):

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tech.Taik@BellSouth.com Wednesday, January 07, 2004 9:29 PM Smith, Bette BellSouth

BELLSOUTH --- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

Order Type and Number: NR8Y3K29 Due Date: 20040107 Wire Center: 561964

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR08022 Billing Account Number: 954 V02-8234

Local Serving Office: 561964 BellSouth Circuit Identification: 80.TYNU.664744..SB Your Circuit Identification (if provided):

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tech.Talk@BellSouth.com Wednesday, January 21, 2004 7:59 AM Smith, Bette BellSouth

BELLSOUTH --- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

Order Type and Number: NRB11LV0 Due Date: 20040121 Wire Center: 954761

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR12537 Billing Account Number: 954 V14-1850

Local Serving Office:954761BellSouth Circuit Identification:80.TYNU.667269..SBYour Circuit Identification (if provided):

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tech.Talk@BellSouth.com Wednesday, January 07, 2004 9:16 PM Smith, Bette BellSouth

BELLSOUTH --- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

Order Type and Number: NR92G5C0 Due Date: 20040107 Wire Center: 561964

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR08037 Billing Account Number: 954 V02-9135

Local Serving Office: 561964 BellSouth Circuit Identification: 80.TYNU.664863..SB Your Circuit Identification (if provided):

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tech.Talk@BellSouth.com Wednesday, January 07, 2004 9:29 PM Smith, Bette BellSouth

BELLSOUTH --- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

Order Type and Number: NR8Y3K29 Due Date: 20040107 Wire Center: 561964

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR08022 Billing Account Number: 954 V02-8234

Local Serving Office: 561964 BellSouth Circuit Identification: 80.TYNU.664744..SB Your Circuit Identification (if provided):

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tech.Talk@BellSouth.com Monday, January 05, 2004 12:12 PM Smith, Bette BellSouth

BELLSOUTH --- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

Order Type and Number:NQBWKP48Due Date:20040105Wire Center:305252

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR04370 Billing Account Number: 305 W80-6453

Local Serving Office:305252BellSouth Circuit Identification:70.TYNU.579935..SBYour Circuit Identification (if provided):

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tech.Talk@BellSouth.com Wednesday, January 07, 2004 9:18 PM Smith, Bette BellSouth

BELLSOUTH ---- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

Order Type and Number:NR68YBK6Due Date:20040107Wire Center:561964

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR08005 Billing Account Number: 954 V02-7534

Local Serving Office: 561964 BellSouth Circuit Identification: 80.TYNU.664727..SB Your Circuit Identification (if provided):

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tech.Talk@BellSouth.com Wednesday, January 07, 2004 6:53 PM Smith, Bette BellSouth

BELLSOUTH --- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

Order Type and Number: NQ6D2DQ4 Due Date: 20040107 Wire Center: 305651

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR09996 Billing Account Number: 305 W68-1750

Local Serving Office: 305651 BellSouth Circuit Identification: 70.TYNU.580613..SB Your Circuit Identification (if provided):

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tech.Talk@BellSouth.com Wednesday, January 07, 2004 9:26 PM Smith, Bette BellSouth

BELLSOUTH --- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

Order Type and Number: NR41KCR7 Due Date: 20040107 Wire Center: 561964

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR07989 Billing Account Number: 561 V78-1042

Local Serving Office: 561964 BellSouth Circuit Identification: 80.TYNU.664849..SB Your Circuit Identification (if provided):

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tech.Talk@BellSouth.com Wednesday, January 07, 2004 6:57 PM Smith, Bette BellSouth

BELLSOUTH --- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

Order Type and Number: NQDKQL81 Due Date: 20040107 Wire Center: 305591

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR10851 Billing Account Number: 305 W80-7302

.

Local Serving Office:305591BellSouth Circuit Identification:70.TYNU.580945..SBYour Circuit Identification (if provided):

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tech.Talk@BellSouth.com Wednesday, January 07, 2004 9:26 PM Smith, Bette BellSouth

BELLSOUTH --- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

Order Type and Number: NR46L458 Due Date: 20040107 Wire Center: 561964

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR08042 Billing Account Number: 954 V02-9140

Local Serving Office: 561964 BellSouth Circuit Identification: 80.TYNU.664865..SB Your Circuit Identification (if provided):

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tech.Talk@BellSouth.com Wednesday, January 07, 2004 7:01 PM Smith, Bette BellSouth

BELLSOUTH --- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

Order Type and Number: NQDWF4L9 Due Date: 20040107 Wire Center: 305651

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR10534 Billing Account Number: 305 W22-1651

Local Serving Office: 305651 BellSouth Circuit Identification: 70.TYNU.580794..SB Your Circuit Identification (if provided):

From: Sent: To: Subject: Tech.Talk@BellSouth.com Wednesday, January 07, 2004 9:27 PM Smith, Bette BellSouth

BELLSOUTH --- Go-Ahead Notification

BellSouth has completed The transfer of the following circuit(s) to your facilities:

Order Type and Number:NR5VP6M5Due Date:20040107Wire Center:561964

Exchange Carrier ID: 206A Purchase Order Number: STIPLR07971 Billing Account Number: 954 V14-7721

Local Serving Office: 561964 BellSouth Circuit Identification: 80.TYNU.664844..SB Your Circuit Identification (if provided):

Nilson, Dave

From:	Neptune, Mark
Sent:	Tuesday, January 27, 2004 12:59 PM
То:	Nilson, Dave
Subject:	FW: Trouble calling our numbers in Red Road with LRN 7864769999 from Cingular phones
Importance	e: High

Here is the biggy where they were found at fault

Mark T. Neptune VP Engineering & Operations SUPRA Telecom 305-476-4224 -----Original Message-----From: Lekanides , Gregory Sent: Tuesday, January 27, 2004 12:27 PM To: Neptune, Mark Subject: FW: Trouble calling our numbers in Red Road with LRN 7864769999 from Cingular phones

Here is the main one.

-----Original Message----- **From:** Shoemaker, Janet **Sent:** Tuesday, January 27, 2004 12:22 PM **To:** Lekanides , Gregory **Subject:** FW: Trouble calling our numbers in Red Road with LRN 7864769999 from Cingular phones

-----Original Message----- **From:** Shoemaker, Janet **Sent:** Thursday, October 30, 2003 7:58 PM **To:** Shoemaker, Janet; Lekanides, Gregory; Kienzle, Gary; Mikulec, Joseph; Lisandrillo, Daniel; Cuadrado, Catalino; Neptune, Mark **Subject:** RE: Trouble calling our numbers in Red Road with LRN 7864769999 from Cingular phones

This was finally resolved about 15:00. What the issue was: Bell South was routing NPA/Nxx 786-476 (our Red Road LRN NPA/Nxx) to Golden Glades instead of Red Road. Cingular and other CLECs who were routing our calls to Bell South were failing because of this mis-routing by Bell South. I worked with Jerry at Bell South who had his translations people on and they corrected the routing. We made a number of test calls of the ones that were failing that we knew of and they are all now completing.

If, from today on, anyone has any issues of this type, please let me know.

Janet

-----Original Message----- **From:** Shoemaker, Janet **Sent:** Thursday, October 30, 2003 2:11 PM **To:** Shoemaker, Janet; Lekanides, Gregory; Kienzle, Gary; Mikulec, Joseph; Lisandrillo, Daniel; Cuadrado, Catalino; Neptune, Mark Subject: RE: Trouble calling our numbers in Red Road with LRN 7864769999 from Cingular phones

I got a call from Janet at Tier III at Cingular. She said they did a reset in their LNP group for our LNR (7864769999) and asked me to re-try call. I got hold of George (TT#104). He made a call from his Cingular Cell phone (305-283-7830) to our customer 305-661-6391 and still got recording, "call cannot be completed as dialed". He got same thing trying to call my number here at the NOC (786-260-6397) which has the same LRN (7864769999).

Janet at Cingular Tier III got her engineering person on the line who set up traps. After George made the call, she saw the call fail to Bell South Tandem NDADFLGG01T, DPC 252-047-028.

I have also been working a similar issue with Bell South. There are Bell South customers who also cannot call to this same LRN. One is on TT 114. Dialing number is Bell South number 305-861-5544 (MIAMFLICDS0) cannot call to our customer at 305-663-0793 or to our NOC numbers. I opened a TT with Bell South (800-482-1675, op 1). TT # HL057802. Last night I worked with Jerry at Bell South and he did traces and found that they are incorrectly routing to their tandem NDADFLGG01T, DPC 252-047-028. It should be routing to MIAMFLGRT05T, DPC 252-047-012. It appears that Cingular trouble is actually a Bell trouble. I am waiting for a call back from Bell on TT.

-----Original Message-----

From: Shoemaker, Janet

Sent: Thursday, October 30, 2003 10:29 AM

To: Shoemaker, Janet; Lekanides , Gregory; Kienzle, Gary; Mikulec, Joseph; Lisandrillo, Daniel; Cuadrado, Catalino; Neptune, Mark

Subject: RE: Trouble calling our numbers in Red Road with LRN 7864769999 from Cingular phones

I got a call this morning from Karen Casper. I told her I was expecting a call from Brenda Boatner and she said she would follow up. I am waiting to hear from Brenda.

-----Original Message-----

From: Shoemaker, Janet

Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2003 5:44 PM

To: Shoemaker, Janet; Lekanides , Gregory; Kienzle, Gary; Mikulec, Joseph; Lisandrillo, Daniel; Cuadrado, Catalino; Neptune, Mark

Subject: RE: Trouble calling our numbers in Red Road with LRN 7864769999 from Cingular phones

I called Cingular again and got the same run-around. I asked to talk to another manger and got Philip Stires who was in Ashland, KY. He gave me the name and number of Brenda Boatner's boss who is Karen Casper, Phone: 770-749-5926, Cell: 404-444-5667. Her voice mail referred me to Regina at 770-749-5983. He gave me the name of Karen and Regina's boss who is Thomas Creadmore, VP with a number of 770-749-5905. Apparently that number is incorrect as it goes to a woman's voice mail.

I called Brenda, Karen & Regina and left VM messages. At approximately 17:00 I received a call from Tammy in the President's office. I called her back at 866-349-9611. She wanted to check and see if I was being helped. While I was on the phone with Tammy, I got a call from Brenda Boatner. Tammy said if I did not get any satisfaction from Brenda to call her back. Brenda assured me that this will be taken care of tomorrow. She is to call me shortly after I get in at 10:00 AM, Thursday. If I get no resolution, I will go back to the office of the President.

-----Original Message----- **From:** Shoemaker, Janet **Sent:** Tuesday, October 28, 2003 7:32 PM **To:** Shoemaker, Janet; Lekanides, Gregory; Kienzle, Gary; Mikulec, Joseph; Lisandrillo, Daniel; Cuadrado, Catalino **Subject:** RE: Trouble calling our numbers in Red Road with LRN 7864769999 from Cingular phones

I got no further call back. I called Cingular back. After much ado, Tammy called me back and said she was told by Janet, their Tier III, that their fix had to be done out of hours and would be fixed by morning. She is to call me when she gets in.

Janet

-----Original Message----- **From:** Shoemaker, Janet **Sent:** Tuesday, October 28, 2003 12:59 PM **To:** Shoemaker, Janet; Lekanides, Gregory; Kienzle, Gary; Mikulec, Joseph; Lisandrillo, Daniel; Cuadrado, Catalino **Subject:** RE: Trouble calling our numbers in Red Road with LRN 7864769999 from Cingular phones

Just got a call back from Janet, Cingular Tier III support, that she is working this issue.

Janet

-----Original Message-----From: Shoemaker, Janet Sent: Tuesday, October 28, 2003 12:27 PM To: Lekanides , Gregory; Kienzle, Gary; Mikulec, Joseph; Lisandrillo, Daniel; Cuadrado, Catalino Subject: Trouble calling our numbers in Red Road with LRN 7864769999 from Cingular phones

I started working this issues last Tuesday, Oct 21. I called Cingular at 800-331-0500. I have spoken with many, many people there with no results. The latest have been Jason, John Burbunk Tier II techs, and Carol Hatch, Supervisor. All of whom assured me this issue was being worked. Firiday I got a Fast Track Trouble ticket #4061060, which Tammy in Tier II tells me has been closed for no trouble found. Of course no one has called me back on any of this. I called again today and spoke with Aaron who transferred me to Tammy in Tier II who opened a new generic (not TT #) ticket which she handed to Manager, Brenda Boatner who is to walk it to their engineering department to be worked. Tammy would not give me Brenda's phone number without her permission and she could not find her. Tammy gave me her personal cell phone number which is 706-346-9737. She said as soon as she got hold of Brenda, she would get her reach number and call me with it. I said I would call back in a half hour if I heard nothing. I just got a call back from Tammy who gave me Brenda's number which is 770-749-5953. Janet at Tier III is working this issue. Tammy said she will get back to me within the hour.

The following are the numbers and TTs that we have so far that are impacted by by this issue:

TT# 100 Customer number: 305-666-2822 Office: Cingular number 305-302-8343 FTLDFLAMDC8 TT# 104 Customer number 305-661-6391 Cingular numbers 305-431-7014 FTLDFLAMDC8 305-283-7830 TT# 107 Customer number 305-666-1798 305-815-6826 Cingular number FTLDFLAMDC8 Customer number 305-661-3264 TT# 95 Cingular number 786-202-9183 FTLDFLAMCM2 TT# 110 Customer number 305-661-7279 Cingular number 305-281-0677 PRRNFLAECM1 TT# 106 Customer number 305-740-0484 786-473-1242 Cingular number BCRTFLSNCM1 (Priority Communications)

As I get more information, I will keep everyone updated.

2}

Janet

Nilson, Dave

From:Lekanides , GregorySent:Wednesday, January 28, 2004 10:38 AMTo:Nilson, DaveSubject:FW: letter

I've been told that you would be willing to pay a lot of money for this document, so my sources tell me. Mark asked me to forward this to you.

-----Original Message-----From: Lekanides , Gregory Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 9:32 AM To: Neptune, Mark Subject: letter

Mark I found the letter.

DRAFT MEMO

Mark, we have done some head scratching here, and talked with a number of our SME's, about the question you asked on Monday regarding routing of calls from cellular carriers to ported numbers. From what we recall from the meeting, we think the issue is with the cellular carriers. But to make certain, I want to restate what I think the problem is, and then ask for a number or two that we can chase down just to be sure.

The problem: After a number ports from a BellSouth switch to a Supra switch, calls from cellular carriers (multiple carriers, not just one) do not complete properly. But calls from landline phones do complete properly. The results from at least one cellular carrier (AT&T) include both "dead air", i.e, does not route to a proper termination or recording treatment, and recordings of number being disconnected or no longer in service. I don't recall any reports of Ring No Answer, but it would seem to me a possibility that these could occur also if the source of the problem is as described below.

Before going into why we believe it is a cellular issue, I would like to lay some groundwork on how LNP works, at least in BellSouth's network. If a call is placed from within our network to a number in a switch that offers number portability, that call is queried at the originating office. (One exception is an intra switch call, which generally does not query, but I'll address that later). The call is then routed either on dialed digits if the called number is not in the LNP database, or on the LRN that the database returns if the called number has been ported and the broadcast from NPAC has been received and deployed.

If a call enters our network from another carrier, and that call has <u>not</u> been queried (a bit in the SS7 message indicates whether or not the call has already been queried), we will query it and then route the call in the same manner as above.

If a call arrives at an end office which offers LNP, and the call has <u>not</u> been queried, then we will also do a query (called a "donor office query") just to be sure the number has not been ported. If, however, the call arrives and has already been queried, we do not do a second query. The switch attempts to complete the call. If the number is still working in the switch, it will ring the number. If the call is no longer working in that switch, it will play the disconnected recording.

Intra-switch calls are not normally queried, as it is assumed the switch knows the status of its own numbers. An exception is if a number is in the process of being ported. When the create message is received, a special, LNP trigger is placed on the number in the donor switch so that even intra-switch calls are queried. That allows intra-switch calls to complete to the proper place as soon as the broadcast message from NPAC is received, prior to the number actually being disconnected in the switch software.

Let's first take the case of the recording saying number is disconnected. About the only way that can happen is if the call is queried somewhere, and still routes to the donor office, arriving after the number has in fact been disconnected. That would happen if someone's LNP database is not updated. However, you said calls from landline phones went through ok. BellSouth uses the same LNP database for queries from landline phones as for calls arriving from other carriers. So, if <u>BellSouth</u> did the query, the results would be the same no matter where the call originated. This makes us believe that the problem is that the cellular carrier had not updated their LNP database.

1, 15

There is one specific instance in which I think this could have occurred as a result of the LNP process you had in place at the start of the porting. Last Monday, 9/22, I was called late in the day and told that you could not port because NPAC had not received the concurrences from BellSouth. We determined that your create messages had only been sent that afternoon, rather than when the FOC's were sent the previous Wednesday. We verified that all of our concurrences had been sent and I related that information to Supra around 9:30 or 10 pm. From what I understand, the <u>activate</u> messages on these 39 tns were not sent to NPAC by Supra until the following morning. Our orders disconnecting the service in our switch completed Monday evening. Therefore, any calls to these numbers the next morning, until the activates were sent and the broadcasts were distributed, would have resulted in a query to LNP databases showing the number not ported. The call would then have arrived at our switch and been met with the disconnected recording. But, this would have been true for all calls, not just from wireless, so it doesn't really explain the trouble as described.

Now for the dead air. If a carrier, wireless, IXC, or ILEC, makes a query to the LNP database and the number is found, the database returns a LRN. This routing number is a specific tn within the NPA/NXX of the receiving switch (i.e., Supra's NPA/NXX). That the super to route the call within all networks to get to the switch in which the end user now resides. If a wireless carrier failed to build routing tables for the Supra NPA/NXX based on information which Supra placed in the LERG, then they might send it to "dead air". They should provide some kind of treatment for the call, but they might not.

The lack of routing information could be in the wireless network, or in any network lying between that carrier and Supra's switch. That would of course include BellSouth's network. However, if the problem was at the Equal Access Tandem, then problems should be seen from not only wireless carriers, but from any other carriers who use the EAT, such as Interexchange carriers. As I recall, you said that the only calls you were having trouble with were wireless, so that would seem to eliminate a common point such as the EAT.

So, based on the problem description and the LNP process, we have conclude that the problems are within the wireless provider's network. Either lack of updating their LNP database when a number ports, and lack of routing the NPA/NXX for Supra's LRN.

If in fact this is the case, you might also find that calls from certain hotels and motels and other places where PBX's are in place could have troubles for the same reasons. Some PBX's have routing tables in them which have to be updated by the vendor when new NPA/NXX's go in service, and over the years,

there have been problems with some of them keeping updated.

On Wednesday, you provided me with one of the ported numbers which had been unreachable from AT&T. $(30\overline{5}-593-0404)$. You also provided me with the NPA/NXX's in your two switches. Red Road is 786/476,260,232 and Golden Glades is 786/456,455,207. You gave me a time frame in which the troubles with the specific number occurred (8/25 through 9/15).

We verified the routing for cellular trunk groups in our NDADFLGG01T Equal Access Tandem and confirmed that if the call had come in there without being queried, we would have queried it in our LNP database. The query would have returned an LRN of 7864769999, the LRN for your Red Road office. The call would have routed to your switch properly.

So for this one number ported to this one switch at this time, the specifics match the analytical description above. Namely, if it had reached us unqueried, we would have queried it and sent it on to its proper termination.

One thought that comes to mind is that occasionally, when NPAC broadcasts a port activation, some providers fail to receive it. That is called a partial failure, and your LNP provider should be able to detect that and alert you, if you so desire. That gives you a heads up that some service providers may have trouble completing calls to your ported numbers. I don't know if you are using this information or not, so thought I would mention it.

This is a lengthy note, I know, but I hope it makes sense to you. If you experiences in getting these problems resolved provides any further insights, please provide.

Thanks,

Don Smith

Thanking You In Advance NOC Manager Gregory A. Lekanides <u>Gregory.Lekanides@stis.com</u> <u>glek@stis.net</u> 305 476 4267 office 775 703 1389 fax ÷

Supra Telecom Collocation Time Line - Document Based

Date	Party	Action	Exhibit Number	Have Document	Sent / Received Answer	Have Answer Document	Have Precedent Document
4/24/1998	Supra	Files Collocation application		YES			
5/19/1998	BST	Begins processing applications					
	Supra	Application response due - According to Supra					
6/4/1998	Joint	Planning meeting for interconnection		YES			
	l I	Supra was informed of FCC exemption for NDADFLGG					
	l	and WPBHFLGR at this meeting	L	L			
6/8 vs.	Joint	Birmingham meeting - statements alleged regarding					
6/9/98	Curre	Internet equipment Applied to Belcore for point codes		VEC		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
6/13/1998 6/25/1998	Supra Joint	2nd Planning meeting for interconnection		YES	·		
	BST				6/30/98 -		
0/00/1000		Application response due - Per BellSouth			7/7/98		
6/30/1998	BST	Application response - ORDLFLMA - BSTE1PIN.doc					
		included		YES	26-Aug	YES	
7/2/1998	BST	Application response - HLWDFLWH, HLWDFLPE,				1/50	
		BCRTFLMA		YES	26-Aug	YES	
7/6/1998	BST	Application response - FTLDFLCY, FTLDFLMR,		VEC	00 1.00	VED	
		MIAMFLAE, FTLDFLPL, MIAMFLBC		YES	26-Aug	YES	
7/6/1998	BST	email Rick LaGrange to dnilson, Minutes of 6/4/1998			26-Aug	YES	
	<u> </u>	meeting on interconnection			20-Aug	,	
7/7/1998	BST	Application response - MIAMFLGR, MAIMFLHL,		YES	26-Aug	YES	
		MLBRFLMA, PRRNFLMA, WPBHFLGA					
7/13/1998	BST	Application Response for MIAMFLPL, + ?????????		YES	26-Aug	YES	
7/14/1998	BST	Marcus Cathey response to O.A. Ramos 7/1/98 letter. BST REQUIRES physical for switch colo and further		VEO	00 4/10	VEO	
		warnings against enhanced equipment.		YES	26-Aug	YES	
8/24/1998	Supra	Informed BST via telecon to N. Nelson Supra would					
0/24/1990	Joupia	execute FOC on all 15 offices			8/25/1998	YES	
8/25/1998	BST	Email N. Nelson to D. Nilson documenting Supras intention					
0,20,1000		ot go FOC on 15 offices and correcting the prices on 2					
	ļ .	offices. WPBHFLGA, and HLWDFLPE Revised					
		Application Responses attached. Also BellSouth					
		requesting "PRIORITY" on implementing collocation		YES			
		against Supra wish for all 15 to be simultaneously worked.		163			
		Provided BANs for these projects, and agreed that dnilson	•				
		comments regarding incorrect pricing on WPBHFLGA					
		hand HLWDFLPE were miscalculated and correct info					
		submitted.		L			
	Supra	Files FOC on 15 offices ORDLFLMA, HLWDFLWH, HLWDFLPE, BCRTFLMA,					
		FTLDFLCY, FTLDFLMR, MIAMFLAE, FTLDFLPL,					
		MIAMFLBC, MIAMFLGR, MAIMFLHL, MLBRFLMA,					
		PRRNFLMA, WPBHFLGA, MIAMFLPL					
8/27/1998	Supra	email dnilson to N. Nelson documenting transmission of 15					
0/27/1000	Cupia	FOC's, and requesting participation in permitting process.					
		Request meeting in Miami the following week (BellSouth		YES			
		refused) to plan and prioritize tasks. Request instructions				Í I	
	ľ	to "quickly achieve collocation".					
9/1/1998	Supra	IC letter d.Nilson to P.Wanner response to her 8/28(??)					
		letter regarding her misconception of Supra wanting to		YES			
		"wait" for new IC for requested prices, her delay in		160			
		provising, etc					
9/9/1998	Supra	Letter D. Nilson to N. Nelson filing Phase 3 Collo		YES		ļ	
	ļ		L				
		ORLDFLCL, GSVLFLMA, PNSCFLWA, JCVLFLSM,				[[
0/40/4000	-	DBYHFLPO, PNCYFLMA				┝─────	
9/10/1998	Joint	Testimony filed 98-0800		YES			w
9/21/1998	BST	amail N. Nalaan ta D. Nilaan anawaisa awaatisa					
		email N. Nelson to D. Nilson answering question regarding		YES			
		Supra becoming a certified Vendor, by referring us to the					
0/00/1000	DOT	program administrator, Lawrence Lyles. email N. Nelson to D. Nilson answering question of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			├	·····
9/22/1998	BST	providing a list of already certified vendors.		YES			
	t	Telephone conference regarding walkthru of Miami offices			··· =		
9/22/1998	Joint			YES			

Supra Exhibit – DAN-RT-4 Docket No. 030851-TP 1 of 11 Pages

Date	Party	Action	Exhibit	Have	Sent /	Have	Have
			Number	Document	Received Answer	Answer Document	Precedent Document
9/22/1998	Supra	email D. Nilson to N. Nelson regarding clarification of					
		whether Supra of BellSouth makes frame positioning					
		discussion , Jay Quarries, Miami Engineer stated that		YES			
		Supra would be making that decision which nearly gagged		TES			
		Nancy Nelson who previously told us					
		theecoocoocoocoocowe had no say.					
9/30/1998	Supra	Files FOC on 15 offices - Second submission.		YES			
		Include check for \$2250 for Palmetto (MIAMFLPL) offered		YES			
		on a "I have 100 sq. feet take it or leave it basis."		. 20	-		
		Written statement of space < 2 year forecast.	·····	YES			
·····		Power changes caused by Nortel Engineering Change		YES			
		Put BST on notice that based upon Grande walkthru,					
		insufficient space has been allocated to Supra due to BST		VE0			
	1	engineers using formula for enclosed space, not un-	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	YES			
		enclosed space.					
10/1/1998	BST	Letter N. Nelson to D. Nilson stating that BellSouth		YES			
		concludes Supra did not ask for enough space.		YES			
10/3/1998	Supra	email D. Nilson to W. Mayes regarding BST supplying					1
		Supra with permit numbers for our FOC's on 15 offices.		YES			
		Never happened.					
10/5/1998	Supra	Letter D. Nilson to N. Nelson detailed walkthru analysis of					
		the space problem and why BellSouth made the mistake,		YES			
		based upon their own documentation					
10/8/1998	Supra	Letter D. Nilson to N. Nelson was sent to P. McKay					
	1	because N. Nelson was "In Training" (I.e. BellSouth		YES			
		Regulatory class for 98-0800 depositions) and couldn't be					
		reached, and couldn't respond to my letter					
10/9/1998	Supra	Application Response due for Phase 3 - per Supra Files Corrected pages after Nortel Engineering Change	<u></u>	YES			
########	Supra	on DC power		YES			
#########	BST	Email from P. Solin requesting Conference Call 'Next	r				
*****	031	Week wed or Thursd' to discuss applications being					
		processed.					
########	BST	Second Email from Pat Solin Documenting Offices under					
		discussion.			-		
	1	BCRTFLMA, FTLDFLCY, FTLDFLMR,					
		FTLDFLPL, GSVLFLMA, HLWDFLPE, HLWDFLWH, JCVLFL					
		SM,MIAMFLAE,MIAMFLBC,MIAMFLGR,MIAMFLHL,MLBR					
1		FLMA,WPBHFLGA,ORLDFLMA,PNSCFLWA,PNCYFLMA,					
	BST	PRBNFLMA		YES			
######## 10/3/1998		Rejects application for DBYHFLPO due to space. FOC - Second submission Response back from BST		160			
10/3/1996	Supra	per Supra					
····							
·			· · · ·				
		98-0800 hearings - Tallahassee Florida.					
	ļ						
########	Supra	email D. Nilson to N. Nelson regarding status of 15 FOC's					
	1	"under review". Supra states that we have heard that a					
		finalized list is in the Possession of K. Milner, that there are					
		"errors", and that he plans to spring this on Supra during		YES			
		the space availability docket 98-0800. Request a				1	
		resumption of normal communications interrupted by					
	1	BellSouth's response to 98-0800, and a request to see the					
		errors "today"		L			
########	Joint	Telephone conference d. Nilson, N. Nelson, P. Solin, J.				ł	
	I	Bloomer.			l	!	L

Date	Party	Action	Exhibit		Sent /	Have	Have
			Number	Have Document	Received Answer	Answer Document	Precedent Document
		Supra was "the first" to request unenclosed. BST had "problems" processing our FOC as a result. We have not changed our request. They ar just now understanding it. INAC, Pat Soling told us Supra "cannot have unenclosed space because you are collocating switching equipment." Claimed reason was "security". No explanation of the basis for this policy was available when asked.					
		Supra was requested to file non-standard layout drawings that conformed to neither set of rules in BSTEIP1. D. Nilson requested this in writing. Never got it. All of this was to be handled as an amendment to the FOC				-	
		after all BST made the mistake.		[
#########	BST	Letter denying FOC on 15 offices, and requiring "corrected and revised " forms. BellSouth has not admitted space issues are its fault and is trying to get Supra to re-apply to make the space calculation errors moot, or Supras fault. Return of the \$344,526.50 check.		YES			
		ORDLFLMA, HLWDFLWH, HLWDFLPE, BCRTFLMA, FTLDFLCY, FTLDFLMR, MIAMFLAE, FTLDFLPL, MIAMFLBC, MIAMFLGR, MAIMFLHL, MLBRFLMA, PRRNFLMA,WPBHFLGA, MIAMFLPL					
########	BST	Letter denying collocation in the Daytona Port Orange DYBHFLPO office					
########	Supra	Letter detailing the 10/28 phone call, our receipt that morning of the returned check and our outrage at BellSouth's tactics. Returned the \$344,526.50 check.					
		 Detailing in writing the fact Supra had filed apps according to BSTEI and BellSouth had incorrectly processed them according to virtual space rules. 	• • •	YES			
		2. BST (Pat Solin, INAC) refusing Supra in line (cageless) collocation method. Stated reason - "Security"		YES			
		 Supra need to re-file our FOC using non-documented, non-official instructions given to me verbally on the 10/28 call regarding HOW to document the desired space. 		YES			
		 That BellSouth had that morning returned Supra's FOC and a check for \$344,526.50 (check no 2892) Saying the "FOC is not accepted" 		YES	1 		·
		Supra floorspace need is properly documented and they need to process it correctly.		YES			
########	Supra	letter from M. Graham to P. McKay stating Supra's intentions to assume the burden of contracting BST certified vendors to perform Space preparation work, in addition to installation, and NOT use BST for this portion of collocation. Requesting the work statement to be presented to potential contractors.					
########	Supra	Letter from L. Bender to M. Cathey regarding Supra right to use Certified contractors OTHER than BellSouth to prepare the collocation space		YES			
########	Supra	Application Response due Phase 3 - Second submission - per Supra					
	FPSC	Awards Supra colo space in NDADFLGG and WPBHFLGR					
12/7/1998	Supra	Supra files collocation applications for 19 Georgia Central offic es and submits a check for \$73,150.					

Date	Party	Action	Exhibit	1	Sent /	Have	Have
·	1 dirty		Number	Have Document	Received	Answer Document	Precedent
		AGSTGAMT, GSVLGAMA, ALPHGAMA, NRCRGAMA, LLBNGAMA, TUKRGAMA, ATHNGAMA, ATLNGASS, SMYRGAPF, ATLNGAIC, ATLNGABU, SVNHGABS, ASTLGAMA, RVDLGAMA, MACNGAMT, WYCRRGAMA, CLMBGAMT, ALBYGAMA, VLDSGAMA					
7/2/1999		•	.				
0/1/1000	BST	Letter Robert T. Blau to Lawrence Strickling (FCC Chief	····				
6/1/1999	001	CCB) stating BellSouth's interpretation of the FCC		YES			
0454000		words their own way.					
6/15/1999	BST	Notice to re-apply for Florida Exemption offices		YES			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	ļ	(included in error), omitted unintentionally was MIAMFLPL	l l	YES			
6/23/1999	Supra	Applied for the previously denied Florida Exemption offices FPSC Docets 98-0046, -0047,-0048, -0049, -1011, -1012, -1250	S00064	YES			
		NDADFLGG, MIAMFLPL, WPBHFLGR, DBYHFLPO	S00064	YES		-	
7/18/1999	BST	BST Finally understand the floorspace Issue and their error in interpreting the instructions . "First time anybody applied for this."					
7/26/1999	FPSC/BS	TBST withdraws exemption request - space is available to all					
		CLEC has 60 days to file FOC (i.e. 9/26/99) CLECs get					
8/1/1999		paperwork August 1 showing space and cost. Acceptance Due on 4 Florida exemption office according to SUPRA based on Nancy Whites statements to the FPSC					
8/1/1999	Supra	Email to Nancy Nelson regarding the overdue responses.		YES			
8/19/1999	3ST	N.Nelson email stating there is no July response date, their response date is August 31, 1999		YES			
8/13/1999	BST	Letter not received by Supra until AFTER 8/25/1999 Acceptance of 4 Florida Exemption offices NDADFLGG, WPBHFLGR, DYBHFLPO, MIAMFLPL	<u></u>	YES			
8/25/1999	Supra	Email dnilson to n. Nelson regarding app checks, 4 or 5 offices the promised 7/26/99 promise for application acceptance packages,		YES			
8/31/1999	3ST	Acceptance Due on 4 Florida exemption office according to BST					
8/31/1999	3ST	Acceptance of 4 Florida Offices Very high prices for colo prep, no breakdown of any sort.		YES			
9/2/1999	Joint	Interconnection Conference Call - Email to document it. 1. Justify prices		YES			
		2. Notice of Supras intention to use Certified Contractors,					
		3. Orlando Colonial was an error on BST part, but acceptance is good EVEN THOUGH THE APPLICATION WAS SUBMITTED WITH NO FUNCTIONAL CHANGES SINCE ORIGINAL REJECTION ON 9/1/1998					
		 Supra will not be in CLEC Common area is one does not exist or is full. Cageless Supra will connect to the MDF, not IDC, even in 			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	<u>}</u>	common space No colo agreement in Georgia is in laces					
9/2/1999	Joint	Conference Call to demand cost breakdowns on 4 application responses		YES			
9/8/1999	Supra	Letter demanding breakdown of costs requested in 9/2/1999 Conf call	S00065	YES			

•

Date	Party	Action	Exhibit Number	Have Document	Sent / Received Answer	Have Answer Document	Have Precedent Document
9/10/1999	BST	Rejects Supras 19 applications filed between May 19.1998 and September 18, 1998 for "errors" even though all issues except BellSouths Improper allocation of space have been resolved for over a year.	S00066	.			
		BCRTFLMA, FTLDFLCY, FTLDFLMR, FTLDFLPL, GSVLFLMA, HLWDFLPE, HLWDFLWH, JCVLFLSM, MAIMFLAE, MAIMFLBC, MIAMFLGR, MIAMFLHL, MLBRFLMA, ORLDFLCL, ORLDFLMA, PNCYFLMA,					
		PNSCFLMA, PRRNFLMA,WPBHFLGA BellSouth had previously accepted 14 of the 19 applications.	S00066				
9/10/1999	bst	p. Finlen to OA Ramos - Supra cannot use contractors.	S00067	NO			
9/20/1999 9/23/1999	Supra Supra	Request t\FCC Accelerated Docket D. Nilson to P. Finlen regarding Finlens 9/10 letter denying Supra the right to use contractors.		YES YES			
9/30/1999	Supra	Letter Documenting 9/29/99 telecom with Peggy McKay regarding cost breakdowns not yet available.		YES			
10/1/1999	BST	Application ACCEPTED - ORDLFLCO application is unchanged from version filed 9/9/1998, but rejected for "errors"		YES			
??????	Supra	Letter to Nancy Nelson formally requesting extension of BST deadline on the Four Florida Exemption offices as BST response to the FCC contains errors.					
	Supra	Re-files 11 applications on new BellSouth forms. MLBRFLMA, BCRTFLMA, FTLDFLCY, HLWDFLWH, HLWDFLWH, HLWDFLPE, MIAMFLHL, MAIMFLBC, MAIMFLGR, MAIMFLAE, PRRNFLMA, MAIMFLRR					
10/6/1999 10/6/1999	??? Supra	Deadline to file FOC on 4 Florida Offices Letter to d. Nilson Mary Jo Peed regarding three unanswered letters re. Colo at BellSouth not answered in		YES			
10/8/1999	BST	September R. Blau letter to GT Reynolds (FCC Enforcement) in response to Supras collocation complaint. The ONLY					
########	Supra	cost breakdown EVER provided to Supra. Supra letter to FCC Glenn T. Reynolds requesting Accelerated Docket.	S00069	YES			
########	BST	Letter from P. Finlen to D. Nilson . Copy of BellSouth response to FCC that purports to answer my written request to Nancy Nelson on 9/8 and my letter to P. Fineln on 9/23/1999		YES			
########	BST	Letter from N. Nelson to D. Nilson regarding a revised process and access request document for Collocatoirs and Certified Vendors.		YES			
########	Bjoint	email(s) attempting to clarify BellSouths intent in requiring "706" Contract amendments prior to accepting collocation for Florida Exemption Dockets.					
#########	BST	Letter P. Finlen to OA Ramos follow-up of 10/5 Conf call with Beth Shiroshi regarding 706 modifications to Supras IC,		YES	YES	YES	
########	Supra	Letter OA Ramos to P. Finlen regarding outrage at the underhanded tactics used to stall. BellSouth required "706" amendment to the IC to allow Supra to file FOC for the 4 Florida offices. Expressing outrage over tactics and delay and demanding colo go forward on existing contracted terms. BellSouth insists on IC amendment after previously refusing to make Amendments for Supras benefit. This amendment also "Snuck in" rate changes for floorspace and power outside of the ASO.		YES			
11/1/1999	Supra	Letter from D. Nilson to N. Nelson formally objecting to specific issues I the pricing of the 4 Florida Exemption office., based on rulings in 98-0810-FOF-TP and the contract.	S00070	YES			
		1. NR Expansion of the power plant in violation of the IC and 98-0604	S00070				

•

Date	Party	Action	Exhibit Number	Have Document	Sent / Received Answer	Have Answer Document	Have Precedent Document
		2. Explanation that the letter to the FCC did NOT address					
		all the items requested in the 9/2 Conf, 9/8 letter to N. Nelson	S00070				
	· · · · ·		300070				
		3. Demanding three quotes for space preparation and to					
		prove same to Supra, as required by BST policy manusal	S00070				
11/2/1999	SUPRA		· ·				
		email D. Nilson to N. Nelson reflecting corrections to N.					
	Cupro	Nelsons published notes regarding this conference call. Letter M. Buchelle to GT Reynolds regarding	S00071				
########	Supra			NO			
########	BST						
		Letter Nancy White to D. Dimlich stating 30 day timeline is					
		expired "some time ago" and BellSouth can no longer hold					
	ļ		S00072				
########	Supra	Letter A. Shelfer to G.T. Renoylds, FCC Regarding BST letter 11/22 stating they no longer could hold colo space for					
		Supra					
########	BST	Letter W. Jorden to G.T. Reynolds re: BellSouth's					
		response to Supra's Follow-up Letter regarding		YES			
		Accelerated Docket request.					
########	Supra						
		Letter M. Buechelle to GT Reynolds Re. Supra requesting		YES			
12/6/1999	BST	Accelerated Docket and Pre-Filing Mediation Ultimatum Deadline for FOC on 4 Florida Exemption		<u> </u>			
12/0/1999		offices					YES
12/6/1999	Supra						
1		Letter D. Nilson to P. McKay with FOC for 4 Florida	ĺ				
		exemption offices, specific price discrepancies being		YES			
		disputed, a check for the non-disputed portion and all					
		collocation documents for FOC.	S00073				
	Supra						
########	BST	Letter from P. Finlen to D. Nilson answering my 11/1/99		YES			
		letter to Scott Kunze requesting prices on UNE loop and crossconnect with and without number portability.		TEO			
		crossconneet war and warbar hanneet perdently.					
		Finlens answer was that all 4 possibilities ALL required the					
		maximum NR for loop and crossconnect. Justification - if					
		Some work is done, the Max NR is charged, even if the ONLY work is on the Crossconnect. (I.e. Loop NR is not					
	[applicable in this case) No switch as is allowed. Must be					
		disconnect - reconnect in violation of FCC orders.					
########	Supra	Letter D. Nilson to S. Kunze requesting BellSouth provide		Г			
		pricing on UNEs requested 11/1/1999, and follow-up to get		YES			
		UNE-P information requested 11/1/1999 and promised as		163			
	-	"No problem" on 11/8/1999		L			
########	Supra	Letter D. Nilson to D. Mellow 500 on 11 central efficies	S00074				
		Letter D. Nilson to P. Mckay FOC on 11 central offices MLBRFLMA, BCRTFLMA, FTLDFLCY, HLWDFLWH,	500074				
1		HLWDFLWH, HLWDFLPE, MIAMFLHL, MAIMFLBC,					
ļ		MAIMFLGR, MAIMFLAE, PRRNFLMA, MAIMFLRR	S00074				
1/6/2000	bst	FOC Response due on 4 Florida Exemption offices -					
		per Supra					
1/17/2000	BST	Letter Peggy McKay denying FOC to D.Nilsons 12/6					
		and 12/30 FOC returning Supra checks, restating their right to \$2,263,421 in colo prep costs for 15 offices	· ·	YES			
		(\$150,894 avg. per office) and &1,131,710.50 up front to					
		begin work.	S00075				
		They further go on to claim that the FCC complaint is	1	1			
		material and a "serious omission" as if they can be		ļ			
		material and a "serious omission" as if they can be removed of blame for lack of response due to a legal					
		material and a "serious omission" as if they can be removed of blame for lack of response due to a legal challenge. Claim they fully addressed the cost to the FCC		YES			
		material and a "serious omission" as if they can be removed of blame for lack of response due to a legal challenge. Claim they fully addressed the cost to the FCC for 4 offices and that Supra is "well aware" that a true up		YES			
		material and a "serious omission" as if they can be removed of blame for lack of response due to a legal challenge. Claim they fully addressed the cost to the FCC		YES			

Date	Party	Action	Exhibit	Have	Sent /	Have	Have
			Number	Document	Received Answer	Answer Document	Precedent Document
1/17/2000	BST	Outright denial of 12/6 and 12/30 FOC's. Letter P.				Doounicitt	
	[McKay to D. Nilson. BST returned checks for \$127,575		. i			
		in non-disputed FOC costs.	S00075				
		1. Reject because Supra did not supply 50% of					
	ļ	\$1,131,710.50 In other words BellSouth has claimed that	·				2
		EACH AND EVERY CHARGE RENDERED TO SUPRA IS		1			
		VALID.	S00075				<u> </u>
		2. BellSouth claims "serious omission" in my summary					
		letter because the FCC Complaint was not listed. As if it					
		were a gating factor instead of a parallel issue.	S00075				
	•	3. BST Completely addressed the cost breakdown.	S00075				
		4. BST is allowed to recover card reader costs - issue of					
		improperly dividing cost is not addressed	S00075				
		5. HVAC Charges are appropriate regardless of what					
		Lucent says. No detail, no data, no way to investigate or re					
	<u>}</u>	but the issues.	S00075				
		6. 98-0604 \$0 NR cost for power does not apply to Supra.	S00075				
		7. BellSouth can charge Supra for demolition in					
		NDADFLGG even though the FPSC said none was				j l	
	j	necessary as "usual, necessary costs". No mention of the					
		fact this demolition was to site another CLEC from the					
		exemption docket and Supra was paying an apportioned					
		percent.	S00075				
		 BellSouth is justified in its lighting costs. No answer regarding Supra photographs that the lighting was already 					
		there.	000075				
		9. BellSouth denies the 1.3 multiplier.	S00075 S00075				
	<u> </u>	10. Despite IC N/A for racking and cabling non-recurring	300073				
		costs, BellSouth insists that Supra is wrong and owes the					
		monies.	S00075				
		11. The FCC response is correct - no changes.	S00075	n i i manda and			
		12. Despite order 99-0060 in 98-0800, BellSouth "re-				· ·	
		evaluated" Supras apps under 98-48 and the racking and				ł (
		cabling charges are thus appropriate. No cite to the law,					
		and no answer regarding our IC having an N/A under NR					
1/00/0000	BST	costs.	S00075		*		
1/28/2000		FOC Response due on 11 offices - per Supra Letter D. Nilson to P. McKay, detailing lack of response					
1/31/2000	Supra	from BellSouth regarding Supra use of contractors since					
		the 9/2/1999 telecon. Letter rebuts P. McKay's 1/17/2000					
		letter	S00076				
2/17/2000	BST	Settlement Proposal from M.J. Peed to M. Buechelle		YES			
3/1/2000	Joint	Walkthru of 4 affected offices		·		<u> </u>	······································
	1	Promises made by Mary Jo Peed					
		1. Supra can employ HVAC, electrical, and building					
		contractors to do work in its own space.					
		2. Supra need not pay for power racking if BST turf vendor					
	L	(Lucent) says space is available.					
		 Supra need not pay for VF racking if BST turf vendor (Lucent) says space is available. 					
		Leuoniny says space is available.	<u> </u>				
		4. Supra may contract to run VF cables to DSx and MDF					
	ļ	5. Supra will not pay NR for Power.					
2/20/0000	BST	6. BST will reprice Supra application responses.		 			
3/28/2000	001	Files Colloc ation Tariff containing all elements Supra is fighting for. Supra is not entitled to enjoy this relief,		VEO			
		however.		YES			<u> </u>
		Additionally Supra cannot buy from both the Tariff and IC,					
		as Collocation must be bought one place or the other only.					
4/7/2000		Settlement Draft		YES			<u>-</u> -
		Settlement draft		YES			

Date	Party	Action	Exhibit Number	Have Document	Sent / Received Answer	Have Answer Document	Have Precedent Document
6/28/2000	BST	Carrier Notification SN91081846 Collocation interval (15 days) and rate changes. Includes HVAC, Ground bar, cable racking, Frame/Aisle lighting, listing power as ALWAYS HAVING BEEN JUST A RECURRING CHARGE (and then doubling the rate). Changes as a result of the generic collocation docket, but are still unavailable to Supra.					
8/30/2000	SUPRA	Letter D. Nilson to P. McKay requesting BellSouth proceed with collocation during billing dispute per FCC order Fifth Report and order in the Avanceced Services Docket.	S00077		-		
10/4/2000		Letter MJ. Peed to B. Chaiken regarding 10/3/2000 letter and previous days telecorn demanding Supra deploy offices in BellSouth's preferred order, not Supras order		YES			
########	Supra	Letter B. Chaiken to MJ Peed clearly stating collocation on terms of the IC, and requests IMMEDIATE processing of the 4 Florida exemption offices.		YES			
6/5/2001	Legal	Arbitral Tribunal Issues order on collocation to proceed "immediately"	1	YES			
6/10/2001	Legal	Deadline to effect colloc comes and goes with no response.		YES			
6/15/2001	BST	Missing the 6/10/2001 date, BellSouth provides outrageous cost estimates inconsistant building practices and Non- recurring costs that vary wildy for same work in differenct offices by different engineers	10	YES			
6/25/2001	Supra	Supra announces its intention to deliver Class 5 switches on June 27, 2001 and demands walkthrus beginneing 6/26/2001 Letter L. Williams to T.Twomey.	11	YES		12	
6/25/2001	BST	Letter T.Twomey to L. Williams. BellSouth requires Supra to 1) confirm accuracy of assumptions, 2) indicate agreement or disagreement, and insists Supra must file NEW Firm Order Committments to replace those filed in Dec 1999.	12	YES		13	
6/28/2001	Supra	Implementation letter P/Turner to T.Twomey in response to 6/25 letter. Supra re-iterates it is NOT require to file FOC, and that 15 such FOCS were already filed in December 1999. Also that Supra will respond to price issues under seperate cover. Site Visits		YES		N/A	
		Conference call with Greg Folensbee, formerly of AT&T negotiation team, the new BellSouth negotiator for Supra.					
7/11/2001	Supra	Letter D.Nilson to G. Follensbee, newly appointed collocation implementation contact. Letter documenting telephone call with Follensbee who indicatd power charges were "wrong" as were racking and Common systems Modifications. disputing assumptions made on Power, racking and space preparation, i.e. Disputes charges in two of three collocation copnstruction categories. Ref FPSC arbitration on power cost, rack cost, previous FOC's and previous cost disputes.		YES			
7/29/2001	Joint	Conference call with G. Follensbee and d.Nilson (others?) where Follensbeee had reviewed Supra's pricing isssues and "found merit" in Supra's complaint					
7/30/2001	Supra	Letter D. Nilson to T.Twomwy documenting call of 7/29/2001 on requested price quotations, Supra accepts 18 offices, and identified a 30 day window for office completion witch was subsequently ignored. Supra specifically disputes space in 6 remaining offices a s being environmentally unsound		YES			

.

Date	Party	Action	Exhibit Number	Have Document	Sent / Received Answer	Have Answer Document	Have Precedent Document
8/3/2001	BST	Undated letter from G. Follensbee to D. Nilson					
		CORRECTING price quotes of June 15, 2001. BellSouth		1/50			
		acknowledges that Supra application ask for BellSouth to		YES			
		do ALL work.	18				
		1) BellSouth claims charges include Supra's costs for					```
		BellSouth NOT provisiing POTS bays and NOT		_			
		provisioning cages, although how this can be is never					
		detailed or explained.	18		<u></u>		
		2) Follensbee acknowleges the 7/30 leeter to T.Twomey			-		
		accepting space, despite future testimony that such		-			
	<u> </u>	acceptance never ocurred.	18			<u> </u>	
		3) BellSouth place NEW requirements BEYOND the 6/25	40	-			
		letter from T.Twomey. Specifically:	18				·
		a) During site walkthrus the BellSouth Engineers strongly					
		encouraged Supra to use BDFB's instead of heavy power					
		feeds that cost BellSouth more, and would save Supra		-			
		monthly charges. This letter states that Supra indicated it wanted to make the change, but nothing about the					
		pressure from bellSouth to do so.	18				
		b) Despite acknowledging that Supra applications ask for	10		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		BellSouth to do all work, suddenly Supra is now required to					
	ļ	state who will place the feeds. This due to Bellsouths					
		position that it is not responsible for this cost despite FPSC		-			
		order PSC-980604-FOF-TP	18				
·		c) Because Supra elects not to use POTS bays, an ILEC					
		mandated schem that hte D+FCC outlawed in its "706"					
	1	collocation order, Supra must now confirm that what we		-			
		asked for on the applications is still valid. Why this is so is					
		not explained.	18				
		d) BellSouth now requires Supra to confirm that the					
		space engineered by BellSouth, which includes Supra's					
		equipment space and common aisle areas outside Supra's		_			
		control. BellSouh has used this as a disputed issue since		-	÷		
		1998, despite the equipment remaining unchanged.					
		Naturally there are errors in the calcualtions. However the			:		
		underlying applications remain unchanged.	18				
		e) Supra must now state which work it wants to do itslef,					
		despite the acknowledgement, earlier in the letter that		-			
		Supra has requested that BellSouth do all work, and that	40				
	ļ	we have disputed prices only for them doing work. 4) BellSouth states Supra can gain early entry into the	18				····
		ofice, ONLY if Supra does the above, unecessary work.	18	-			
0 10 1 10 0 0 1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
8/21/2001	Joint	Conference Call - BST Action items	88		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		1) When will colloc charges be due from Supra	88				·····.
		R) SMEs to release at 6 offices rejected in $\frac{7}{20}$ (01 :letter	88	-			
		2) SMEs to relook at 6 offices rejected in 7/30/01 ;letter.	00		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		3) Although Follensbee has yet to "find" the cost studies					
		for the arbitration of the October 5, 1999 Conrtact, he has				1	
		now reversed himself and states that Supra, not bellSouth					
		is responsible for the charges. Of high cap power feeders,		_		-	
		despite PSC-98-0604-FOF-TP which is clear as cavil that					
		they are not to do so any longer, and that they must re-					
	1	educate their personeell Further a commitment]	
	Ì	is made to provide a reduced charge due to reduced cost	88				
·	<u> </u>			· · · · ·	and the second second	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		4) Letter misreprets Supras statments regarding space					
	[acceptance, power needs (critical data still not supplied by		-			
		BST here) and where Supra intends to do its own work.	88				
#########	BST	Letter G. Follensbee "offering" a 25 cent reuction in power					·····
	1	per amp per month for the isolated swich feeds. No formal		VEO			
	1	statement of non-recurring costs which was the initial issue		YES			
	1						

	Party	Action	Exhibit Number	Have Document	Sent / Received Answer	Have Answer Document	Have Precedent Document
	1	b) Now the is a new demand. Since Supra agreed to					
		investigate the BDFB supplied power AT BELLSOUTHS					
		INSISTENCE, Follensbee is now demanding a letter from Supra explaining what we really want, state this is outside		_			
		our current contract (after just stting it ws the oly power IN		-			
		the contract) and telling Supra to file a Bona Fide request] [
		"for BellSouth to evaluate."	- 23		- 		
		Follensbee demands	23	-	l		
		1) Supra indiacte what offices it will be doing work in despite our acceptance of the offices based on the			-		
		applications and their acceptances which CLEARLY allow		-		· ·	
		fo only BellSouth to do work.	23				
		2) Demanding revised collocation application forms	23	-			
		3) Demanding FOC's AGAINIIIII	23	-			
		4) Demanding a response to BellSouth's prior "take it or		-		1	
12/5/2001	BST	leave it" offer in the 6 rejected offices.	23				
12/3/2001		Further demand for items 1,2,3,4 above response for which					
		has already been given, except 2. Power. However	, i	YES			
		BellSouth seems to no longer need an explanatory letter or					
	ļ	a Bona fide request as demanded on 10/20/2001	24				
		Recants D.Nilson sworn testimony that Supra accepted the		-			
		space on 7/30/2001 after site visits to see the space.	24)]	
		Tries to create an issue with D. Nilsons sworn testimony					
		that only BellSouth has delayed doing the actual work,		-			
		short of power work, depsite the 7/30/2001 acceptance letter.	24				
\\	<u> </u>	Tries to declare Supra in violation of the award for not	24		<u> </u>		
		telling BellSouth what work to do, despite the 7/30/2001		-			
		letter of acceptance.	24				
		With the post hearing brief due in 48 hours, BellSouth					
		gives Supra a 48 hour notice to respond or "BellSouth will	1				
		issue contracts for the netire sope of work in the 18 office" which is EXACTLY what Supra wantd since 7/30/2001		-			
		(December 1999 actually)	24				
12/6/2001	Supra	Letter D. Nilson to G.Follensbee tetifying to BellSouth's		YES			
		Bad faith, lack of SOW, erros in the price sheets,	25	163			
########	SUPRA	Letter D.Nilson to G.Follensbee stating that based on the	Ì			·	
		12/5 letter, BellSouth should indeed go ahead and contract for all work. Indicates that the cost issue has still not been	1	YES			
	1						
	1		26			1	
		resolved and a cost dispute still exists.	26				
		resolved and a cost dispute still exists. 1) the work Supra would be performing. The 7/30/2001 letter said it all - BellSouth should proceed.	26 26	-			
		resolved and a cost dispute still exists. 1) the work Supra would be performing. The 7/30/2001 letter said it alt - BellSouth should proceed. 2) Promised power figures to come when complete.	26 26	-			
		resolved and a cost dispute still exists. 1) the work Supra would be performing. The 7/30/2001 letter said it all - BellSouth should proceed. 2) Promised power figures to come when complete. 3) Refused to supply FOC for a third time.	26 26 26	-			
	Pet	resolved and a cost dispute still exists. 1) the work Supra would be performing. The 7/30/2001 letter said it alt - BellSouth should proceed. 2) Promised power figures to come when complete. 3) Refused to supply FOC for a third time. 4) Space a allable is unsuitable.	26 26 26 26 26	-			
****	BST	resolved and a cost dispute still exists. 1) the work Supra would be performing. The 7/30/2001 letter said it all - BellSouth should proceed. 2) Promised power figures to come when complete. 3) Refused to supply FOC for a third time. 4) Space a allable is unsuitable. Letter G. Follensbee to D. Nilson	26 26 26	YES			
#########	BST	resolved and a cost dispute still exists. 1) the work Supra would be performing. The 7/30/2001 letter said it alt - BellSouth should proceed. 2) Promised power figures to come when complete. 3) Refused to supply FOC for a third time. 4) Space a allable is unsuitable.	26 26 26 26 26	-			
########	BST	resolved and a cost dispute still exists. 1) the work Supra would be performing. The 7/30/2001 letter said it all - BellSouth should proceed. 2) Promised power figures to come when complete. 3) Refused to supply FOC for a third time. 4) Space a allable is unsuitable. Letter G. Follensbee to D. Nilson 1) Make Ready Work. Supra cannot get a SOW until it decidess to do its own work. AT that point the SOW would be determined. No explanation of how cost wuoted are	26 26 26 26 26	-			
########	BST	resolved and a cost dispute still exists. 1) the work Supra would be performing. The 7/30/2001 letter said it all - BellSouth should proceed. 2) Promised power figures to come when complete. 3) Refused to supply FOC for a third time. 4) Space a allable is unsuitable. Letter G. Follensbee to D. Nilson 1) Make Ready Work. Supra cannot get a SOW until it decidess to do its own work. AT that point the SOW would be determined. No explanation of how cost wuoted are arrived at. No explanation as to how Supra can engage a	26 26 26 26 26	-			
#########	BST	resolved and a cost dispute still exists. 1) the work Supra would be performing. The 7/30/2001 letter said it all - BellSouth should proceed. 2) Promised power figures to come when complete. 3) Refused to supply FOC for a third time. 4) Space a allable is unsuitable. Letter G. Follensbee to D. Nilson 1) Make Ready Work. Supra cannot get a SOW until it decidess to do its own work. AT that point the SOW would be determined. No explanation of how cost wuoted are arrived at. No explanation as to how Supra can engage a contractor without a SOW unless on an open ended	26 26 26 26 26 27	-			
########	BST	resolved and a cost dispute still exists. 1) the work Supra would be performing. The 7/30/2001 letter said it all - BellSouth should proceed. 2) Promised power figures to come when complete. 3) Refused to supply FOC for a third time. 4) Space a allable is unsuitable. Letter G. Follensbee to D. Nilson 1) Make Ready Work. Supra cannot get a SOW until it decidess to do its own work. AT that point the SOW would be determined. No explanation of how cost wuoted are arrived at. No explanation as to how Supra can engage a contractor without a SOW unless on an open ended contract basis.	26 26 26 26 26	-			
########	BST	 resolved and a cost dispute still exists. 1) the work Supra would be performing. The 7/30/2001 letter said it all - BellSouth should proceed. 2) Promised power figures to come when complete. 3) Refused to supply FOC for a third time. 4) Space a allable is unsuitable. Letter G. Follensbee to D. Nilson 1) Make Ready Work. Supra cannot get a SOW until it decidess to do its own work. AT that point the SOW would be determined. No explanation of how cost wuoted are arrived at. No explanation as to how Supra can engage a contractor without a SOW unless on an open ended contract basis. 2) BellSouth claims Supra never told them that we wanted 	26 26 26 26 26 27	-			
#######	BST	 resolved and a cost dispute still exists. 1) the work Supra would be performing. The 7/30/2001 letter said it all - BellSouth should proceed. 2) Promised power figures to come when complete. 3) Refused to supply FOC for a third time. 4) Space a allable is unsuitable. Letter G. Follensbee to D. Nilson 1) Make Ready Work. Supra cannot get a SOW until it decidess to do its own work. AT that point the SOW would be determined. No explanation of how cost wuoted are arrived at. No explanation as to how Supra can engage a contractor without a SOW unless on an open ended contract basis. 2) BellSouth claims Supra never told them that we wanted to do all the make ready work despite his 8/3/2001 letter which says otherwise. 	26 26 26 26 26 27	-			
########	BST	 resolved and a cost dispute still exists. 1) the work Supra would be performing. The 7/30/2001 letter said it all - BellSouth should proceed. 2) Promised power figures to come when complete. 3) Refused to supply FOC for a third time. 4) Space a allable is unsuitable. Letter G. Follensbee to D. Nilson 1) Make Ready Work. Supra cannot get a SOW until it decidess to do its own work. AT that point the SOW would be determined. No explanation of how cost wuoted are arrived at. No explanation as to how Supra can engage a contractor without a SOW unless on an open ended contract basis. 2) BellSouth claims Supra never told them that we wanted to do all the make ready work despite his 8/3/2001 letter which says otherwise. 3) BellSouth has the HLWDFLPE, HLWDFLWH, 	26 26 26 26 27 27 27	-			
########	BST	 resolved and a cost dispute still exists. 1) the work Supra would be performing. The 7/30/2001 letter said it all - BellSouth should proceed. 2) Promised power figures to come when complete. 3) Refused to supply FOC for a third time. 4) Space a allable is unsuitable. Letter G. Follensbee to D. Nilson 1) Make Ready Work. Supra cannot get a SOW until it decidess to do its own work. AT that point the SOW would be determined. No explanation of how cost wuoted are arrived at. No explanation as to how Supra can engage a contractor without a SOW unless on an open ended contract basis. 2) BellSouth claims Supra never told them that we wanted to do all the make ready work despite his 8/3/2001 letter which says otherwise. 3) BellSouth has the HLWDFLPE, HLWDFLWH, NDADFLGG, MIAMFLBC, AND WPBHFLGA offices ready 	26 26 26 26 27 27 27 27	-			
########	BST	 resolved and a cost dispute still exists. 1) the work Supra would be performing. The 7/30/2001 letter said it all - BellSouth should proceed. 2) Promised power figures to come when complete. 3) Refused to supply FOC for a third time. 4) Space a allable is unsuitable. Letter G. Follensbee to D. Nilson 1) Make Ready Work. Supra cannot get a SOW until it decidess to do its own work. AT that point the SOW would be determined. No explanation of how cost wuoted are arrived at. No explanation as to how Supra can engage a contractor without a SOW unless on an open ended contract basis. 2) BellSouth claims Supra never told them that we wanted to do all the make ready work despite his 8/3/2001 letter which says otherwise. 3) BellSouth has the HLWDFLPE, HLWDFLWH, NDADFLGG, MIAMFLBC, AND WPBHFLGA offices ready for early acceptance. 	26 26 26 27 27 27 27 27	- YES - -			
########	BST	 resolved and a cost dispute still exists. 1) the work Supra would be performing. The 7/30/2001 letter said it all - BellSouth should proceed. 2) Promised power figures to come when complete. 3) Refused to supply FOC for a third time. 4) Space a allable is unsuitable. Letter G. Follensbee to D. Nilson 1) Make Ready Work. Supra cannot get a SOW until it decidess to do its own work. AT that point the SOW would be determined. No explanation of how cost wuoted are arrived at. No explanation as to how Supra can engage a contractor without a SOW unless on an open ended contract basis. 2) BellSouth claims Supra never told them that we wanted to do all the make ready work despite his 8/3/2001 letter which says otherwise. 3) BellSouth has the HLWDFLPE, HLWDFLWH, NDADFLGG, MIAMFLBC, AND WPBHFLGA offices ready for early acceptance. 3) DC power - complain over wait 	26 26 26 26 27 27 27 27	-			
#######	BST	 resolved and a cost dispute still exists. 1) the work Supra would be performing. The 7/30/2001 letter said it all - BellSouth should proceed. 2) Promised power figures to come when complete. 3) Refused to supply FOC for a third time. 4) Space a allable is unsuitable. Letter G. Follensbee to D. Nilson 1) Make Ready Work. Supra cannot get a SOW until it decidess to do its own work. AT that point the SOW would be determined. No explanation of how cost wuoted are arrived at. No explanation as to how Supra can engage a contractor without a SOW unless on an open ended contract basis. 2) BellSouth claims Supra never told them that we wanted to do all the make ready work despite his 8/3/2001 letter which says otherwise. 3) BellSouth has the HLWDFLPE, HLWDFLWH, NDADFLGG, MIAMFLBC, AND WPBHFLGA offices ready for early acceptance. 	26 26 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	- YES - -			
#######	BST	 resolved and a cost dispute still exists. 1) the work Supra would be performing. The 7/30/2001 letter said it all - BellSouth should proceed. 2) Promised power figures to come when complete. 3) Refused to supply FOC for a third time. 4) Space a allable is unsuitable. Letter G. Follensbee to D. Nilson 1) Make Ready Work. Supra cannot get a SOW until it decidess to do its own work. AT that point the SOW would be determined. No explanation of how cost wuoted are arrived at. No explanation as to how Supra can engage a contractor without a SOW unless on an open ended contract basis. 2) BellSouth claims Supra never told them that we wanted to do all the make ready work despite his 8/3/2001 letter which says otherwise. 3) BellSouth has the HLWDFLPE, HLWDFLWH, NDADFLGG, MIAMFLBC, AND WPBHFLGA offices ready for early acceptance. 3) DC power - complain over wait 4) FOC Claim Supra wanted to do own work until December 12. 5) Space formula agreed to 	26 26 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	- YES - -			
	BST	 resolved and a cost dispute still exists. 1) the work Supra would be performing. The 7/30/2001 letter said it all - BellSouth should proceed. 2) Promised power figures to come when complete. 3) Refused to supply FOC for a third time. 4) Space a allable is unsuitable. Letter G. Follensbee to D. Nilson 1) Make Ready Work. Supra cannot get a SOW until it decidess to do its own work. AT that point the SOW would be determined. No explanation of how cost wuoted are arrived at. No explanation as to how Supra can engage a contractor without a SOW unless on an open ended contract basis. 2) BellSouth claims Supra never told them that we wanted to do all the make ready work despite his 8/3/2001 letter which says otherwise. 3) BellSouth has the HLWDFLPE, HLWDFLWH, NDADFLGG, MIAMFLBC, AND WPBHFLGA offices ready for early acceptance. 3) DC power - complain over wait 4) FOC Claim Supra wanted to do own work until December 12. 	26 26 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	- YES - - - - -			

Date	Party	Action	Exhibit	Have	Sent /	Have	Have
			Number	Document	Received	Answer	Precedent
				Document	Answer	Document	Document
	7		29	YES			
			30	YES			
			31	YES			
			32	YES			
			33	YES			
			34	YES			·····
			35	YES			
			36	YES			
			37	YES			
			38	YES			
			39	YES			
			40	YES			
			41	YES			
			42	YES			
			43	YES			
	1		44	YES			<u> </u>
-			45	YES			
			46	YES			••
			47	YES			
			48	YES			
			49	YES			
	1		50	YES			<u> </u>
	1		51	YES			·
			52	YES			
	1		53	YES			
	+		54	YES			
			55	YES			
			56	YES			
			57	YES			Me
			58	YES			
<u> </u>	+		59	YES			
	+		60	YES			
	1		61	YES			<u>.</u>
			62	YES			
	1		63	YES			
	1		64	YES			
	1		65	YES			
	1		66	YES			
			67	YES			
			68	YES			
			69	YES			
	1	······································	70	YES			<u> </u>
			71	YES			
	+		72	YES			
	+	······································	73	YES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	+		73	YES		·	