ORIGINAL

State of Florida



Hublic Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD |2: 07
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

COMMISSION CLERK

DATE:

April 1, 2004

TO:

Blanca S. Bayó, Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Director

FROM:

Melinda H. Watts, Engineer III, Division of Competitive Markets & Enforcement (

RE:

Docket No. 040196-TI - Compliance investigation of Globcom, Inc. for apparent

violations of Sections 364.02(13) and 364.336, Florida Statutes.

Please add this document to the Docket file for Docket No. 040196-TI.

-

-

STATE OF FLORIDA

COMMISSIONERS: LILA A. JABER, CHAIRMAN J. TERRY DEASON BRAULIO L. BAEZ RUDOLPH "RUDY" BRADLEY CHARLES M. DAVIDSON



DIVISION OF COMPETITIVE MARKETS & ENFORCEMENT
WALTER D'HAESELEER
DIRECTOR
(850) 413-6600

Hublic Service Commission

March 20, 2003

CERTIFIED

Mr. Glenn Kofman Globcom, Inc. 2100 Sanders Road, Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062-6140

Dear Mr. Kofman:

On October 11, 2002, Commission staff sent Globcom, Inc. (Globcom) a letter stating that Globcom appeared to be overcharging its Florida customers for intrastate calls by charging a higher per unit price than is stated in its tariff and by rounding up to more than the next increment. Staff asked that Globcom investigate this matter and provide detailed information in its response. In its October 28, 2002, response, Globcom did not provide the information requested in staff's October 11, 2002, letter.

Additionally, staff requested that the Commission's Division of Auditing and Safety perform an audit of Globcom's billing records. A copy of the Audit Report, Audit Control No. 02-311-1-1, was sent to Globcom on February 24, 2003. An additional copy is enclosed with this letter.

It appears that errors in Globcom's billing system may have caused Globcom to charge its Florida customers higher rates than those contained in its tariff for intrastate calls, in apparent violation of Rule 25-24.485(1)(i), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). Please investigate this matter and provide all of the information requested below by April 9, 2003:

- 1. The number of customers affected.
- 2. Total amount of overcharges caused by:
 - a. Per minute rate erroneously charged;
 - b. Rounding errors; and
 - c. Call timing errors.
- 3. The proposed method of Refund.
- 4. The proposed date (month) in which the Refund will occur.

Mr. Glenn Kofman Page 2 March 20, 2003

- 5. Updates to the tariff which reflect the current rates and increments used for billing.
- 6. Total number of minutes of intrastate toll billed to Florida customers.
- 7. The time frame (start and stop dates) Florida consumers were overcharged.

Upon receipt of Globcom's r, staff will open a docket to address the refund. Globcom should not issue any refunds prior to the Commission's approval of the refund method and amount. Globcom's failure to respond to this request could lead to further punitive action.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (850) 413-6952.

Sincerely,

Melinda Watts

Bureau of Service Quality

Melinds Watts

Enclosure



FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DIVISION OF AUDITING AND SAFETY BUREAU OF AUDITING

Tallahassee District Office

GLOBCOM, INC. (TJ529)

REVIEW OF BILLING RECORDS AUDIT

SEPTEMBER 2002

UNDOCKETED

AUDIT CONTROL NO. 02-311-1-1

Michael Buckley, Audit Manager

Sue Jackson, Computer Audit Analyst

Lynh M. Deamer, Audit Supervisor

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	AU]	DITOR'S REPORT PAG	E
	PUF	RPOSE	1
	SCC	OPE LIMITATION	1
	DIS	CLAIM PUBLIC USE	1
	SUN	MMARY OF SIGNIFICANT PROCEDURES	2
II.	EXC	CEPTIONS	
	1.	Overcharging Intrastate Calls	3
	2.	Noncompliance with Rule 25-4.019(1) Florida Administrative Code	4

DIVISION OF AUDITING AND SAFETY AUDITOR'S REPORT

February 18, 2003

TO: FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

We have applied the procedures described later in this report to audit the Billing Records for September 2002, of GlobCom, Inc. (A certificated interexchange company in the state of Florida).

This is an internal accounting report prepared after performing a limited scope audit.

Accordingly, this report should not be relied upon for any purpose except to assist the Commission staff in the performance of their duties. Substantial additional work would have to be performed to satisfy generally accepted auditing standards and produce audited financial statements for public use.

SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT PROCEDURES

Our audit was performed by examining certain transactions and account balances which we believe are sufficient to base our opinion. Our examination did not entail a complete review of all financial transactions of the utility. Our more important audit procedures are summarized below. The following definitions apply when used in this report:

Scanned - The documents or accounts were read quickly looking for obvious errors.

Verify - The item was tested for accuracy, and substantiating documentation was examined.

Obtained computerized billing data from GlobCom, Inc. for September 2002.

Separated Florida intrastate toll calls from data.

Calculated Billing Duration from Call Duration.

Recomputed the total number of minutes and seconds of intrastate toll billed to Florida customers.

Calculated the number of customers affected.

Recalculated charges for all calls for September using rates from Tariff.

Verified twelve intrastate calls to actual bills.

Summarized findings to identify amount of over billing for September 2002.

EXCEPTIONS

Exception No. 1

Subject: Overcharging Intrastate Calls

Statement of Fact: All Florida intrastate billings were recalculated using the tariff on file at the Commission. 56 customers made 759 intrastate telephone calls in September 2002. The total number of minutes charged was 2,953. GlobCom overcharged on all calls. It charged \$0.12 per minute and rounded to the next minute. The tariff filed on August 8, 2001 authorizes calls to be charged at a rate of \$0.0286 for the first 18 seconds and \$0.0095 for every 6 seconds after that. This equates to \$0.0951 for the first minute and \$0.095 for every subsequent minute. The tariff requires that calls are rounded up to the nearest multiple of 6 seconds. Total overcharge for September 2002 was \$132.14.

Recommendation: The Company should change its computerized billing to agree with the tariff rates. The Company should also give refunds to all overcharged customers.

Exception No. 2

Subject: Noncompliance with Rule 25-4.019(1) Florida Administrative Code

Statement of Fact: Rule 25-4.019(1), Florida Administrative Code states, "Each utility shall furnish to the Commission at such times and in such form as the Commission may require the results of any required tests and summaries of any required records."

On November 26, 2002, audit staff requested a Company representative provide the name, position, and telephone number of a knowledgeable data processing person at GlobCom, Inc. with whom any EDP related questions or problems could be discussed. The Company representative referred us to the CEO of GlobCom, Inc.

On January 17, 2003, audit staff requested in writing specific information regarding the call detail records. Specifically, we requested:

- ♦ Identification of the field indicating the date and time each call terminates.
- ♦ The data field position for the above field.
- ♦ Calculation methodology for "Call Duration" Field.
- ♦ Explanation of a sentence "The Conversation time (T7-T6) rounded to Rating element increments." contained on the Master Record Layout, a document provided by the Company.

The CEO told the audit staff to call WilTel Communications with questions concerning specific character data requests. WilTel stated it did not have access to any identification process that GlobCom would use in identifying the data used for monthly billing to customers.

The Company's inability to provide information regarding its own billing data resulted in delays for the audit and an assumption that the billable duration field was calculated by the Company correctly.

Recommendation: Our experience is that a company does not provide information in an audit for two primary reasons. The two primary reasons are poor management or intentional refusal to comply with the audit request. The Company's management should improve its management of information systems to avoid the appearance of refusing to respond to requests.

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Mr. Glenn Kofman Globcom, Inc.	A. Received by (Please Print Clearly) N. Calabyese C. Signature X. Y. Calabyese Addresses D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes If YES, enter delivery address below:
Northbrook, IL	☐ Certified Mail ☐ Express Mail ☐ Registered ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandis ☐ Insured Mail ☐ C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) ☐ Yes

•

·

.

•

•

.