

Robert H. Jackson
Direct Phone: 202.414.9297
Email: rjackson@reedsmith.com

Reed Smith LLP
1301 K Street, N.W.
Suite 1100 – East Tower
Washington, D.C. 20005-3373
202.414.9200
Fax 202.414.9299

March 15, 2004

Ms. Blanca Bayo
Clerk
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC
01 MAR 16 AM 10:48
COMMISSION
CLERK

Re: Docket No. 031038-TL


Dear Ms. Bayo:

Exhibit "A" to Americatel Corporation's Reply to BellSouth's Response in the above docket was inadvertently omitted. Enclosed for filing with the Commission are an original and 15 copies of Exhibit "A." Please accept my apology for any inconvenience this oversight may have caused.

Acknowledgement and receipt of this letter are requested. A duplicate copy of this letter is being provided for this purpose.

If you have any questions about this contract, please contact the undersigned.


Sincerely,



Robert H. Jackson
Counsel for Americatel Corporation

CC: Jeremy Susac, Florida PSC
Nancy White, BellSouth
R. Douglas Lackey, BellSouth
E. Earl Edenfield, Jr., BellSouth

AUS ___
CAF ___
CMP ___
COM 5
CTR ___
ECR ___
GCL ___
OPC ___
MMS ___
SEC 1
OTH ___

RECEIVED & FILED

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

LONDON • NEW YORK • LOS ANGELES • SAN FRANCISCO • WASHINGTON, D.C. • PHILADELPHIA • PITTSBURGH • DALLAS • PRINCETON
FALLS CHURCH • WILMINGTON • NEWARK • MIDLANDS, U.K. • CENTURY CITY • RICHMOND • HARRISBURG • LEESBURG • WESTLAKE VILLAGE

reedsmith.com

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
03524 MAR 16 04

Robert H. Jackson
Direct Phone: 202.414.9297
Email: rjackson@reedsmith.com

Reed Smith LLP
1301 K Street, N.W.
Suite 1100 – East Tower
Washington, D.C. 20005-3373
202.414.9200
Fax 202.414.9299

Notice of Ex Parte Communication

February 23, 2004

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: WC Docket No. 03-173

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On February 23, 2004, on behalf of Americatel Corporation (“Americatel”), Denisse Becerra, Judith L. Harris and the undersigned met with Marvin Sacks, Steve Morris, Richard Kwiatkowski and Monica Desai from the Pricing Policy Division of the Wireline Competition Bureau, to discuss Americatel’s position in the above-captioned proceeding. We explained why the Commission should not permit the Bell Operating Companies (“BOCs”) to base prices for unbundled network elements (“UNEs”) on historical or embedded costs. We demonstrated how the BOCs have changed their economic theories underlying their recommended costs-for-pricing to achieve the desired result. The BOCs have long argued that prices for services should not be based on embedded costs, but now are arguing for UNE prices based on embedded costs in order to reduce the amount of local competition using UNEs. We also discussed the need for reasonable UNE prices for long distance carriers to enter the local market in order to compete against the BOCs’ service bundles. The attached material was used in the discussion and was left with the Commission Staff.

LONDON ♦ NEW YORK ♦ LOS ANGELES ♦ SAN FRANCISCO ♦ WASHINGTON, D.C. ♦ PHILADELPHIA ♦ PITTSBURGH ♦ OAKLAND ♦ PRINCETON
FALLS CHURCH ♦ WILMINGTON ♦ NEWARK ♦ MIDLANDS, U.K. ♦ CENTURY CITY ♦ RICHMOND ♦ HARRISBURG ♦ LEESBURG ♦ WESTLAKE VILLAGE

reedsmith.com

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
03524 MAR 16 8

Marlene H. Dortch
February 23, 2004
Page 2

ReedSmith

Please place a copy of this letter and the accompanying attachment in the file for this proceeding. One electronic copy of this notice is being submitted to the Commission in accordance with Section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules.

Very truly yours,

/s/

Robert H. Jackson

cc: Marvin Sacks
Steve Morris
Richard Kwiatkowski
Monica Desai