

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VOTE SHEET

MARCH 16, 2004

RE: Docket No. 030656-WU - Application for transfer of facilities and Certificate No. 139-W in Pasco County from Dixie Groves Estates, Inc. to Dixie Groves Utility Company a Division of Community Utilities of Florida, Inc.

Issue 1: Should the transfer of the facilities and Certificate No. 139-W from Dixie Groves to DGUC be approved?

Recommendation: Yes. The transfer of the facilities and Certificate No. 139-W from Dixie Groves to DGUC is in the public interest and should be approved. The transfer should be effective the day of the Commission vote. DGUC is responsible for remitting the 2003 and all future regulatory assessment fees (RAFs) and annual reports. A description of the territory being transferred is appended to staff's March 4, 2004 recommendation as Attachment A.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

Handwritten signatures of four commissioners in the majority column.

Four horizontal lines in the dissenting column, all of which are blank.

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

03551 MAR 16 04

VOTE SHEET

MARCH 16, 2004

Docket No. 030656-WU - Application for transfer of facilities and Certificate No. 139-W in Pasco County from Dixie Groves Estates, Inc. to Dixie Groves Utility Company a Division of Community Utilities of Florida, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: What is the rate base of Dixie Groves at the time of transfer?

Recommendation: The rate base for transfer purposes is \$29,186 as of December 30, 2002.

APPROVED

Issue 3: Should an acquisition adjustment be included in the calculation of rate base?

Recommendation: No. Pursuant to Rule 25-30.0371(2), Florida Administrative Code, an acquisition adjustment should not be included in rate base.

APPROVED

Issue 4: Should the rates and charges approved for this utility be continued?

Recommendation: Yes. DGUC should continue charging the rates and charges approved for this utility system until authorized to change by the Commission in a subsequent proceeding. The tariff reflecting the change in ownership should be effective for services provided or connections made on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets.

APPROVED

Issue 5: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If no timely protest is filed by a substantially affected person to the proposed agency action Issues Nos. 2 and 3, a Consummating Order should be issued upon the expiration of the protest period and the docket should be closed.

APPROVED