ORIGINAL



Richard A. Chapkis Vice President -- General Counsel, Southeast Region Legal Department

> FLTC0007 201 North Franklin Street (33602) Post Office Box 110 Tampa, Florida 33601-0110

Phone 813 483-1256 Fax 813 204-8870 richard.chapkis@verizon.com

March 29, 2004

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket Nos. 030867-TL, 030868-TL, 030869-TL, 030691-TI

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Please find enclosed for filing an original and fifteen copies of Verizon Florida Inc.'s Response In Opposition to Attorney General's Amended Request for Oral Argument. Service has been made as indicated on the Certificate of Service. If there are any questions regarding this filing, please contact me at 813-483-1256.

Sincerely,

Richard M. Chaples

Richard A. Chapkis

RAC:tas Enclosures

RECEIVED & FILED

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition of Verizon Florida Inc. To Reform Its Intrastate Network Access and Basic Local Telecommunications Rates in Accordance with Florida Statutes, Section 364.164) Docket No. 030867-1L)))
In re: Petition of Sprint-Florida, Incorporated, To Reduce Intrastate Switched Network Access Rates to Interstate Parity in Revenue Neutral Manner Pursuant to Section 364.164(1), Florida Statutes	Docket No. 030868-TL))))
In re: Petition by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. To Reduce Its Network Access Charges Applicable To Intrastate Long Distance In A Revenue Neutral Manner	,) Docket No. 030869-TL)))
In re: Flow-Through of LEC Switched Access Reductions by IXC's, Pursuant to	Docket No. 030961-TI
Section 364.163(2)) Filed March 29, 2004)

VERIZON FLORIDA INC.'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO ATTORNEY GENERAL'S AMENDED REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to Rule 28-106.204(1), Florida Administrative Code, Verizon Florida Inc. (Verizon) submits this Response in Opposition to the Attorney General's Amended Request for Oral Argument.

1. Verizon previously explained that the Attorney General's Request for Oral Argument should be denied because: (1) the Attorney General's Motion for Reconsideration merely repeats arguments that have previously been considered and decided by the Commission; and (2) the Attorney General has not explained why oral

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

argument is necessary.¹ The Attorney General's Amended Request for Oral Argument (Amended Request) does nothing to bolster the initial Request for Oral Argument, and therefore the Attorney General's Request for Oral Argument should be denied.

- 2. As an initial matter, the Amended Request should be rejected because it is untimely. All requests for oral argument must accompany the pleading on which oral argument is requested.² The Attorney General's Amended Request was filed more than two months after the Motion for Reconsideration, and therefore should not be considered.
- 3. Moreover, the arguments in the Amended Request should be rejected on their merits.
- 4. In the Amended Request, the Attorney General asserts without further explanation that the Commission will benefit from "interactive oral presentations" on the same recycled issues that were previously considered and rejected by this Commission. This argument is unpersuasive given that the Commission has already heard from the parties on these very same issues both in "interactive oral presentations" and in writing.
- 5. The Attorney General also asserts that oral argument is necessary because it will allow the public to gain "an understanding of the parties' positions and the perspective of the Commission." This argument is similarly unpersuasive given that the parties have already made their positions known to the public in testimony and hearings, and the Commission has made its position known to the public at hearings and in its decision.

¹ <u>See</u> Verizon's Response In Opposition To Attorney General's Motion for Reconsideration at 10–11.

² See Rule 25-22-058(1), Florida Administrative Code.

- 6. In the Amended Request, the Attorney General also asks the Commission to order the public disclosure of confidential documents. This request should be rejected for several independent reasons.
- 7. First, this request is precluded by the Supreme Court's March 3 Order. In that Order, the Court relinquished jurisdiction to the Commission for the limited purpose of ruling on two specific motions of reconsideration not for the purpose of requiring the disclosure of confidential documents:

[The Attorney General and AARP] filed in this Court separate motions to relinquish jurisdiction to the PSC for the *limited purpose* of obtaining rulings on their motions for reconsideration that were filed with the PSC on January 8, 2004. Having considered the motions for relinquishment and responses thereto, the Court hereby grants the motions for relinquishment and relinquishes jurisdiction to the PSC for the *specific purpose* of ruling on the January 8, 2004, motions for reconsideration.

The foregoing language makes clear that the Attorney General's request falls outside the Commission's jurisdiction, and must therefore be denied.

- 8. Second, the Attorney General has waived his right to make such a request. The Attorney General did not oppose the ILECs' confidentiality motions. The Attorney General did not seek reconsideration of the orders granting the ILECs' confidentiality motions. And the Attorney General did not appeal the orders granting the ILECs' confidentiality motions. Having failed to respond to the confidentiality motions and rulings made during the course of the proceeding, the Attorney General cannot now be heard on these issues.
- 9. <u>Third</u>, the Attorney General's request is unauthorized. The Attorney General did not cite any rule or statute that would allow the Commission to consider de novo the

public disclosure of documents that have already been deemed confidential -- and no legal basis exists that would allow the Commission to take such action.

- 10. <u>Fourth</u>, the Attorney General's request is contrary to public policy. If Verizon's confidential and proprietary business information were made publicly available, Verizon would be placed at an unfair competitive disadvantage. Moreover, the harm that would be caused by disclosing this information would outweigh the public interest in disclosure. This is true because all parties that requested this information including the Attorney General and AARP were afforded access to the information, and the basis for the Commission's decision is readily understood from publicly available materials.
- 11. For the foregoing reasons, the Commission should deny the Attorney General's Request for Oral Argument.

Respectfully submitted on March 29, 2004.

By:

Richard M. Chaples

RICHARD A. CHAPKIS 201 North Franklin Street, FLTC0717

P. O. Box 110 Tampa, FL 33601

Tel: 813-483-1256 Fax: 813-204-8870

e-mail: richard.chapkis@verizon.com

Attorney for Verizon Florida Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that copies of Verizon Florida Inc.'s Response in Opposition to Attorney General's Amended Request for Oral Argument in Docket Nos. 030867-TL, 030868-TL, 030869-TL and 030691-TI were sent via U. S. mail on March 29, 2004 to:

Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Nancy White c/o Nancy Sims BellSouth Telecomm. Inc. 150 S. Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1556

> Tracy Hatch AT&T 101 N. Monroe, Suite 700 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Michael Gross Florida Cable Telecomm. Assn. 246 East 6th Avenue Tallahassee, FL 32303

> Susan Masterton Charles Rehwinkel Sprint-Florida 1313 Blairstone Road MC FLTLHO0107 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Donna McNulty MCI WorldCom, Inc. 1203 Governors Square Blvd. Suite 201 Tallahassee, FL 32301-2960

Charles J. Beck
H. F. Mann
Office of Public Counsel
111 W. Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

John Fons Ausley & McMullen, P.A. 227 South Calhoun Street Tallahassee, FL 32302

Michael B. Twomey AARP 8903 Crawfordsville Road Tallahassee, FL 32305

Mark Cooper AARP 504 Highgate Terrace Silver Spring, MD 20904

Charles J. Crist, Jr.
Jack Shreve
Office of the Attorney General
PL-01, The Capitol
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050

George Meros Gray Harris & Robinson 301 S. Bronough Street Suite 600 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Floyd Self Messer Law Firm 215 S. Monroe Street Suite 701 Tallahassee, FL 32301

John Feehan Knology, Inc. 1241 O.G. Skinner Drive West Point, GA 31833

Lisa A. Sapper AT&T 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E. Suite 8100 Atlanta, GA 30309

Richard M. Chaples

Richard Chapkis