LAW OFFICES

## Messer, Caparello & Self

A Professional Association

Post Office Box 1876 Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1876 Internet: www.lawfla.com

April 15, 2004

### BY HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Blanca Bayó, Director Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Room 110, Easley Building Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 040130-TP

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of KMC Telecom III, LLC, KMC Telecom V, Inc., NewSouth Communications, Corp., NuVox Communications, Inc., and Xspedius Communications, Inc. is an original and 15 copies of an Updated Issues Matrix in the above referenced docket.

Please acknowledge receipt of these documents by stamping the extra copy of this letter "filed" and returning the same to me.

Thank you for your assistance with this filing.

Sincerely yours,

Norman H. Horton, Jr.

NHH/amb Enclosures

cc: Parties of Record

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

04566 APR 15 3

## **Updated 4/15/2004**

# KMC / NEWSOUTH / NUVOX / XSPEDIUS - BELLSOUTH ARBITRATION JOINT PETITIONERS ISSUES/OPEN ITEMS MATRIX

# Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 040130-TP

Future amendments incorporating Commission-approved rates should be effective ten (10) calendar days after the date of the last signature executing the amendment.	The Parties have not discussed the definition for "End User" other than in the context of high-capacity EELs. Since the issue as stated by the CLECs and raised in the General Terms and Conditions of the Agreement has never been discussed by the Parties, the issue is not appropriate for arbitration. The term End User should be defined as it is customarily used in the industry; that is, the ultimate user of the telecommunications service.	NO. The Parties have negotiated specific provisions in Attachments 3 and 7 addressing responsibility for billing records deficiencies. Therefore, this additional provision is unnecessary.
Future amendments incorporating Commission-approved rates should be effective as of the effective date of the Commission order, if an amendment is requested within 30 calendar days of that date. Otherwise, such amendments should be effective 10 calendar days after request.	The term "End User" should be defined as "the customer of a Party".	YES, BellSouth should be financially liable for causing, failing to prevent, or contributing to unbillable or uncollectible CLEC revenue. A general provision complements the specific provisions contained in Attachments 3 and 7.
UNRESOLVED ISSUE  What should be the effective date of future rate impacting amendments?	CLEC Issue Statement: How should "End User" be defined?  BellSouth Issue Statement: How should "End User" be defined for purposes of attachment 2 of this Agreement?	Should the agreement contain a general provision providing that BellSouth shall take financial responsibility for its own actions in causing, or
5 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	1.7	10.2
ITEM ISSU No. #	2 G-2	3 G-3

ITEM.	ISSUE.	<b>\$</b>	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BEILSOUTH POSITION
			contributing to unbillable		
			or uncollectible CLEC revenue in addition to		
			specific provisions set forth in Attachments 3 and 7?		
4	G-4	10.4.1	What should be the limitation on each Party's	In cases other than gross negligence and willful misconduct by the other party, or	The industry standard limitation of liability should apply, which limits the
			liability in circumstances	other specified exemptions as set forth in	liability of the provisioning party to a
			other than gross	CLECs' proposed language, liability should	credit for the actual cost of the services
			negligence or willful	be limited to an aggregate amount over the	or functions not performed or
			misconduct?	entire term equal to 7.5% of the aggregate	improperly performed.
				fees, charges or other amounts paid or payable for any and all services provided or	
				to be provided pursuant to the Agreement as	
				of the day immediately preceding the date	
				of assertion or filing of the applicable claim	
				or suit. CLECs' proposal represents a	}
				hybrid between limitation of liability	·
				provisions typically found in commercial	
				contracts between sophisticated buyers and	
}				sellers, in the absence of overwhelming	
				market dominance by one party, and the	
				effective elimination of liability provision	
				proposed by BellSouth.	
5	G-5	10.4.2	CLEC Issue Statement:	NO, BellSouth should not be able to dictate	If a CLEC elects not to limit its liability
			Should each Party be	the terms of service between CLEC and its	to its end users/customers in accordance
			required to include specific	End Users by, among other things, holding	with industry norms, the CLEC should
			liability-eliminating terms	CLEC liable for failing to mirror	bear the risk of loss arising from that
			in all of its tariffs and End	BellSouth's limitation of liability and	business decision.
			User contracts (past,	indemnification provisions in CLEC's End	

ITEM	Issue	\$	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	- CLEC Position	BELLSOUTH POSITION
, day ( 2, 2 ) )			present and future), and, to	User tariffs and/or contracts. To the extent	
	ļ		the extent that a Party does	that a Party does not, or is unable to, include	
			not or is unable to do so,	specific elimination-of-liability terms in all	
			should it be obligated to	of its tariffs and End User contracts (past,	
			indemnify the other Party	present and future), and provided that the	!
			for liabilities not	non-inclusion of such terms is commercially	
	ĺ		eliminated?	reasonable, in the particular circumstances,	
				that Party should not be required to	<b>,</b>
			BellSouth Issue	indemnify and reimburse the other Party for	
			Statement: If the CLEC	that portion of the loss that would have been	
			elects not to place in its	limited had the first Party included in its	1
			contracts with end users	tariffs and contracts the elimination-of-	
			and/or tariffs standard	liability terms that such other Party was	i
			industry limitations of	successful in including in its tariffs at the	
			liability, who should bear	time of such loss.	
			the risks that result from		
			this business decision?	[Revised 4/1/04]	
6	G-6	10.4.4	CLEC Issue Statement:	NO, The limitation of liability terms in the	What damages constitute indirect,
			Should limitation on	Agreement should not preclude damages	incidental or consequential damages is a
			liability for indirect,	that CLECs' End Users incur as a	matter of state law at the time of the
			incidental or consequential	foreseeable result of BellSouth's	claim and should not be dictated by a
			damages be construed to	performance of its obligations, including its	party to an agreement.
			preclude liability for	provisioning of UNEs and other services.	
			claims or suits for damages	Damages to End Users that result directly,	
			incurred by CLEC's (or	proximately, and in a reasonably	
			BellSouth's) End Users to	foreseeable manner from BellSouth's (or	
			the extent such damages	CLEC's) performance of obligations set	
			result directly and in a	forth in the Agreement that were not	
			reasonably foreseeable	otherwise caused by or are the result of	
			manner from BellSouth's	BellSouth's failure to act at all relevant	
			(or CLEC's) performance	times in a commercially reasonable manner	
			obligations set forth in the	in compliance with such Party's duties of	

ITEM:	Issue (	S	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC Position =	BELLSOUTH POSITION
		3. 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Agreement?  BellSouth Issue Statement: How should	mitigation with respect to such damage should be considered direct and compensable under the Agreement for	
			indirect, incidental or	simple negligence or nonperformance purposes.	
			consequential damages be		
			defined for purposes of the Agreement?	[Revised 4/1/04]	ı,
7	G-7	10.5	What should the indemnification obligations of the parties be under this Agreement?	The Party providing service under the Agreement should be indemnified, defended and held harmless by the Party receiving services against any claim for libel, slander or invasion of privacy arising from the content of the receiving Party's own communications. Additionally, customary provisions should be included to specify that the Party receiving services under the Agreement should be indemnified, defended and held harmless by the provider Party against any claims, loss or damage to the extent reasonably arising from: (1) the providing Party's failure to abide by Applicable Law, or (2) injuries or damages arising out of or in connection with this Agreement to the extent cased by the provider Party's negligence, gross negligence or willful misconduct.	The Party receiving services should indemnify the party providing services from (1) any claim loss or damages from claims for libel, slander or invasion of privacy arising from the content of the receiving party's own communications, or (2) any claim, loss or damage claimed by the end user of the Party receiving services arising out of the Agreement.
8	G-8	11.1	What language should be	Given the complexity of and variability in	Except for factual references to the
			included in the Agreement	intellectual property law, this nine-state	BellSouth name as necessary to respond
			regarding a Party's use of	Agreement should simply state that no	to direct inquiries from customers or

ITEM ISSUE	<b>\$</b>	the other Party's name, service marks, logo and trademarks?	patent, copyright, trademark or other proprietary right is licensed, granted or otherwise transferred by the Agreement and that a Party's use of the other Party's name,	potential customers regarding the source of the underlying services or the identity of repair technicians, CLECs should not be entitled to use BellSouth's name,
: . 			service mark and trademark should be in accordance with Applicable Law. The Commission should not attempt to prejudge intellectual property law issues, which at BellSouth's insistence, the Parties have agreed are best left to adjudication by courts of law (see, GTC, Sec. 11.5).	service mark, logo or trademark.
9 G-9	13.1	CLEC Issue Statement: Should a court of law be included among the venues at which a Party may seek dispute resolution under the Agreement?  BellSouth Issue Statement: Should a party be allowed to take a dispute concerning the interpretation or implementation of any provision of the agreement to a Court of law for resolution without first exhausting its administrative remedies?	YES, either Party should be able to petition the Commission, the FCC or a court of law for resolution of a dispute. Given the difficulties experienced in achieving efficient regional dispute resolution, and the ongoing debate as to whether state commissions have jurisdiction to enforce agreements (CLECs do not dispute that Commission) and as to whether the FCC will engage in such enforcement (or not), no legitimate dispute resolution venue should be foreclosed. There is no question that courts of law have jurisdiction to entertain such disputes (see GTC, Sec. 11.5); indeed, in certain instances, they may be better equipped to adjudicate a dispute and may provide a more efficient alternative to litigating in up to 9 different jurisdictions or to waiting for the FCC to decide whether it will or won't accept an enforcement role given the particular facts.	This Commission or the FCC should resolve disputes as to the interpretation of the Agreement or as to the proper implementation of the Agreement. A party should be entitled to seek judicial review of any ruling made by the Commission or the FCC concerning this Agreement, but should not be entitled to take such disputes to a Court of law without first exhausting its administrative remedies.

ITEM.	ISSUE #	<b>§</b>	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
10	G-10	17.4	This issue has been resolved.		
11	G-11	19, 19.1	This issue has been resolved.		
12	G-12	32.2	Should the Agreement explicitly state that all existing state and federal laws, rules, regulations, and decisions apply unless otherwise specifically agreed to by the Parties?	YES, nothing in the Agreement should be construed to limit a Party's rights or exempt a Party from obligations under Applicable Law, as defined in the Agreement, except in such cases where the Parties have explicitly agreed to a limitation or exemption. This is a basic legal tenet and is consistent with both federal and Georgia law (agreed to by the parties), and it should be explicitly stated in the Agreement in order to avoid unnecessary disputes and litigation that has plagued the Parties in the past.	No. This Agreement constitutes the contractual obligations of the Parties to each other and should not be subject to further negotiation subsequent to being fully negotiated and arbitrated.
13	G-13	32.3	How should the Parties deal with non-negotiated deviations from the state Commission- approved rates in the rate sheets attached to the Agreement?	Any non-negotiated deviations from ordered rates should be corrected by retroactive true-up to the effective date of the Agreement within 30 calendar days of the date the error was identified by either Party.	Any non-negotiated deviations from ordered rates should be changed by amendment of the agreement upon discovery by a party and should be applied prospectively regardless of whether the rate increases or decreases as a result of such amendment.
14	G-14	34.2	Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to performance of its obligations under the Agreement, that the other Party adhere to any requirement other than those expressly stipulated	NO, the Parties should not be permitted to hold performance hostage to terms not included in the Agreement and not mandated by Applicable Law. More specifically, neither Party should, as a condition or prerequisite to such Party's performance of its obligations under the Agreement, impose or insist upon the other	YES. The Parties are free to negotiate with each other as they may with third parties. Neither Party should use this agreement to interfere with a third party's contractual rights and obligations.

ITEM	ISSUE	2246	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELESOUTHPOSITION
No.	#37	3	O'INESULY ED 15SUL		DEEESOUTHA GOHION
1 54 - 6 - 5 - 5		The state of the s	in the Agreement or	Party's (or any of its End Users') adherence	
			mandated by Applicable	to any requirement or obligation other than	
			Law?	as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or	
				as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.	
15	G-15	45.2	If BellSouth changes a	NO, if the contemplated change to one or	YES. BellSouth's Guides apply to all
			provision of one or more of	more of BellSouth's Guides would cause	CLEC's equally. If BellSouth allows a
			its Guides that would cause	CLEC to incur a material cost or expense to	CLEC the right to opt out of the
			CLEC to incur a material	implement the change, BellSouth and CLEC	requirements of a Guide, the CLEC
			cost or expense to	should negotiate an amendment to the	should notify BellSouth of its decision
			implement the change,	Agreement to incorporate such change.	to do so.
			should the CLEC notify		
			BellSouth, in writing, if it		
			does not agree to the		
			change?		
16	G-16	45.3	CLEC Issue Statement:	NO, unreasonable and/or discriminatory	If a service is purchased pursuant to a
		l l	Should the obligations set	revisions to BellSouth's tariffs should not	tariff that is referenced in the
: !		!	forth in the Agreement be	affect the obligations set forth in the	Agreement, the terms of that tariff at the
		!	impacted by unreasonable	Agreement. Specifically, to the extent that	time of the purchase should apply. This
			and/or discriminatory	tariff changes are inconsistent with the	Commission already has procedures in
			revisions to BellSouth	provisions of the Agreement, or are	place pursuant to which BellSouth may
			tariffs?	unreasonable or discriminatory, they should not supersede the Agreement. Such	revise its tariffs, and pursuant to which a CLEC, or any other party, may object to
			BellSouth Issue	changes may only become part of the	such revisions. There should be no
			Statement: If a tariff is	Agreement by written amendment	require-ment that tariff revisions that
	ĺ		referenced in the	negotiated and/or arbitrated by the Parties.	occur after the Agreement becomes
			Agreement, what effect		effective be incorporated into the
			should subsequent changes		Agreement by amendment.
			to the tariff have on the		
			Agreement?		
				RESALE (ATTACHMENT 1)	
17	1-1	3.19	This issue has been		

ITEM No.	ISSUE /	S	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
		1.00	resolved	1	Active the property of the second sec
18	1-2	11.6.6	This issue has been		
	L		resolved.		<u> </u>
. 10		1 1	·	ORK ELEMENTS (ATTACHMENT 2)	1
19	2-1	1.1	This Issue has been resolved.		
20	2-2	1.2	This Issue has been		
20	2-2	1.2	resolved.		
$\overline{21}$	2-3	1.4.2	This issue has been		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
			resolved.		
22	2-4	1.4.3	(A) Should CLEC be required to submit a BFR/NBR to convert a UNE or Combination (or part thereof) to other services or tariffed BellSouth access services?  (B) In the event of such conversion, what rates should apply?	(A) NO, CLEC should not be required to submit a BFR/NBR to convert a UNE or Combination (or part thereof) to Other Services or tariffed BellSouth access services. Rather, the CLECs should be allowed to submit an LSR or ASR, as appropriate.  (B) For a conversion of a UNE or Combination (or part thereof) to Other Services or tariffed BellSouth access services, the non-recurring charges should be as set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 2 or the relevant tariff, as appropriate. In addition, such charges should be commensurate with the work required to effectuate the conversion (cross connect only, billing change/records update only, etc.).	<ul> <li>(A) No. A CLEC should be allowed to submit a spreadsheet consisting of information that identifies the requested circuits to be converted from a UNE or a UNE combination to a wholesale tariffed service. BellSouth should accept a spreadsheet (and a commingling ordered document that indicates which part is to be filled as a UNE, if applicable) and convert the transport from a UNE or UNE combination to wholesale tariffed services in total or in part.</li> <li>(B) There should be no charge for the conversion itself, but other applicable charges should apply.</li> </ul>
				[Revised 4/1/04]	

ITEM	Issue #	S	UNRESOLVEDISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELESOUTH POSITION .
23	2-5	1.5	(A) In the event UNEs or Combinations are no longer offered pursuant to, or are not in compliance with, the terms set forth in this Agreement, which Party should bear the obligation of identifying those service arrangements?  (B) What recourse may BellSouth take if CLEC does not submit a rearrange or disconnect order within 30 days?  (C) What rates, terms and	(A) In the event UNEs or Combinations are no longer offered pursuant to, or are not in compliance with, the terms set forth in the Agreement, it should be BellSouth's obligation to identify the specific service arrangements that it insists be transitioned to other services pursuant to Attachment 2.  (B) If CLEC does not submit a rearrange or disconnect order within 30 days, BellSouth may disconnect such arrangements or services without further notice, provided that CLEC has not notified BellSouth of a dispute regarding the identification of specific service arrangements as being no longer offered pursuant to, or are not in compliance with, the terms set forth in the Agreement.	<ul> <li>(A) In the even UNEs or Combinations are no longer offered pursuant to, or are not in compliance with, the terms set forth in the Agreement, it should be CLEC's obligation to identify the specific service arrangements that must be transitioned to other services pursuant to Attachment 2. CLEC should be responsible for ensuring it is not violating the agreement.</li> <li>(B) If orders to rearrange or disconnect those arrangements or services are not received by the thirty-first (31<sup>st</sup>) calendar day after the Effective Date of this Agreement, BellSouth may disconnect those arrangements or services without further notice.</li> </ul>
			conditions should apply in the event of a termination, re-termination, or physical rearrangements of circuits?	(C) For arrangements that require a retermination or other physical rearrangement of circuits to comply with the terms of the Agreement, non-recurring charges for the applicable UNE or cross connect from Exhibit A of Attachment 2 should apply. Disconnect charges should not apply to services that are being physically rearranged or re-terminated.	(C) For arrangements that require a retermination or other physical rearrangement of circuits to comply with the terms of this Agreement, nonrecurring charges for the applicable UNE(s) from Exhibit A of this Attachment will apply. To the extent retermination or other physical rearrangement is required in order to comply with a tariff or separate agreement, the applicable rates, terms and conditions of such tariff or separate agreement shall apply. Applicable

ITEM NO.	Issue #	<b>\$</b>	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	disconnect charges will apply to a UNE/Combination that is rearranged or disconnected.
24	2-6	1.5.1	This issue has been resolved.		
25	2-7	1.6.1	What rates, terms and conditions should apply for Routine Network Modifications pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 51.319(a)(8) and (e)(5)?	If BellSouth has anticipated such Routine Network Modifications and performs them during normal operations, then BellSouth should perform such Routine Network Modifications at no additional charge and within its standard provisioning intervals. If BellSouth has not anticipated a requested or necessary network modification as being a Routine Network Modification and, as such, has not recovered the costs of such Routine Network Modifications in the rates set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 2, then BellSouth should notify CLEC of the required Routine Network Modification and should request that CLEC submit a Service Inquiry to have the work performed. Each unique request should be handled as a project on an individual case basis. BellSouth should provide a TELRIC-compliant price quote for the request, and upon receipt of a firm order from CLEC, BellSouth should perform the Routine Network Modification within a reasonable and nondiscriminatory interval.	BellSouth will perform Routine Network Modifications in accordance with FCC 47 C.F.R. 51.319(a)(8) and (e)(5). Except to the extent expressly provided otherwise in Attachment 2, if BellSouth has anticipated such Routine Network Modifications and performs them during normal operations and has recovered the costs for performing such modifications through the rates set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 2, then BellSouth shall perform such Routine Network Modifications at no additional charge. Routine Network Modifications shall be performed within the intervals established for the UNE and subject to the performance measurements and associated remedies set forth in Attachment 9 to the extent such Routine Network Modifications were anticipated in the setting of such intervals. If BellSouth has not anticipated a requested network modification as being a Routine Network Modifications in the

ITEM.	Tel Television	\$	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BEELSOUTH POSITION
				The Control of the Co	Routine Network Modifications in the rates set forth in Exhibit A of this Attachment, then CLEC must submit a service inquiry (SI) to have the work performed. Each request will be handled as a project on an individual case basis. BellSouth will provide a price quote for the request, and upon receipt of payment from CLEC, BellSouth shall perform the Routine Network Modification.
26	2-8	1.7	Should BellSouth be required to commingle UNEs or Combinations with any service, network element or other offering that it is obligated to make available pursuant to Section 271 of the Act?	YES, BellSouth should be required to "commingle" UNEs or Combinations with any service, network element, or other offering that it is obligated to make available pursuant to Section 271 of the Act.  [Revised 4/1/04]	No, consistent with the FCC's errata to the Triennial Review Order, there is no requirement to commingle UNEs or combinations with services, network elements or other offerings under Section 271 of the Act.
27	2-9	1.8.3	When multiplexing equipment is attached to a commingled circuit, should the multiplexing equipment be billed per the jurisdictional authorization (Agreement or tariff) of the lower or higher bandwidth service?	When multiplexing equipment is attached to a commingled circuit, the multiplexing equipment should be billed from the same jurisdictional authorization (Agreement or tariff) as the lower bandwidth service. If the commingled circuit involves multiple segments at the same bandwidth, the multiplexing should be billed from the jurisdiction of the loop.  [Revised 4/1/04]	When multiplexing equipment is attached to a commingled circuit, the multiplexing equipment should be billed from the same jurisdictional authorization (Agreement or tariff) as the higher bandwidth service. The central office Channel Interface should be billed from the same jurisdictional authorization as the lower-level jurisdiction.
28	2-10	1.9.4	Should the recurring charges for UNEs.	YES, the recurring charges for UNEs, Combinations. and Other Services should be	No, the recurring charges for UNEs, Combinations. and Other Services

ITEM No.	ISSUE #	S	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLECTOSITION	BELESOUTH POSITION
			Combinations and Other Services be prorated based upon the number of days that the UNEs are in	prorated based upon the number of days that the UNEs, Combinations, and Other Services are in service.	should be prorated based upon the number of days that the UNEs, Combinations, and Other Services are in service after a minimum period of
29	2-11	2.1.1	service?  This issue has been resolved.		service has expired.
30	2-12	2.1.1.1	Should the Agreement include a provision declaring that facilities that terminate to another carrier's switch or premises, a cell cite, Mobile Switching Center or base station do not constitute loops?	NO, the Agreement should not include a provision declaring that facilities that terminate to another carrier's switch or premises, a cell site, Mobile Switching Center, or base station do not constitute loops. Such a provision would be inconsistent with the FCC's Triennial Review Order.	Yes. By the FCC's definition, a loop terminates at the End User's customer premises, not a cell site, carrier's switch/premises, mobile switching center or base station.
31	2-13	2.1.1.2	CLEC Issue Statement: Should the Agreement require CLEC to purchase the entire bandwidth of a Loop, even in cases where such purchase is not required by Applicable Law?  BellSouth Issue Statement: Should BellSouth be required to unbundled the low frequency portion of the loop?	NO, CLEC should not be required to purchase the entire bandwidth of a Loop in cases where Applicable Law permits line sharing, line splitting or the ability of a customer to retain BellSouth xDSL-based services while purchasing voice serves from a CLEC using a UNE loop.  [Revised 4/1/04]	Yes. CLEC should be required to purchase the entire bandwidth of a Loop. In paragraph 270 of the TRO, the FCC specifically denied an effort to separate the bandwidth into upper and lower bands. Moreover, this issue is not appropriate for arbitration in this proceeding because it involves a request by the CLECs that is not encompassed within BellSouth's obligations pursuant to Section 251 of the Act.

ITEM.	ISSUE	· S	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC Position	BELLSOUTH POSITION *
32 33	2-14 2-15	2.1.2, 2.1.2.1, 2.1.2.2 2.2.3	This issue has been resolved.  Is unbundling relief provided under FCC Rule 319(a)(3) applicable to Fiber-to-the-Home Loops deployed prior to October	NO, the unbundling relief provided under FCC Rule 319(a)(3) is only applicable to Fiber-to-the-Home Loops deployed on or after October 2, 2003 (the effective date of the FCC's Triennial Review Order).	Yes, the FCC found that for Fiber-to-the-Home (FTTH) there is no impairment on a national basis and did not make this decision contingent upon a deployment date.
34	2-16	2.3.3	2, 2003?  This Issue has been	the ree s mountaines review eraci).	
35	2-17	2.4.3, 2.4.4	resolved.  (A) What rates should apply to testing and dispatch performed by BellSouth in response to a CLEC trouble report when no trouble is ultimately found to exist?  (B) What rate should apply when BellSouth is required to dispatch to an end user	(A) TELRIC-compliant rates to be approved by the Commission and incorporated in Exhibit A of Attachment 2 should apply to testing and dispatch performed by BellSouth in response to a CLEC trouble report and in order to confirm the working status of a UNE Loop regardless of whether the testing ultimately reveals a trouble on the Loop.	<ul><li>(A) The trouble determination charge from the applicable tariff should apply.</li><li>(B) The trouble determination charge from the applicable tariff should apply.</li></ul>
			location more than once due to incorrect or incomplete information?  [Issue restated by agreement of the Parties. 3/8/04]	(B) TELRIC-compliant rates to be approved by the Commission and incorporated in Exhibit A of Attachment 2 should apply to testing and dispatch performed by BellSouth in response to a CLEC trouble report and in order to confirm the working status of a UNE Loop.	
36	2-18	2.12.1	(A) How should line	[Revised 4/1/04] (A) Line Conditioning should be defined in	(A) Line Conditioning is defined as

Treat	ISSUE		Unresolved Issue	CLEC-POSITION	BELLISOUTH POSITION
No.	##	9 .	UNKESULVEDISSUE	CLEC TOSITION	
			conditioning be defined in	the Agreement as set forth in FCC Rule 47	routine network modification that
			the Agreement?	CFR 51.319 (a)(1)(iii)(A).	BellSouth regularly undertakes to
					provide xDSL services to its own
			(B) What should	(B) BellSouth should perform line	customers.
			BellSouth's obligations be	conditioning in accordance with FCC Rule	(D) D 11C 41 - 1 11 C 1'
			with respect to line	47 C.F.R. 51.319(a)(1)(iii).	(B) BellSouth should perform line conditioning functions as defined in 47
			conditioning?		C.F.R. 51.319(a)(1)(iii) to the extent the
I					function is a routine network
					modification that BellSouth regularly
İ					undertakes to provide xDSL to its own
					customers.
37	2-19	2.12.2	CLEC Issue Statement:	$\overline{N}O$ , the agreement should not contain	Yes, current industry technical standards
			Should the Agreement	specific provisions limiting the availability	require the placement of load coils on
			contain specific provisions	of Line Conditioning to copper loops of	copper loops greater than 18,000 feet in length to support voice service and
		1	limiting the availability of Line Conditioning to	18,000 feet or less in length.	BellSouth does not remove them for
			copper loops of 18,000 feet		BellSouth retail end users on copper
			or less?		loops of over 18,000 feet in length;
		=			therefore, such a modification would not
			BellSouth Issue		constitute a routine network
			Statement: Should the		modification and is not required by the
ļ			Agreement contain specific		FCC.
			provisions limiting the		
			availability of load coil		
			removal to copper loops of 18,000 feet or less?		
38	2-20	2.12.3,	Under what rates, terms	Any copper loop being ordered by CLEC	For any copper loop being ordered by
		2.12.4	and conditions should	which has over 6,000 feet of combined	CLEC which has over 6,000 feet of
			BellSouth be required to	bridged tap will be modified, upon request	combined bridged tap will be modified,
			perform Line Conditioning	from CLEC, so that the loop will have a	upon request from CLEC, so that the

	ISSUE	8	Les Unresolved Issue	CLEC POSTTION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
39	2-21	2.12.6	CLEC Issue Statement:	maximum of 6,000 feet of bridged tap. This modification will be performed at no additional charge to CLEC. Line conditioning orders that require the removal of other bridged tap should be performed at the rates set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 2.	loop will have a maximum of 6,000 feet of bridged tap. This modification will be performed at no additional charge to CLEC. Line conditioning orders that require the removal of bridged tap that serves no network design purpose on a copper loop that will result in a combined level of bridged tap between 2,500 and 6,000 feet will be performed at the rates set forth in Exhibit A of this Attachment. CLEC may request removal of any unnecessary and non-excessive bridged tap (bridged tap between 0 and 2,500 feet which serves no network design purpose), at rates pursuant to BellSouth's Special Construction Process contained in BellSouth's FCC No. 2 as mutually agreed to by the Parties. BellSouth is only required to perform line conditioning that it performs for its own xDSL customers and is not required to create a superior network for CLECs. Moreover, this issue is not appropriate for arbitration in this proceeding because it involves a request by the CLECs that is not encompassed within BellSouth's obligations pursuant to Section 251 of the Act.  (A) No, modification of a Loop in such
] 39	<b>∠</b> -∠1	£.12.U	(A) Should the Agreement	requesting Line Conditioning that would	a way that it no longer meets the
			contain a provision barring	result in the modification of a Loop in such	technical parameters of the original

15 Updated 4/15/2004

ITEM No.	24 (M. 2011)	8	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
1.2 ( 0 4. )	in the second	appare and a second a second and a second an	Line Conditioning that	a way that it no longer meets the technical	Loop is against industry technical
			would result in the	parameters of the original Loop.	standards and since BellSouth would not
			modification of a Loop in such a way that it no	(B) YES, the resulting modified Loop	do this for BellSouth retail End Users this Line Conditioning would not fit the
			longer meets technical	should be maintained as a non-service-	FCC's definition described in paragraph
			parameters of the original	specific Unbundled Copper Loop.	643 of the TRO. BellSouth is only
	1		Loop?	1	required to perform line conditioning
			(D) 10	!	that it performs for its own xDSL
			(B) If not, should the resulting modified Loop be		customers and is not required to create a superior network for CLECs.
			maintained as a non-		superior network for CEECS.
			service -specific		(B) Not applicable as modification of
			Unbundled Copper Loop?	· I	the Loop to this extent does not meet the
:			BellSouth Issue		FCC's definition of Line Conditioning.  Moreover, this issue is not appropriate
			Statement:		for arbitration in this proceeding
			(A) Should BellSouth be		because it involves a request by the
			required to modify a loop		CLECs that is not encompassed within
			in such a way that it no		BellSouth's obligations pursuant to Section 251 of the Act.
			longer meets the technical parameters of the original		Section 251 of the Act.
			Loop?		
			(B) If so, should the		
			resulting modified Loop be maintained as a non-		
			service -specific		
			Unbundled Copper Loop?		
40	2-22	2.14.3.1.1	Should BellSouth be	YES, the Commission should order	To the extent a State Commission has
			required to allow CLEC to	BellSouth to allow CLEC to connect its	ruled on this issue, BellSouth will, of
			connect its Loops directly to BellSouth's multi-line	Loops directly to BellSouth's multi-line residential NID terminations that currently	course, comply with that ruling. Otherwise, no, BellSouth should only be
			to Demount 3 muni-tine	residential type terminations that currently	Caret wise, no. Demodain should offly be

ITEM NO.	Issue #	8	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CCEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
の記述が	The proof of the party of the second	(2) イナー金属できる。	residential NID enclosures	have loops attached to them but that are not	required to allow CLEC to connect its
			that have inactive loops	currently used by BellSouth or any other	Loops directly to BellSouth's multi-line
			attached?	telecommunications carrier to provide	residential NID enclosures that have
	-			service to the premises.	spare terminations available.
			[Issue restated by agreement of the Parties. 3/8/04]	[Revised 4/1/04]	
41	2-23	2.16.2.3.2	Issues 41(A) and 41(b)	(C) NO, to the extent BellSouth would	(C) No. BellSouth is not obligated to
	:	2.16.2.3.3	have been resolved.	install new or additional UNTW beyond	build a network for CLECs. Moreover,
		2.16.2.3.5		existing UNTW upon request from one of	the FCC's definition of routine network
			CLEC Issue Statement (C-	its own End Users, or is otherwise required	modifications does not include the
1		[Revised	<i>E)</i> :	to do so in order to comply with FCC or	construction of a network.
		4/14/04]	(C) Should the obligation	Commission rules and orders, BellSouth	
			to provide access to UNTW	should be obligated to provide access to	(D) Yes. CLEC should ensure that the
			be limited to existing	such new or additional UNTW beyond	pair it intends to use is not active;
	:		UNTW? (2.16.2.3.2)	existing UNTW.	otherwise it will disconnect the End User's service.
			(D) Should CLECs have to	(D) CLEC should not be required to	
			agree to language that	"ensure" that a customer that has asked to	(E) No. BellSouth is installing the
			requires them to "ensure"	switch service from another carrier is no	terminal at the request of, and upon the
			that a customer that has	longer using that carrier's service on a	authorization obtained by, the CLEC.
1			asked to switch service to	particular pair. Rather, a provision	There should be no limit on BellSouth's
			CLEC is already no longer	obligating CLEC to use commercially	ability to recover the costs of removal of
:			using another carrier's	reasonable efforts to access only an	the terminal which it would otherwise
			service on that pair - or -	"available pair" should be sufficient.	be unable to recover. Alternatively,
			will language obligating		BellSouth should be entitled to bill for
			CLEC to use commercially	(E) YES, there should be a time limit on	the costs of removal upon installation of
			reasonable efforts to	reimbursement obligations. Specifically,	the terminal.
			access only an "available	CLEC should be responsible for costs	
			pair" suffice? (2.16.2.3.3)	associated with removing access terminals and restoring the property to its original	
			(E) Should a time limit be	state only when the property owner objects	
		<del> </del>	(L) snouta a time timit be	state only when the property owner objects	

ITEM ISSUE §	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC Position	BELESOUTH POSITION
	placed on a CLEC's	to and demands removal of access terminal	The state of the s
	commitment to reimburse	installations that are in progress or within	
	costs associated with	thirty (30) calendar days of completion.	
	removing access terminals		
	and restoring the property	[Revised 4/1/04]	
	to its original state (per		
	request of property		
	owner)? (2.16.2.3.5)		e <sup>c</sup>
	Ė		
	[Revised 4/1/04]		
	BellSouth Issue Statement		
	(C-E):		
	(C) Should BellSouth be		
1 1	required to install new		
	network terminating wire		
	for the use of the CLEC?		
	(2.16.2.3.2)		
	(D) Should the CLEC be		
	responsible for ensuring		
	that a customer that has		1
	asked to switch service to		
	the CLEC is no longer		İ
	obtaining BellSouth's		
	service, or another		
	carrier's service on that		
	pair?		
	(E) Should a time limit be		
	placed on the CLEC's		
	obligation to reimburse		

ITEM. No.	Issue:	S.	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
72.11			costs associated with		
			removing access terminals		
			and restoring the property		
			to its original state (upon		
			request of property		
			owner)? (2.16.2.3.7)		
42	2-24	2.17.3.5	Should BellSouth be	YES, BellSouth should be required to	Subsequent to CLEC acceptance of
			required to provide access	provide access to Dark Fiber Loops for test	Dark Fiber, BellSouth should allow the
			to Dark Fiber Loops for	access and testing at any technically feasible	CLEC access to the Dark Fiber at its end
			test access and testing at	point, the termination point within a serving	points for testing. If a Dark Fiber
			any technically feasible	wire center, and CLEC's End User's	trouble occurs thereafter, the CLEC
			point?	premises.	should report the trouble to BellSouth
İ					and BellSouth will isolate and correct
40	0.05	0.10.1.4	CI FOI	D 110 at 1 11 at 11 CIFCI and	the trouble.
43	2-25	2.18.1.4	CLEC Issue Statement:	BellSouth should provide CLEC Loop	BellSouth should provide CLEC Loop Makeup information on a facility used
į !			Under what circumstances	Makeup information on a particular loop upon request by CLEC. Such access should	or controlled by another CLEC only
I			should BellSouth provide		upon receipt of an LOA authorizing the
			CLEC Loop Makeup	not be contingent upon receipt of an LOA	release of that information from the
			information?	from a third party carrier.	CLEC using the facility.
			BellSouth Issue		CLEC using the identity.
			Statement: Under what		
		•	circumstances should		l
			BellSouth be required to		
	ĺ		provide CLEC with Loop		
			Makeup information on a		
			facility used or controlled		
			by another CLEC?		
44	2-26	3.6.5	This Issue has been		-
1			resolved.		
45	2-27	3.10.3	What should be CLEC's	If a CLEC is purchasing line splitting, and it	If CLEC is not the data provider, CLEC

Trem	ISSUE		UNRESOLVED ISSUE	GLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
No.		3	UNESCHYEDISSUE	CELOTOMOS	
	7.364	y 0 - 100 g a gar	indemnification obligations	is not the data provider, the CLEC is willing	shall indemnify, defend and hold
			under a line splitting	to indemnify, defend and hold harmless	harmless BellSouth from and against
			arrangement?	BellSouth from and against any claims,	any claims, losses, actions, causes of
				losses, actions, causes of action, suits,	action, suits, demands, damages, injury,
				demands, damages, injury, and costs	and costs including reasonable attorney
				(including reasonable attorney fees)	fees, which arise out of actions related
				reasonably arising or resulting from the	to the data provider.
				actions taken by the data provider in	-
				connection with the line splitting	
				arrangement, except to the extent caused by	
				BellSouth's negligence, gross negligence or	
1	1	ı		willful misconduct.	
				[Revised 4/1/04]	
46	2-28	3.10.4	CLEC Issue Statement:	(A) YES, in cases where CLEC purchases	This issue (including all subparts) is not
			(A) In cases where CLEC	UNEs from BellSouth, BellSouth should not	appropriate for arbitration in this
			purchases UNEs from	refuse to provide DSL transport or DSL	proceeding because it involves a request
			BellSouth, should	services (of any kind) to CLEC and its End	by the CLECs that is not encompassed
			BellSouth be required not	Users, unless BellSouth has been expressly	within BellSouth's obligations pursuant
		:	to refuse to provide DSL	permitted to do so by the Commission.	to Section 251 of the Act.
			transport or DSL services	(D) VEC where PoliCouth provides such	(A) No. BellSouth should not be
			(of any kind) to CLEC and its End Users, unless	(B) YES, where BellSouth provides such transport or services to CLEC and its End	required to provide DSL transport or
			us Ena Osers, uniess BellSouth has been	Users, BellSouth should be required to do	DSL services over UNEs to CLEC and
			expressly permitted to do	so without charge until such time as it	its End Users as BellSouth's DSLAMs
		i	so by the Commission?	produces an amendment proposal and the	are not subject to unbundling. The FCC
			so by the Commission:		specifically stated in paragraph 288 of
			(B) Where BellSouth	Parties amend this Agreement to incorporate terms that are no less favorable,	the TRO that they would "not require
ŧ	!		provides such transport or	in any respect, than the rates, terms and	incumbent LECs to provide unbundled
			services to CLEC and its	conditions pursuant to which BellSouth	access to any electronics or other
			End Users, should	provides such transport and services to any	equipment used to transmit packetized
			BellSouth be required to do	other entity.	information."
			BellSouth be reauired to do	other entity.	intormation."

ITEM No.	Issue   S	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC Position	BELLSOUTH POSITION
38F10.	Control of Section 1985 Section and a section of the section of th	so without charge until	and the state of the same and additional production of the state of the same and th	THE CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY O
		such time as it produces an		(B) BellSouth elects to offer these
	ļ	amendment proposal and		services to CLEC, they should be
		the Parties amend this		pursuant to a separately negotiated
		Agreement to incorporate		commercial agreement between the
		terms that are no less		parties or a tariff, and should not be
		favorable, in any respect,		subject to arbitration in this proceeding
		than the rates, terms and		as they are not services required
		conditions pursuant to		pursuant to Section 251 of the Act.
		which BellSouth provides		
		such transport and services		(C) No. This agreement is an agreement
		to any other entity?		pursuant to Section 251 of the Act and it
	1			is not appropriate to require services,
		BellSouth Issue		not mandated pursuant to Section 251,
		Statement:		to be included in this Agreement.
		(A) In cases where in		
		which a CLEC purchases		
		UNEs from BellSouth,		
		should BellSouth be		
		required to provide DSL		
		transport or DSL services		
		(of any kind) to CLEC and		
		its End Users?		
		(B) If so, what rates, terms		
	]	and conditions should		
		apply?		
		(C) To the extent the		
		obligation to provide DSL		
		does not arise pursuant to		
		§ 251 of the Act and		

TTEM.	ISSUE #	S.	UNRESOLVEDISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
11.11.00 e. 16.	War And The Control	te Trill in - trainingshifted.	BellSouth is willing to offer		
			these services in		
			compliance with		
			Commission requirements		
			pursuant to a separate		
			agreement or tariff, should		
			the obligations of the		
			parties be included in this		·
			agreement?		
47	2-29	4.2.2	This Issue has been		
			resolved.		
48	2-30	4.5.5	This Issue has been		
			resolved.		
49	2-31	5.2.4	Under what conditions, if	BellSouth may not deny or delay CLEC's	BellSouth should have the right to
	l ,		any, may BellSouth deny or	request for a high-capacity EEL based upon	clarify the order back to CLEC rather
		ļ	delay a CLEC request to	its own assessment of compliance with	than processing the order should the
			convert a circuit to a high	eligibility criteria. However, BellSouth	BellSouth representative identify that a
			capacity EEL?	may notify CLEC when it detects an order	service eligibility criteria has been
				that it does not believe complies with the	violated.
				eligibility criteria. CLEC will then have the	
				option of proceeding with, modifying or	
50	2-32	5.2.5.2.1,	CLEC Issue Statement:	canceling such order.  The high capacity EEL eligibility criteria	The high capacity EEL eligibility
30	2-32	5.2.5.2.1,	Should the high capacity	should be consistent with those set forth in	criteria apply only to End User circuits
		5.2.5.2.4,	EEL eligibility criteria use	the FCC's rules and should use the term	since a loop is a component of the EEL
		5.2.5.2.4,	the term "customer", as	"customer", as used in the FCC's rules. Use	and the FCC definition of a loop
		5.2.5.2.7	used in the FCC's rules, or	of the term "End User" may result in a	requires that it terminate to an "end-
		3.4.3.4.1	"End User"?	deviation from the FCC rules to which	user" customer premises.
		[Revised		CLECs are unwilling to agree.	Premius Premius
		4/14/04]	BellSouth Issue		
			Statement: Should the		

ITEM No	ISSUE #	\$	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC Position	BELLSOUTH POSITION
1,10.	EC1874-83-1	<u> </u>	service eligibility criteria	AND THE PERSON AND THE PERSON AND THE AND THE AND THE PERSON AND T	Section 19 and 1
			for high capacity EELs		
			apply only to circuits		
			provided to end users or to		
			any CLEC customer?		
51	2-33	5.2.6,	(A) How often, and under	(A) BellSouth may, no more frequently	(A) BellSouth may, on an annual basis,
		5.2.6.1,	what circumstances, should	than on an annual basis, and only based	audit in order to verify compliance with
		5.2.6.2,	BellSouth be able to audit	upon cause, conduct a limited audit of	the qualifying service eligibility criteria.
		5.2.6.2.1,	CLEC's records to verify	CLEC's records in order to verify	
		5.2.6.2.3	compliance with the high	compliance with the high capacity EEL	(B) No, a notice requirement is not
			capacity EEL service	service eligibility criteria.	required by the FCC's TRO.
			eligibility criteria?		(0) 71 (1) 1 (1)
				(B) YES, to invoke its limited right to audit	(C) The audit shall be conducted by an
			(B) Should there be a	CLEC's records in order to verify	independent auditor, and the auditor
			notice requirement for	compliance with the high capacity EEL	must perform its evaluation in accordance with the standards
			BellSouth to conduct an audit and what should the	service eligibility criteria, BellSouth should send a Notice of Audit to CLEC, identifying	established by the American Institute for
			notice include?	the particular circuits for which BellSouth	Certified Public Accountants (AICPA).
			nonce include:	alleges non-compliance and the cause upon	The auditor will perform an
			(C) Who should conduct	which BellSouth rests its allegations. The	"examination engagement" and issue an
			the audit and how should	Notice of Audit should also include all	opinion regarding CLEC's compliance
			the audit be performed?	supporting documentation upon which	with the qualifying service eligibility
			ine dadi be perjormed.	BellSouth establishes the cause that forms	criteria. The independent auditor's
				the basis of BellSouth's allegations of	report will conclude whether CLEC has
				noncompliance. Such Notice of Audit	complied in all material respects with
				should be delivered to CLEC with all	the applicable service eligibility criteria.
				supporting documentation no less than	Consistent with standard auditing
				thirty (30) days prior to the date upon which	practices, such audits require
				BellSouth seeks to commence an audit.	compliance testing designed by the
					independent auditor, which typically
				(C) The audit should be conducted by a	include an examination of a sample
				third party independent auditor mutually	selected in accordance with the

İTEM	ISSUE	\$	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION - L	BELLSOUTH POSITION
No.	對進	3			
				agreed-upon by the Parties and retained and	independent auditor's judgment.
!	l			paid for by BellSouth. The audit should	
				commence at a mutually agreeable location	
				(or locations) no sooner than thirty (30)	
i				days after the parties have reached	
			Ì	agreement on the auditor. In addition, the	
1				audit should be performed in accordance	
İ	ļ			with the standards established by the	ε.
	İ			American Institute for Certified Public	
				Accountants (AICPA) which will require	
		ľ		the auditor to perform an "examination	
				engagement" and issue an opinion regarding	
				CLEC's compliance with the high capacity	
1		1		EEL eligibility criteria. AICPA standards	
				and other requirements related to	
	]			determining the independence of an auditor	
				will govern the audit of requesting carrier	
				compliance. The concept of materiality	
i		Į		should govern this audit; the independent	
1		£-		auditor's report should conclude whether or	
ļ				the extent to which CLEC complied in all	
				material respects with the applicable service	
				eligibility criteria. Consistent with standard	
1				auditing practices, such audits should	
				require compliance testing designed by the	
				independent auditor, which typically	
				includes an examination of a sample	
				selected in accordance with the independent	
				auditor's judgment.	
				[Revised 4/1/04]	
52	2-34	5.2.6.2.3	Under what circumstances		As expressly set forth in the FCC's
52	2-34	5.2.6.2.3	Under what circumstances	[Revised 4/1/04] As expressly set forth in the FCC's	As expressly set forth in the FCC's

ITEM.		\$	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELESOUTH POSITION
		[Revised 4/1/04]	should CLEC be required to reimburse BellSouth for the cost of the independent auditor?  [Issue restated by agreement of the Parties. 3/8/04]	Triennial Review Order, in the event the auditor's report concludes that CLEC did not comply in all material respects with the service eligibility criteria, CLEC shall reimburse BellSouth for the cost of the independent auditor.	Triennial Review Order, in the event the auditor's report concludes that CLEC failed to comply in all material respects with the service eligibility criteria (meaning that CLEC must have complied with each and every one of the service eligibility criteria and actually be entitled to the EEL), CLEC shall reimburse BellSouth for the cost of the independent auditor.
53	2-35	6.1.1	This issue has been resolved.		
54	2-36	6.1.1.1	This issue has been resolved.		
55	2-37	6.4.2	What terms should govern CLEC access to test and splice Dark Fiber Transport?	CLEC should be able to splice and test Dark Fiber Transport obtained from BellSouth at any technically feasible point, using CLEC or CLEC-designated personnel. BellSouth must provide appropriate interfaces to allow splicing and testing of Dark Fiber.	BellSouth shall provide appropriate interfaces to allow testing of Dark Fiber. The FCC in its TRO has defined splicing of cable as a routine network modification that is required to be performed by BellSouth, not the CLEC. Subsequent to CLEC acceptance of Dark Fiber, BellSouth should allow the CLEC access to the Dark Fiber at its end points for testing. If a Dark Fiber trouble occurs thereafter, the CLEC should report the trouble to BellSouth and BellSouth will isolate and correct the trouble.
56	2-38	7.2,	Should BellSouth's obligation to provide signaling link transport	NO, BellSouth's Section 251(c)(2) obligation to provide signaling link transport and SS7 interconnection at	Yes. The FCC in its TRO clearly stated that this should be the case in that "competitive LECs are no longer

ITEM ISSUE	\$*****	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC Position =	BEELSOUTH POSITION
No:		and SS7 interconnection at TELRIC-based rates be limited to circumstances in which BellSouth is required to provide and is providing to CLEC unbundled access to Local	TELRIC-based rates should not be limited to circumstances in which BellSouth is required to provide and is providing to CLEC unbundled access to Local Circuit Switching.  [Revised 4/1/04]	impaired without access to the incumbent LECs' signaling network as a UNE."
57 2-39	7.4	Circuit Switching?  CLEC Issue Statement: Should the Parties be obligated to perform CNAM queries and pass such information on all calls exchanged between them, regardless of whether that would require BellSouth to query a third party database provider?  BellSouth Issue Statement: (A) Are the Parties legally obligated to perform CNAM queries and pass such information on all calls exchanged between them, including cases that would require the party providing the information to query a third party database provider?	YES, the Parties should be obligated to perform CNAM queries and pass such information on all calls exchanged between them, regardless of whether that would require BellSouth to query a third party database provider.	This issue (including all subparts) is not appropriate for arbitration in this proceeding because it involves a request by the CLECs that is not encompassed within BellSouth's obligations pursuant to Section 251 of the Act.  (A) BellSouth is only legally obligated to provide access to its CNAM database as required by the FCC. There is no legal obligation on either Party's part to query other such databases.  (B) If BellSouth elects to perform this function for the CLECs, it should be pursuant to separately negotiated rates, terms and conditions and is not appropriately raised as an issue in a Section 251 arbitration.

ITEM No.	Issue #	§ S	Unresolved Issue	CEEC POSITION	BELESOUTH POSITION
	C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C	Tarana and a same	(B) If so, which party should bear the cost?	A CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF T	The second part of the second pa
58	2-40	9.3.5	Should LIDB charges be subject to application of jurisdictional factors?	No, LIDB charges should not be subject to application of jurisdictional factors.	Yes. Access to LIDB "supports carrier provision of such services as Originating Line Number Screening, Calling Card Validation, Billing Number Screening, Calling Card Fraud and Public Telephone Check. These services are provided in conjunction with local exchange, toll and other telecommunications services."  (Footnote 1692 TRO). Only through jurisdictional factors would the proper rates be applied to the various call volumes.
59	2-41		What terms should govern BellSouth's obligation to provide access to OSS?	BellSouth must provide CLEC with nondiscriminatory access to operations support systems on an unbundled basis, in accordance with 47 CFR 51.319(g) and as set forth in Attachment 6. Operations support system ("OSS") functions consist of pre-ordering, ordering, provisioning, maintenance and repair, and billing functions supported by BellSouth's databases and information. BellSouth, as part of its duty to provide access to the pre-ordering function, must provide CLEC with nondiscriminatory access to the same detailed information about the loop that is available to BellSouth.	BellSouth must provide CLEC with nondiscriminatory access to operations support systems on an unbundled basis, in accordance with 47 CFR 51.319(g) as such obligations have been negotiated by the parties and memorialized in Attachment 6 and elsewhere in the agreement. Operations support systems ("OSS") functions consist of preordering, ordering, provisioning, maintenance and repair, and billing functions supported by BellSouth's database and information. BellSouth, as part of its duty to provide access to the pre-ordering function, must provide CLEC with non-discriminatory access to

	Issue #	<b>S</b> 1	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CEEC Position	BELLSOUTH POSITION
₹ <i>844</i> <b>0</b> • ×40	A Party				the same detailed information about the loop that is available to BellSouth.
	·		INTER	CONNECTION (ATTACHMENT 3)	
60	3-1	3.3.4 (KMC, NSC, NVX) 3.3.3 XSP)	CLEC Issue Statement: Should CLEC be permitted to connect to BellSouth's switch via a Cross Connect or any other technically feasible means of interconnection?  BellSouth Issue Statement: How should the CLEC be permitted to connect to BellSouth's switch?	YES, in the event that a Party's Point of Presence is located within any serving wire center (i.e., switch location), such Party may interconnect to the other Party's switch via a Cross Connect or any other technically feasible means of interconnection.	Yes. Pursuant to the language that the Parties have agreed to in Section 3.2 of Attachment 3, BellSouth will permit the CLEC to interconnect to BellSouth's network at any technically feasible point as defined by applicable FCC and Commission rules and orders. A Cross Connect may not always be technically feasible, such as in the instance that the CLEC's switch and the BellSouth switch are located in two different office separated by many miles.
61	3-2	9.6 (KMC), 9.6 (NSC), 9.6 (NVX, XSP)	(A) What is the definition of a global outage?  (B) Should BellSouth be required to provide upon request, for any trunk group outage that has occurred 3 or more times in a 60 day period, a written root cause analysis report?  (C)(1) What target interval should apply for the delivery of such	<ul> <li>(A) Global outages include outages that impact an entire market or all traffic between two carriers or an entire trunk group.</li> <li>(B) YES, upon request, BellSouth should provide a written root cause analysis report for all global outages, and for any trunk group outage that has occurred 3 or more times in a 60 day period.</li> <li>(C)(1) BellSouth should use best efforts to provide global outage and trunk group outage root cause analysis reports within five (5) business days of request.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>(A) BellSouth's definition of global outage is an outage consisting of an entire trunk group.</li> <li>(B) BellSouth should provide a written root cause analysis for global outages, but not for other outages.</li> <li>(C)(1) No reports should be required for outages other than global outages.</li> <li>(C)(2) The target interval for root cause analysis on global outages should be 10-30 days.</li> </ul>

ITEM.	ISSUE #	\$	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CEEC POSITION	BELESOUTH POSITION
62	3-3	10.9.5 (KMC), 10.7.4	reports?  (C) (2) What target interval should apply for reports related to global outages?  [Issue restated by agreement of the Parties.]  What provisions should apply regarding failure to provide accurate and	(C)(2) BellSouth should use best efforts to provide global outage and trunk group outage root cause analysis reports within five (5) business days of request.  [Revised 4/1/04]  In the event that either Party fails to provide accurate and detailed switched access usage data to the other Party within 90 days after	In the event that either Party was provided the accurate switched access detailed usage data in a manner that
		(NSC), 10.7.4 (NVX), 10.12.4 (XSP)	detailed usage data necessary for the billing and collection of access revenues?  [Issue restated by agreement of the Parties. 3/8/04]	the recording date and the receiving Party is unable to bill and/or collect access revenues due to the sending Party's failure to provide such data within said time period, then the Party failing to send the specified data should be liable to the other Party in an amount equal to the unbillable or uncollectible revenues  [Revised 4/1/04]	allowed that Party to generate and provide such data to the other Party in a reasonable timeframe and the other Party is unable to bill and/or collect access revenues due to the sending Party's failure to provide such data within said time period, then the sending Party shall be liable to the other Party in an amount equal to the unbillable or uncollectible revenues. Each company will provide complete documentation to the other to substantiate any claim of such unbillable or uncollectible revenues.
63	3-4	10.10.6 (KMC), 10.8.6 (NSC), 10.8.6 (NVX),	CLEC Issue Statement: Under what terms should CLEC be obligated to reimburse BellSouth for amounts BellSouth pays to third party carriers that	In the event that a terminating third party carrier imposes on BellSouth any charges or costs for the delivery of Transit Traffic originated by CLEC, CLEC should reimburse BellSouth for all charges paid by BellSouth, which BellSouth is contractually	In the event that a terminating third party carrier imposes on BellSouth any charges or costs for the delivery of Transit Traffic originated by CLEC, CLEC should reimburse BellSouth for all charges paid by BellSouth.

ITEM.	ISSUE #	<b>S S</b>	UNRESOLVED ASSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELESOUTH POSITION
		10.13.5 (XSP)	terminate BellSouth transited/CLEC originated traffic?  BellSouth Issue Statement: Under what terms should CLEC be obligated to reimburse BellSouth for amounts BellSouth pays to third party carriers to terminate CLEC originated traffic?	obligated to pay.  BellSouth should diligently review, dispute and pay such third party invoices (or equivalent) in a manner that is at parity with its own practices for reviewing, disputing and paying such invoices (or equivalent) when no similar reimbursement provision applies.	The product of the control of the co
64	3-5	10.7.4.2 (KMC), 10.5.5.2 (NSC), 10.5.6.2 (NVX)	While a dispute over jurisdictional factors is pending, what factors should apply in the interim?  [Issue restated by agreement of the Parties. 3/8/04]	While such a dispute over jurisdiction factors is pending, factors reported by the originating Party should remain in place, unless the Parties mutually agree otherwise.	No, in the event that negotiations and audits fail to resolve disputes between the Parties regarding the appropriate factor, either Party may seek Dispute Resolution as set forth in the General Terms and Conditions. While such a dispute is pending, factors calculated by the terminating Party should be utilized, unless the Parties mutually agree otherwise.
65	3-6	10.10. 1 (KMC), 10.8.1 (NSC)	Should BellSouth be allowed to charge the CLEC a Tandem Intermediary Charge for the transport and termination of Local Transit Traffic and ISP-Bound Transit Traffic?	NO, BellSouth should not be permitted to impose upon CLEC a Tandem Intermediary Charge ("TIC") for the transport and termination of Local Transit Traffic and ISP-Bound Transit Traffic. The TIC is a non-TELRIC based additive charge which exploits BellSouth's market power and is discriminatory.	Yes, BellSouth is not obligated to provide the transit function and the CLEC has the right pursuant to the Act to request direct interconnection to other carriers. Additionally, BellSouth incurs costs beyond those for which the Commission ordered rates were designed to address, such as the costs of sending records to the CLECs

Trem Issue	<b>\$</b>	UNRESOUVED ISSUE	CEEC POSITION	BELESOUTH POSITION
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	[Issue restated by agreement of the Parties. 3/8/04]		identifying the originating carrier. BellSouth does not charge the CLEC for these records and does not recover those costs in any other form. Moreover, this issue is not appropriate for arbitration in this proceeding because it involves a
				request by the CLECs that is not encompassed within BellSouth's obligations pursuant to Section 251 of
66 3-7	10.1 (KMC),10 .1 (XSP)	CLEC Issue Statement: Should CLEC be entitled to symmetrical reciprocal compensation for the transport and termination of Local Traffic at the tandem interconnection rate?  BellSouth Issue Statement: (A) Does the tandem interconnection rate include common transport?  (B) What information must CLEC provide to establish entitlement to symmetrical reciprocal compensation for the transport and termination of Local Traffic at the tandem	YES, CLEC should be entitled to bill, and BellSouth should be obligated to pay, reciprocal compensation for the transport and termination of Local Traffic to CLEC at a symmetrical tandem interconnection rate, inclusive of end office switching, tandem switching, and transport.	the Act.  (A) No. Common transport is a separate rate element and is not included in the tandem interconnection rate element.  (B) CLEC should be entitled to bill, and BellSouth should be obligated to pay, reciprocal compensation for the transport and termination of Local Traffic to CLEC at a symmetrical tandem interconnection rate, inclusive of end office switching and tandem switching, upon the CLEC's verification that it meets the requirement of geographic comparability pursuant to the Act.

ITEM No.	Issue #	S	-UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLECPOSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
67	3-8	10.2, 10.2.1 (KMC), 10.2, 10.3 (XSP)	interconnection rate? Should compensation for the transport and termination of ISP-bound Traffic be subject to a cap?	NO, compensation caps set in the FCC's remanded ISP Order on Remand do not extend beyond 2003.	Yes, pursuant to the FCC's ISP Order on Remand, the compensation regime including rate and growth caps shall remain in place until the FCC issues a subsequent order.
68	3-9	2.1.12 (XSP)	How should Local Traffic be defined?	Local Traffic should be defined as any telephone call that originates in one exchange and is terminated in either the same exchange, or other mandatory local calling area associated with the originating exchange (e.g., mandatory Extended Area Service) as defined and specified in Section A3 of BellSouth's GSST. Designation of Local Traffic should not be dependent on the type of switching technology used to switch and terminate such Local Traffic, including use of frame switching. Local Traffic includes any cross boundary, intrastate, interLATA or interstate, interLATA calls established as a local call by the ruling regulatory body.	Local Traffic should be defined as any telephone call that originates in one exchange and terminates in either the same exchange, or other local calling area associated with the originating exchange as defined and specified in Section A3 of BellSouth's General Subscriber Service Tariff. Local Traffic includes any cross boundary, intrastate, interLATA or interstate, interLATA calls established as a local call by the ruling regulatory body.
69	3-10	3.2 (XSP), Ex. A (XSP)	(A) Should BellSouth be required to provide CLEC with OCn level interconnection at TELRIC-compliant rates?  (B) What should those	<ul> <li>(A) YES, OCn level interconnection is technically feasible and must be made available at TELRIC-compliant rates.</li> <li>(B) TELRIC compliant rates for OCn interconnection trunks and facilities should be set by the Commission.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>(A) No. It is not technically feasible to interconnect at the OCn level.</li> <li>(B) OCn level interconnection is not technically feasible and should not be required for this reason. Therefore, no rate should be set.</li> </ul>

ITEM No.	Issur #	\$	AUNTESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION:	BEIL SOUTH POSITION
70	3-11	3.3.1, 3.3.2, 3.4.5, 10.10.2 (XSP)	rates be?  CLEC Issue Statement: Should cost-based interconnection (i.e., TELRIC), be limited to the percentage of facilities used for "local" traffic?	NO, cost-based interconnection should not be limited to the percentage of facilities used for "local" traffic ("PLF"). CLEC is entitled to cost based interconnection for telephone exchange and exchange access traffic.	Yes, the CLEC is not entitled to cost- based rates for facilities utilized for interexchange traffic.
			BellSouth Issue Statement: Should facilities used for toll traffic be offered at TELRIC rates?		·
71	3-12	4.5 (XSP)	What rate should apply for interconnection trunks and facilities in the event that a rate is not set forth in Exhibit A?  [Issue restated by agreement of the Parties.]	To the extent a rate associated with interconnection trunks and facilities is not set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 3, and no Commission-approved rate has been set, the rate should be negotiated by the Parties.	All applicable cost-based rates ordered by the Commission are set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 3. If either Party orders an element for which there is not a cost based rate, then such element will be as set forth in the applicable party's FCC or Commission filed and effective tariff. If either Party believes that a cost-based rate should be established for any element, then such Party may submit a request via a BFR for cost-based rates.
72	3-13	4.6 (XSP)	Should the costs of two- way interconnection trunks and facilities used for both parties' traffic be split proportionally based on the percentage of traffic	For two-way trunk groups that carry only both Parties' non-transit and non-interLATA Switched Access Traffic, each Party should pay its proportionate share of the recurring charges for trunks and associated facilities and nonrecurring	No, this assumes that all minutes exchanged by the parties traverse two-way trunks and facilities when either Party may establish one-ways, thus inappropriately distorting the proportional use. This is a technically

ITEM.	ISSUE	8	UNRESOLVED ISSUE.	CLEC POSITION	BELESOUTH POSITION
VI IN One-Y.	- 37911-223-45		originated by each Party or in half?  [Issue restated by agreement of the Parties.]	charges for additional trunks and associated facilities based on the percentage of the total traffic originated by that Party. The Parties should determine the applicable percentages twice per year based on the previous six months minutes of use billed by each Party. Each Party should pay its proportionate share of initial facilities based on the joint forecasts for circuits required by each Party.	infeasible request. The Parties should only use two-ways where the traffic is balanced in such a way that a two-way facility is appropriate. In such an instance, the Parties should split the cost of such two-ways in half.
73	3-14	10.10.4, 10.10.5, 10.10.6, 10.10.7 (XSP)	CLEC Issue Statement: Should CLEC be permitted to bill BellSouth based on actual traffic measurements, in lieu of BellSouth-reported jurisdictional factors?  BellSouth Issue Statement: Under what conditions should CLEC be permitted to bill BellSouth based on actual traffic measurements, in lieu of BellSouth-reported jurisdictional factors?	YES, where CLEC has message recording technology that identifies the jurisdiction of traffic terminated as defined in the Agreement, CLEC should have the option of using that information to bill BellSouth based upon actual measurements and jurisdictionalization, in lieu of factors reported by BellSouth.	CLEC may have the option to bill BellSouth based on its own actual traffic measurements for services that the CLEC has valid authorization to bill BellSouth in the form of tariffs, interconnection agreements or other contractual Commission. Prior to the CLEC implementing billing based on its own traffic measurements, however, the CLEC and BellSouth will mutually agree that the traffic measurement system employed by the CLEC, or at the direction of the CLEC, accurately measures traffic and assigns the correct jurisdiction in accordance with the Agreement and applicable underlying FCC rules. BellSouth shall have, at its option, the right to audit the CLEC measurement system periodically.
				LOCATION (ATTACHMENT 4)	
74	4-1	3.9	What definition of "Cross	The following definition of "Cross	(A) The following definition of "Cross

ITEM	ISSUE	- 8	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC.POSITION: 44	BELESOUTH POSITION
No.	#	The Section			
			Connect" should be	Connect" should be included in the	Connect" should be included in the
			included in the Agreement?	Agreement: "A cross-connection (Cross	Agreement: "A cross connect is a
				Connect) is a cabling scheme between	jumper on a frame (Main Distribution or
				cabling runs subsystems, and equipment	Intermediate Distribution) or panel
				using patch cords or jumper wires that	(DSX or LGX) that is used to connect
				attach to connection hardware on each end,	equipment and/or facility terminations
				as defined and described by the FCC in its	together."
				applicable rules and orders."	ν
	i				(B) BellSouth does not agree with the
					additional language that CLEC proposes
	1				because the cross connect required for
					the provision of a particular service, not associated with a collocation
					arrangement, may not be included in the cost of the service, but may have to be
					ordered in addition to the service
					requested.
75	4-2	5.21.1,	CLEC Issue Statement:	Provisions should be included to cover the	Provisions should be included in this
'		5.21.2	With respect to	installation and operation of any equipment	Agreement to cover the installation and
	İ		interference and	or services that (1) significantly degrades	operation of any equipment, facilities or
		l	impairment issues raised	("significantly degrades" is as in the FCC	services that (1) significantly degrades
			outside of the scope of the	rule applicable to Advanced Services); (2)	(defined as an action that noticeably
			FCC Rule 51.233 (which	endangers or damages the equipment or	impairs a service from a user's
		1	relates to the deployment	facilities of any other telecommunications	perspective), interferes with or impairs
			of Advanced Services	carrier collocated in the Premises; or (3)	service provided by BellSouth or by any
			equipment) what	knowingly and unlawfully compromises the	other entity or any person's use of its
			provisions should be	privacy of communications routed through	telecommunications services; (2)
			included in the Agreement?	the Premises; and (4) creates an	endangers or damages the equipment,
				unreasonable risk of injury or death to any	facilities or any other property of
			BellSouth Issue	individual or to the public.	BellSouth or of any other entity or
			Statement: What	TDL A	person; (3) compromises the privacy of
			restrictions should apply to	The Agreement also should provide that if	any communications routed through the

ITEM NO.	ISSUE \$	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC:POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
		the CLEC's use of	BellSouth reasonably determines that any	Premises; or (4) creates an
	:	collocation space or	equipment or facilities of CLEC violates the	unreasonable risk of injury or death to
		collocated	provisions of Section 5.21, BellSouth	any individual or to the public.
		equipment/facilities that	should provide written notice to CLEC	
		impact others?	requesting that CLEC cure the violation	The Agreement should also provide that
	:		within forty-eight (48) hours of actual	if BellSouth reasonably determines that
	:		receipt of written notice or, at a minimum, to commence curative measures within	any equipment or facilities of the CLEC violates the provisions of Section 5.21.1,
			twenty-four (24) hours and to exercise	BellSouth should provide written notice
			reasonable diligence to complete such	to the CLEC directing that the CLEC
			measures as soon as possible thereafter.	cure the violation within forty-eight (48)
			modules as soon as possible mercare.	hours of CLEC's actual receipt of
			The Agreement also should state that, with	written notice or, if such cure is not
			the exception of instances which pose an	feasible, at a minimum, to commence
			immediate and substantial threat of physical	curative measures within twenty-four
			damage to property or injury or death to any	(24) hours and to exercise reasonable
			person, disputes regarding the source of the	diligence to complete such measures as
			risk, impairment, interference, or	soon as possible thereafter.
			degradation should be resolved pursuant to	m
			the Dispute Resolution provisions set forth	The Agreement should provide that
			in the General Terms and Conditions.	either party may submit any disputes
				regarding the source of the risk, impairment, interference, or degradation
				to the Commission, except in the case of
				the deployment of an advanced service
				which significantly degrades the
				performance of other advanced services
				or traditional voice band services, if the
				CLEC fails to commence curative action
				within twenty-four (24) hours and
				exercise reasonable diligence to
				complete such action as soon as possible

ITEM No.	Issue #	*** <b>\$</b>	Unresolved Issue	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
					or if the violation is of a character that
					poses an immediate and substantial
					threat of damage to property or injury or
					death to any person, or any other
					significant degradation, interference or
					impairment of BellSouth's or another
					entity's service. In regard to the above
					exception, BellSouth should be
					permitted to take such action as it deems
					necessary to eliminate any immediate or substantial threat, including, without
					limitation, the interruption of electrical
					power to the CLEC's equipment which
					BellSouth has determined beyond a
					reasonable doubt is the cause of such
					threat.
76	4-3	8.1	CLEC Issue Statement:	When rates have been "grandfathered," the	When rates have been "grandfathered,"
			Where grandfathering is	rates that should apply are those rates that	the rates that would apply are those rates
			appropriate, which rates	were in effect prior to the Effective Date of	that were in effect prior to the Effective
		į	should apply?	the Agreement, unless application of such	Date of the Agreement or as otherwise
		!		rates would be inconsistent with the	specified within the Agreement. There
:			BellSouth Issue	underlying purpose for grandfathering.	should be no other exceptions allowed
			Statement: How should		for the application of "grandfathered"
			grandfathered rates apply?	[Revised 4/1/04]	rates.
77	4-4	8.4	When should BellSouth	Billing for recurring charges for power	If the CLEC has met the applicable
			commence billing of	provided by BellSouth should commence on	fifteen (15) calendar day walkthrough
:			recurring charges for	the date upon which the primary and	interval specified in Section 4.3 of the
			power?	redundant connections from CLEC's	Agreement, billing for recurring power
ļ				equipment in the Collocation Space to the	charges should commence upon the Space Acceptance Date. If the CLEC
				BellSouth power board or BDFB are installed.	fails to complete an acceptance
<u> </u>				instancu.	rans to complete an acceptance

ITEM.	Issue #	8	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	-CEEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION 2012
		And the second s			walkthrough within the applicable fifteen (15) calendar day interval, billing for recurring power charges should commence on the Space Ready Date. If the CLEC occupies the space prior to the Space Ready Date, then the date the CLEC occupies the space should be deemed the new Space Acceptance Date and billing for recurring power charges
					should begin on that date.
78	4-5	8.6	CLEC Issue Statement: Should CLEC be required to pay space preparation fees and charges with respect to collocations when it already has paid space preparation charges through ICB or NRC pricing?  BellSouth Issue Statement: Should CLEC be required to pay additional space preparation fees and charges for costs related to functions that have not already been recovered through previous ICB or NCR charges?	NO, space preparation fees should not apply when CLEC already has paid space preparation charges through previously billed ICB or non-recurring space preparation charges.	Yes. A CLEC should be required to pay that portion of the monthly recurring charges associated with ongoing maintenance, replacement and upgrades to the central office, which will directly benefit the CLEC in the future. The space preparation fees that were billed to and paid by the CLEC under an ICB or NCR pricing structure at the time the CLEC occupied the assigned collocation space should not be assessed to the CLEC. As stated above, only that portion of the monthly recurring charges associated with ongoing maintenance, replacement and upgrade activities in the central office should be assessed to the CLEC on a monthly recurring basis.
79	4-6	8.11,	What rates should apply	Applicable rates should vary depending on	For all states except Tennessee,

38 Updated 4/15/2004

ITEM ISSUE S	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CEEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
	for BellSouth-supplied DC	whether CLEC elects to be billed on a	recurring charges for -48V DC power
1	power?	"fused amp" basis, by electing to remain (or	should be assessed on a "per fused amp"
	•	install new collocations or augments) under	basis, based upon the CLEC's BellSouth
[Revised		the traditional collocation power billing	Certified Supplier engineered and
4/1/04]		method, or on a "used amp" basis, by	installed power feed fused ampere
		electing to convert collocations to (or install	capacity. In Tennessee, the CLEC
		new collocations or augments under) the	should be permitted to choose to be
		power usage metering option set forth in	billed on a "per fused amp" basis, by
		Section 9 of Attachment 4.	electing to remain (or install new
		TT. day side on hilling models of them will be	collocations or augments) under the
		Under either billing method, there will be	traditional collocation power billing method that BellSouth uses for all of the
:		rates applicable to grandfathered collocations for which power plant	other states (including Tennessee), or on
:		infrastructure costs have been prepaid under	a "per used amp" basis, by electing to
		an ICB pricing or non-recurring charge	convert collocations to (or install new
		arrangement, and there will be rates	collocations or augments under) the
		applicable where such grandfathering does	Tennessee power usage metering option
		not apply and power plant infrastructure is	set forth in the Agreement. Under either
		instead recovered via recurring charges, as	the "per fused amp" billing
		currently set by the Commission.	methodology, which applies for all
			states, or the "per used amp" billing
		Under the fused amp billing option, CLEC	option, which applies to Tennessee only,
		will be billed at the Commission's most	there will be rates applicable to
		recently approved fused amp recurring rate	grandfathered collocations for which
		for DC power. However, if certain	power plant infrastructure costs have
		arrangements are grandfathered as a result	been prepaid under an ICB pricing or
		of CLEC having paid installation costs	non-recurring charge arrangement and
		under an ICB or non-recurring rate schedule for the collocation arrangement power	there will be rates applicable where such
		installation, CLEC should only be billed the	grandfathering does not apply and power plant infrastructure is instead
		recurring rate for the DC power in effect	recovered via recurring charges.
		prior to the Effective Date of this	1000 votod via rocuiring charges.

No.25 TEST	§ UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC Position	BELESOUTH POSITION :
No.	S UNRESOLVED ISSUE	Agreement, or, if rates that excluded the infrastructure component had not been incorporated into the Parties' most recent Agreement, the most recent Commission approved rate that does not include an infrastructure component should apply.  Under the power usage metering option, recurring charges for DC power are subdivided into a power infrastructure component and an AC usage component (based on DC amps consumed). However, if certain arrangements are grandfathered as a result of CLEC having paid installation costs under an ICB or non-recurring rate schedule for the collocation arrangement power installation, CLEC should only be billed a recurring rate for the AC usage based on the most recent Commission approved rate exclusive of an infrastructure component (as set by the Commission).	Under the fused amp billing option, which is applicable to all states, the CLEC should be billed at the Commission's most recently approved fused amp recurring rate for DC power. However, if the Parties either previously agreed to "grandfather" such arrangements or such arrangements are grandfathered as a result of the CLEC having provided documentation to BellSouth demonstrating that the CLEC paid installation costs under an ICB or non-recurring rate structure for the collocation arrangement power installation, then the CLEC should only be billed the monthly recurring rate for the DC power in effect prior to the Effective Date of the Agreement, or, if such grandfathered rates had not been incorporated in to the Parties' most recent Agreement, the rates contained in Exhibit B of the Attachment, which reflect only that portion of the monthly recurring charges associated with the AC usage and ongoing maintenance,
			AC usage and ongoing maintenance, replacement and upgrades to the central office power infrastructure, which will
			In Tennessee, under the power usage metering option, recurring charges for DC power will be subdivided into a

	Issue	§	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC Position	BELDSOUTH POSITION
No		3			power infrastructure component and an AC usage component (based on DC amps consumed). However, if the Parties either previously agreed to "grandfather" such arrangements or such arrangements are grandfathered as a result of the CLEC having provided documentation to BellSouth demonstrating that the CLEC paid installation costs under an ICB or non-recurring rate structure for the collocation arrangement power installation, then the CLEC should only be billed the monthly recurring rate for the AC usage based on the most recent Commission approved rate and the DC power infrastructure component that excludes those costs previously paid through the ICB or NRC pricing structure. Thus, the CLEC should be required to pay that portion of the DC power infrastructure component associated with ongoing maintenance, replacement and upgrades to the central
					office, which will directly benefit the CLEC in the future.
80	4-7	9.1.1	CLEC Issue Statement: Under the fused amp billing option, how will recurring and non-	Under the fused amp billing option, monthly recurring charges for -48V DC power should be assessed per fused amp per month in a manner consistent with	(A) Under the regional fused amp billing option, which applies to all states, monthly recurring charges for -48V DC power should be assessed per
			recurring charges be applied and what should	Commission orders and as set forth in Section 8 of Attachment 4 (see Issue 4-6	fused amp per month based upon the CLEC's BellSouth Certified Supplier

41 Updated 4/15/2004

ITEM No.	ISSUE #	8	UNRESOUVED ISSUE: =	CLEC POSITION	BLEESOUTH POSITION
			those charges be?  BellSouth Issue Statement: (A) How should recurring and non-recurring charges be applied?  (B) What should the charges be?	Section 8 of Attachment 4 (see Issue 4-6 above). Non-recurring charges for -48V DC power distribution, should be as prescribed by the Commission.	CLEC's BellSouth Certified Supplier engineered and installed power feed fused amperage capacity in a manner consistent with Commission orders and as set forth in Section 8 of Attachment 4 (See Issue 4-6 above).  (B) Non-recurring charges for -48V DC power distribution should be based on the costs associated with collocation power plant investment and the associated infrastructure.
81	4-8	9.1.2, 9.1.3	(A) Should CLEC be permitted to choose between a fused amp billing option and a power usage metering option?  (B) If power usage metering is allowed, how will recurring and non-recurring charges be applied and what should those charges be?  [Issue restated by agreement of the Parties.]	(A) YES, CLEC should be permitted to choose between a fused amp billing option and a power usage metering option in states other than and in addition to Tennessee.  (B) If CLEC chooses the power usage metering option, monthly recurring charges for -48V DC power will be assessed based on a consumption component and, if applicable, an infrastructure component, as set forth in Section 8 of Attachment 4 (see Issue 4-6 above). The Commission should ensure that its most recently approved recurring rates are apportioned appropriately into the consumption and infrastructure components. Non-recurring charges for -48V DC power distribution should be as prescribed by the Commission.	(A) No. CLECs should not be permitted to choose between a fused amp billing option and a power usage metering option in states other than Tennessee, where BellSouth was ordered to do so. The only other states that have ordered a power usage metering option are Florida and Georgia, but the Commissions in these states have not determined the appropriate power metering rate structure and the associated rates that would be assessed to CLECs that elect this option. Therefore, BellSouth cannot offer a power usage metering option in Florida and Georgia until these issues have been resolved. In regard to the other states, BellSouth should be permitted to continue assessing monthly recurring DC power charges on a "per fused amp" basis.

ITEM ISSUE \$	UNRESOLVED ISSUE CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
		(B) In Tennessee, if the CLEC selects the power usage metering option, the monthly recurring charges for -48V DC power should be assessed based on the AC usage component of the DC power consumed by the CLEC and an infrastructure component, associated with the DC power plant and the associated equipment required to convert AC power to DC power, as set forth in Exhibit B of Attachment 4. BellSouth has taken the Commission's current approved monthly recurring DC power rate (which is a fused amp rate) and apportioned it appropriately into these two components based upon the cost study inputs used initially to develop the ordered rate.
		Recurring charges for the AC usage component, the infrastructure component associated with the DC power plant and the associated equipment required to convert AC power to DC power, and the Meter Reading expense will be assessed pursuant to Section 8.4 of Attachment 4. (See BST's Position as stated under Issue 4-4 above)  The non-recurring charge associated

ITEM No.	Issue §	Unresouved Issue	CLEC POSITION	BELESOUTH POSITION
				with the submission of a Subsequent
				Application, to convert existing
				collocation arrangements to the power
				metering option in Tennessee or to
				remove or install telecommunications
		! 		equipment in the CLEC's space, will be
	1			billed on the date that BellSouth
				provides an Application Response to the
				Subsequent Application. If the CLEC
				requests that an unscheduled (prior to
				the next scheduled quarterly power
				reading date) power usage reading be
				taken or if the CLEC fails to provide
				access to its caged collocation space or
				fails to provide BellSouth and/or a
				BellSouth Certified Supplier with
				sufficient notification of the necessity to
				cancel and/or reschedule the initial
				agreed-upon appointment, then the
				CLEC will be responsible for paying
				each "Additional Meter Reading Trip
				Charge," which will be reflected on the
				CLEC's next month's billing statement.
		٠		In addition, there will be a non-recurring
				fee associated with the modifications
				that BellSouth must make to its billing
				systems in order to accept the power
				usage measurement data. This fee will
]				be reflected on the CLEC's next billing
1				statement immediately following the
				completion of the required
				modifications.

ITEM No.	ISSUE	\$	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC-Position	BELESOUTH POSITION
82	4-9	9.3	For BellSouth-supplied AC power, should CLEC be entitled to choose between a fused amp billing option and a power usage metering option?	YES, where CLEC elects to install its own DC Power Plant, and BellSouth provides Alternating Current (AC) power to feed CLEC's DC Power Plant, CLEC should have the option of choosing between fused amp billing and power usage metering options.	No. If the CLEC elects to install its own DC Power Plant, BellSouth is willing to provide Alternating Current (AC) power to feed the CLEC's DC Power Plant. Charges for AC power should be assessed per breaker ampere based on the appropriate allocation of AC power delivered to the central office fuse panel by the commercial electric provider. BellSouth anticipates that if a CLEC requests AC power from BellSouth to feed its own Power Plant, BellSouth would have to install and dedicate a circuit breaker to the CLEC at its fuse panel where the commercial electric power enters the central office. It would, therefore, be appropriate for BellSouth to pro-rate the AC power to each of the circuit breakers in BellSouth's fuse panel based on the fused amperage that each circuit breaker is designed to carry in relation to the total amount of fused amperage for all of the circuit breakers contained in BellSouth's fuse panel, which serve the central office.
83	4-10	13.6	CLEC Issue Statement: (A) Should BellSouth have the right to request the removal from BellSouth's Premises of a CLEC employee where the CLEC	(A) BellSouth should be entitled to request prompt removal and suspension of access from BellSouth's Premises only in cases where the Petitioner's employee is found interfering with the property or personnel of BellSouth or another telecommunications	At BellSouth's request, the CLEC should be required to promptly remove from BellSouth's premises any employee of the CLEC that BellSouth does not wish to grant access to its premises pursuant to any investigation

45 Updated 4/15/2004

BEILISOUTH-POSITION	conducted by BellSouth or prior to the	initiation of an investigation if an	employee of the CLEC is found	personnel of BellSouth or another	collocated telecommunications carrier.	Such investigation shall be commenced	and completed by BellSouth as	promptly and expeditiously as possible.																-						
CLEC Position	carrier in a significant and material way.		(B) YES, in instances where interference	caused by CLEC employee has not been found to have interfered with the property	or personnel of BellSouth or another	telecommunications carrier in a significant	and material way, the Parties should be	required to cooperate and communicate, to	the extent circumstances permit, to ensure	that the Parties may take appropriate	remedial measures and so that CLEC	personnel are not denied access for activity	that does not have a significant and material	impact and that would be more suitably	addressed through disciplinary measures	less likely to have a significant impact on	CLEC's daily operations.		[Revised 4/1/04]											
ITEM ISSUE & UNRESOLVED ISSUE VO. #	employee has not been	red		personnel of BellSouth or	munications carrier				(B) In instances where	interference caused by	CLEC employee has not		interfered with the property	or personnel of BellSouth	or another	telecommunications carrier		ld the		cooperate to ensure that	appropriate remedial	measures are taken that	are less likely to have a	significant impact on	CLEC's daily operations?	BellSouth Issue	Statement: Under what	circumstances should	BellSouth be entitled to	request that a CLEC

	ISSUE	<b>\$</b>	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC Position	BELLSOUTH POSITION -
			employee be removed from BellSouth's premises in the		
			absence of a formal investigation?		
		)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	RDERING (ATTACHMENT 6)	<u></u>
84	6-1	2.5.1	Should payment history be included in the CSR?	YES, the subscribers' payment history should be included in the CSR to the extent authorized or required by the FCC, Commission or End User.	NO, payment history should be maintained as confidential information and is not necessary in order for a CLEC to provision service to an end user. BellSouth's systems will not permit this information to be shared on an end user by end user or CLEC by CLEC basis.
	6-2 2.5.5		Should CLEC have to provide BellSouth with access to CSRs within firm intervals?	NO, CLEC is not required by law to commit to specific intervals, and does not have any automated system in place to handle CSR requests. Moreover, BellSouth refuses to commit to deliver CSRs within a firm interval. CLEC, however, will commit to use its best efforts to provide CSRs within an average of 5 business days of a valid request, subject to the same exclusions applicable to BST's delivery of CSRs.	YES, BellSouth is required to provide CSRs to CLEC in intervals prescribed by this Commission which, if not met, require BellSouth to remit SEEMs penalties. If CLEC is not held to the same standard, the End User customer is impaired by being unable to receive the same service interval from all local service providers.
	6-3	2.5.6.2, 2.5.6.3	(A) What procedures should apply when one Party alleges, via written notice, that the other Party has engaged in unauthorized access to CSR information?	(A) Either Party, in the event it suspects that the other Party has accessed CSR information without having obtained the proper End User authorization, should send written notice to the other Party specifying the alleged noncompliance. The Party receiving the notice should be obligated to acknowledge receipt of the notice as soon as	(A) The Party receiving such notice should provide documentation within seven (7) business days to prove authorization. (B) The Party providing notice of such impropriety should provide notice to the offending Party that additional applications for service may be refused,

ITEM	ISSUE.	\$	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CEEC POSITION -	BELLSOUTH POSITION 8
No	#*************************************	8	(B) How should disputes over alleged unauthorized access to CSR information be handled under the Agreement?	practicable, and provide appropriate proof of authorization within seven (7) days or provide notice that appropriate corrective measures have been taken or will be taken as soon as practicable.  (B) If one Party disputes the other Party's assertion of non-compliance, that Party should notify the other Party in writing of the basis for its assertion of compliance. If the receiving Party fails to provide the other Party with notice that appropriate corrective measures have been taken within a reasonable time or provide the other Party with proof sufficient to persuade the other Party that it erred in asserting the non-compliance, the requesting Party should proceed pursuant to the Dispute Resolution provisions set forth in the General Terms and Conditions and the Parties should cooperatively seek expedited resolution of the dispute. "Self help", in the form of suspension of access to ordering systems and discontinuance of service, is	that any pending orders for service may not be completed, and/or that access to ordering systems may be suspended if such use is not corrected or ceased by the fifth (5 <sup>th</sup> ) calendar day following the date of the notice. In addition, the alleging Party may, at the same time, provide written notice to the person(s) designated by the other Party to receive notices of noncompliance that the alleging Party may terminate the provision of access to ordering systems to the other Party and may discontinue the provisioning of existing services if such use is not corrected or ceased by the tenth (10 <sup>th</sup> ) calendar day following the date of the initial notice. If the other Party disagrees with the alleging Party's allegations of unauthorized use, the other Party shall proceed pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions set forth in the General Terms and Conditions.
				inappropriate and coercive. Moreover, it effectively denies one Party the ability to avail itself to the Dispute Resolution	
87	6-4	2.6	Should BellSouth be allowed to assess manual service order charges on CLEC orders for which	process otherwise agreed to by the Parties.  NO, if, at any time, electronic interfaces are not available to make placement of an electronic LSR possible, CLEC must use the manual LSR process for the ordering of	YES, BellSouth is not required to provide electronic ordering capability for every product or service. BellSouth has implemented the Change Control

DC01/HENDH/219142.1 48 Updated 4/15/2004

	ÍSSUE	\$	Unresolved Issue	GEE C-POSITION	BELESOUTH POSITION
<u>No.</u>	## <u>#</u>		BellSouth does not provide an electronic ordering option?	UNEs and Combinations. In such cases where CLEC does not willfully choose to use the manual LSR process, CLEC should be assessed the lower electronic LSR OSS rate.	Process for CLEC requests to change BellSouth's OSS capabilities if CLEC is not satisfied with existing ordering capabilities.
88	6-5	2.6.5	What rate should apply for Service Date Advancement (a/k/a service expedites)?	Rates for Service Date Advancement (a/k/a service expedites) related to UNEs, interconnection or collocation should be set consistent with TELRIC pricing principles.	BellSouth is not required to provide expedited service pursuant to The Act. If BellSouth elects to offer expedite capability as an enhancement to a CLEC, BellSouth's tariffed rates for service date advancement should apply. Moreover, this issue is not appropriate for arbitration in this proceeding because it involves a request by the CLECs that is not encompassed within BellSouth's obligations pursuant to Section 251 of the Act.
89	6-6	2.6.25	Should CLEC be required to deliver a FOC to BellSouth for purposes of porting a number within a firm interval?	NO, CLEC is not required by law to commit to specific intervals, and does not have the necessary automated system in place to meet such requirements. Moreover, BellSouth refuses to commit to deliver FOCs within a firm interval. CLEC, however, subject to the same exclusions that apply to BellSouth's delivery of a FOC, is willing to commit to use best efforts to return a FOC to BellSouth, for purposes of porting a number, within an average of 5 business days, for noncomplex orders, after CLEC's receipt from BellSouth of a valid LSR.	YES, BellSouth is required to provide FOCs to CLEC in intervals prescribed by this Commission, which if not met require BellSouth to remit SEEMs penalties. If CLEC is not held to the same standard, the End User customer is impaired by being unable to receive the same service interval from all Local service providers.

ITEM.	ISSUE #	8	Unresouved Issue	CLEG ROSITION 1	BELLSOUTH POSITION
90	6-7	2.6.26	Should CLEC be required to provide Reject Responses to BellSouth within a firm interval?	NO, CLEC is not required by law to commit to specific intervals, and does not have the necessary automated system in place to meet such requirements. Moreover, BellSouth refuses to commit to deliver Reject Responses within a firm interval. CLEC, however, subject to the same exclusions that apply to BellSouth's delivery of Reject Responses, is willing to commit to use best efforts to return Reject Responses to BellSouth, for purposes of porting a number, within an average of 5 business days, for noncomplex orders, after CLEC's receipt from BellSouth of a valid LSR.	YES, BellSouth is required to provide FOC Reject Responses to CLEC in intervals prescribed by this Commission which if not met require BellSouth to remit SEEMs penalties. If CLEC is not held to the same standard, the End User customer is impaired by being unable to receive the same service interval from all Local service providers.
91	6-8	2.7.10.4	Should BellSouth be required to provide performance and maintenance history for circuits with chronic problems?	YES, upon request from CLEC, BellSouth should disclose all available performance and maintenance history regarding the network element, service or facility subject to the chronic trouble ticket.	NO, network performance and maintenance history is BellSouth's proprietary information.
92	6-9	2.9.1	Should charges for substantially similar OSS functions performed by the parties be reciprocal?	YES, the Parties should bill each other OSS rates pursuant to the terms, conditions and rates for OSS as set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 2 of the Agreement, for substantially similar OSS functions performed by the Parties.	YES, but only for those functions that CLEC performs that are substantially similar to those performed by BellSouth and only if the CLEC performs the same OSS functions pursuant to the terms and conditions under which BellSouth bills CLEC for OSS, including FOC reject turnaround times the same as BellSouth's, due date intervals the same as BellSouth's and CSRs handled under

ITEM No:	ISSUE #	<b>\\$</b>	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTHPOSITION
					the same terms and conditions under which BellSouth provides the CSRs to CLEC.
93	6-10	3.1.1	(A) Can Bellsouth make the porting of an End User to the CLEC contingent on either the CLEC having an operating, billing and/or collection arrangement with any third party carrier, including BellSouth Long Distance or the End User changing its PIC?  (B) If not, should BellSouth be subject to liquidated damages for imposing such conditions?	(A) NO, BellSouth is required by law to port a customer once the customer requests to be switched to another local service provider, regardless of any arrangement or agreement (or lack thereof) between CLEC and BellSouth Long Distance or another third party carrier. BellSouth's practice represents an anticompetitive leveraging of its ILEC status in favor of, and in collusion with, its Section 272 affiliate. More specifically, BellSouth may not condition its compliance with these obligations under the Agreement upon CLEC's or its End-Users' entry into any billing and/or collection arrangement, operational understanding, relationship or other arrangement with one or more of BellSouth's Affiliates, and/or any third party carrier.  (B) YES, liquidated damages are appropriate in this instance because it would be impossible or commercially impracticable to ascertain and fix the actual amount of damages as would be sustained by CLEC as a result of such action by BellSouth. A liquidated damage amount of \$1,000 per occurrence per day is a reasonable approximation of the damages likely to be sustained by CLEC, upon the	(A) YES. If another carrier restricts the conditions under which that carrier's end user can retain a PIC, CLEC should be required to either comply with that carriers requirements or transfer the end-user with another PIC.  (B) NO, liquidated damages provisions are inappropriate.

ITEM No:	Issue #	\$	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	occurrence and during the continuance of any such breach. Liquidated damages should be in addition to and without prejudice to or limitation upon any other rights or remedies CLEC and/or any of its	BEELSOUTH POSITION
				End Users may have under this Agreement and/or other applicable documents against BellSouth.	
94	6-11	3.1.2, 3.1.2.1	(A) Should the mass migration of customer service arrangements resulting from mergers, acquisitions and asset transfers be accomplished by the submission of an electronic LSR or spreadsheet?  (B) If so, what rates should apply?  (C) What should be the interval for such mass migrations of services?	(A) YES, mass migration of customer service arrangements (e.g., UNEs, Combinations, resale) should be accomplished pursuant to submission of electronic LSR or, if mutually agreed to by the Parties, by submission of a spreadsheet in a mutually agreed-upon format. Until such time as an electronic LSR process is available, a spreadsheet containing all relevant information should be used.  (B) An electronic OSS charge should be assessed per service arrangement migrated. In addition, BellSouth should only charge CLEC a TELRIC-based records change charge, as set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 2, for migrations of customers for which no physical re-termination of circuits must be performed. Similarly, BellSouth should only charge CLEC a TELRIC-based charge, as set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 2, for migrations of customers for which physical re-termination of circuits is required.	This issue (including all subparts) is not appropriate for arbitration in this proceeding because it involves a request by the CLECs that is not encompassed within BellSouth's obligations pursuant to Section 251 of the Act.  (A) No, each and every Merger, Acquisition and Asset Transfer is unique and requires project management and planning to ascertain the appropriate manner in which to accomplish the transfer, including how orders should be submitted. The vast array of services that may be the subject of such a transfer, under the agreement and both state and federal tariffs, necessitates that various forms of documentation may be required.  (B) The rates by necessity must be negotiated between the Parties based upon the particular services to be transferred and the work involved.

ITEM.	ISSUE.	\$	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC Position 2	BELLSOUTH POSITION
				(C) Migrations should be completed within ten (10) calendar days of an LSR or spreadsheet submission.	(C) No finite interval can be set to cover all potential situations. While shorter intervals can be committed to and met for small, simple projects, larger and more complex projects require much longer intervals and prioritization and cooperation between the Parties.
		L		BILLING (ATTACHMENT 7)	3
95	7-1	1.1.3	CLEC Issue Statement: Should there be a time limit on the parties' ability to engage in backbilling?  BellSouth Issue Statement: What limitations period should apply to charges under the agreement and should such limitations period apply to all issue related to billing under the agreement?	YES, bills for service should not be rendered more than ninety (90) calendar days have passed since the bill date on which those charges ordinarily would have been billed. Billed amounts for services rendered more than one (1) billing period prior to the Bill Date should be invalid unless the billing Party identifies such billing as "back-billing" on a line-item basis. Billing beyond (90) calendar days and up to a limit of six (6) months after the date upon which the bill ordinarily would have been issued may be allowed under the following conditions: (1) charges connected with jointly provided services whereby meet point billing guidelines require either Party to rely on records provided by a third party and such records have not been provided in a timely manner; and (2) charges incorrectly billed due to erroneous information supplied by the non-billing Party.	All charges incurred under the agreement should be subject to the state's statute of limitations or applicable Commission rules. Backbilling alone should not be subject to a shorter limitations period than any other claims related to billing under the agreement.
96	7-2	1.2.2	(A) What charges, if any,	(A) A Party should be entitled to make one	This issue (including all subparts) is not

ITEM No.	Fr 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	8	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
<u>38170.</u>	(1) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		should be imposed for records changes made by the Parties to reflect changes in corporate names or other LEC identifiers such as OCN, CC, CIC and ACNA?  (B) What intervals should apply to such changes?	(1) "LEC Change" (i.e, corporate name change, OCN, CC, CIC, ACNA change) per state in any twelve (12) month period without charge by the other Party for updating its databases, systems and records solely to reflect such change. For any additional LEC Changes, TELRIC compliant rates should be charged.  (B) "LEC Changes" should be accomplished in thirty (30) calendar days and should result in no delay or suspension of ordering or provisioning of any element or service provided pursuant to this Agreement, or access to any pre-order, order, provisioning, maintenance or repair interfaces. At the request of a Party, the other Party should establish a new BAN	appropriate for arbitration in this proceeding because it involves a request by the CLECs that is not encompassed within BellSouth's obligations pursuant to Section 251 of the Act.  (A) BellSouth is permitted to recover its costs and CLEC should be charged a reasonable records change charge.  Requests for this type of change should be submitted to the BFR/NBR process.  (B) The Interval of any such project would be determined by the BFR/NBR process based upon the complexity of the project.
97	7-3	1.4	When should payment of charges for service be due?	within ten (10) calendar days.  Payment of charges for services rendered should be due thirty (30) calendar days from receipt or website posting of a complete and fully readable bill or within thirty (30) calendar days from receipt or website posting of a corrected or retransmitted bill in those cases where correction or retransmission is necessary for processing.	Payment for services should be due on or before the next bill date (Payment Due Date) in immediately available funds.
98	7-4	1.6	(A) What interest rate should apply for late payments?	(A) The interest rate that should apply for late payments is a uniform region-wide (1) percent per month.	(A) The applicable interest rate approved by each state Commission in BellSouth's tariffs should apply.

ITEM.	ISSUE #	\$	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	<b>CLEC POSITION</b>	BEIESOUTH POSITION.
			(B) What fee should be assessed for returned checks?	(B) In addition to any applicable late payment charges, a uniform region-wide \$20 fee for all returned checks should apply.	(B) The Commission approved rate from the GSST should apply or, in the absence of such, the amount permitted by state law.
99	7-5	1.7.1	What recourse should a Party have if it believes the other Party is engaging in prohibited, unlawful or improper use of its facilities or services, abuse of the facilities or noncompliance with the Agreement or applicable tariffs?	Each Party should have the right to suspend access to ordering systems for and to terminate particular services or access to facilities that are being used in an unlawful, improper or abusive manner. However, such remedial action should be limited to the services or facilities in question and such suspension or termination should not be imposed unilaterally by one Party over the other's written objections to or denial of such accusations. In the event of such a dispute, "self help" should not supplant the Dispute Resolution process set forth in the Agreement.	Each Party should have the right to suspend or terminate service in the event it believes the other party is engaging in one of these practices.
100	7-6	1.7.2	CLEC Issue Statement: Should CLEC be required to calculate and pay past due amounts in addition to those specified in BellSouth's notice of suspension or termination for nonpayment in order to avoid suspension or termination?  BellSouth Issue	NO. If CLEC receives a notice of suspension or termination from BellSouth with a limited time to pay nondisputed past due amounts, CLEC should, in order to avoid suspension or termination, be required to pay only the amount past due as of the date of the notice and as expressly and plainly indicated on the notice. Otherwise, CLEC will risk suspension or termination due to possible calculation and timing errors.	Yes, if CLEC receives a notice of suspension or termination from BellSouth as a result of CLEC's failure to pay timely, CLEC should be required to pay all amounts that are past due as of the date of the pending suspension or termination action.

ITEM.	6 See Steriorania	Ş	Statement: To avoid suspension or termination, should CLEC be required to pay additional amounts that become past due after the Notice of Suspension or Termination for Nonpayment is sent?	CISEC POSITION	BELESOUTH POSITION
101	7-7	1.8.3	How many months of billing should be used to determine the maximum amount of the deposit?	The amount of a deposit should not exceed two month's estimated billing for new CLECs or one and one-half month's actual billing for existing CLECs (based on average monthly billings for the most recent six (6) month period). The one and one-half month's actual billing deposit limit for existing CLECs is reasonable given that balances can be predicted with reasonable accuracy and that significant portions of services are billed in advance.	The average of two (2) months of actual billing for existing customers or estimated billing for new customers, which is consistent with the telecommunications industry's standard and BellSouth's practice with its end users.
102	7-8	1.8.3.1	Should the amount of the deposit BellSouth requires from CLEC be reduced by past due amounts owed by BellSouth to CLEC?	YES, the amount of security due from an existing CLEC should be reduced by amounts due CLEC by BellSouth aged over thirty (30) calendar days. BellSouth may request additional security in an amount equal to such reduction once BellSouth demonstrates a good payment history, as defined in the deposit provisions of Attachment 7. This provision is appropriate given that the Agreement's deposit provisions are not reciprocal and that BellSouth's payment history with CLECs is	NO, CLEC's remedy for addressing late payment by BellSouth should be suspension/termination of service or application of interest/late payment charges similar to BellSouth's remedy for addressing late payment by CLEC.

	ISSUE #	\$	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CEEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
103	7-9	1.8.6	Should BellSouth be entitled to terminate service to CLEC pursuant to the process for termination due to nonpayment if CLEC refuses to	often poor.  NO, BellSouth should have a right to terminate services to CLEC for failure to remit a deposit requested by BellSouth only in cases where (a) CLEC agrees that such a deposit is required by the Agreement, or (b) the Commission has ordered payment of such deposit. A dispute over a requested	Yes, thirty (30) calendar days is a commercially reasonable time period within which CLEC should have met its fiscal responsibilities.
104	7-10	1.8.7	remit any deposit required by BellSouth within 30 calendar days? What recourse should be	deposit. A dispute over a requested deposit should be addressed via the Agreement's Dispute Resolution provisions and not through "self-help".  If the Parties are unable to agree on the need	If CLEC does not agree with the amount
		•	available to either Party when the Parties are unable to agree on the need for or amount of a reasonable deposit?	for or amount of a reasonable deposit, either Party should be able to file a petition for resolution of the dispute and both parties should cooperatively seek expedited resolution of such dispute.	or need for a deposit requested by BellSouth, CLEC may file a petition with the Commission for resolution of the dispute and BellSouth would cooperatively seek expedited resolution of such dispute. BellSouth shall not terminate service during the pendency of such a proceeding provided that CLEC posts a payment bond for the amount of the requested deposit during the pendency of the proceeding.
105	7-11	1.8.9	Under what conditions may BellSouth seek additional security deposit from CLEC?	Subject to a standard of commercial reasonableness and the standards for deposits requirements set forth in Attachment 7, BellSouth may seek an additional deposit if a material change in the circumstances of CLEC so warrants and/or gross monthly billing has increased more than 25% beyond the level most recently	BellSouth may seek additional security, subject to a standard of commercial reasonableness, if a material change in the circumstances of CLEC so warrants and/or gross monthly billing has increased beyond the level most recently used to determine the level of security deposit.

ITEM No.	ÎSSUE :	\$	Unresolved Issue	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
***************************************		The state of the s		used to determine the level of deposit.  BellSouth should not be entitled to make	
				such additional requests based solely on	
				increased billing more frequently than once	
				in any six (6) month period.	
106	7-12	1.9.1	CLEC Issue Statement:	Notice of suspension for additional	The 15-day computer-generated notice
			To whom should BellSouth	applications for service, pending	stating that BellSouth may suspend
			be required to send notice	applications for service, and access to	access to BellSouth's ordering systems
	:		of suspension for	BellSouth's ordering systems should be sent	should go to the individual(s) that CLEC
			additional applications for	pursuant to the requirements of Attachment	has identified as its Billing Contact(s),
			service, pending	7 and also should be sent via certified mail	Notices, not system generated, of security deposits and suspension or
			applications for service and access to BellSouth's	to the individual(s) listed in the Notices provision of the General Terms and	termination of services shall be sent via
			ordering systems?	Conditions.	certified mail to the individual(s) listed
			ordering systems:	Conditions.	in the Notices provision of the General
			BellSouth Issue		Terms and Conditions of the Agreement
			Statement: To whom		in addition to the CLEC's designed
			should BellSouth be		billing contact.
			required to send the 15 day		
			notice of suspension of		
			access to LENS?		
	,				
		1.5, 1.8.1,	(A) Should BellSouth be	(A) NO, charges associated with the	(A) YES, BellSouth is entitled to
		1.9,	permitted to charge CLEC	development of a BFR should be	recover its costs in provisioning services
		1.10	the full development costs	apportioned among CLECs who may	to CLEC. Since this is a unique request
			associated with a BFR?	benefit from the UNE(s).	that CLEC is making, CLEC should
			(D) If an how should there	(D) To the extent DellSouth can shares	bear the full development costs.
			(B) If so, how should these costs be recovered?	(B) To the extent BellSouth can charge CLEC for the development costs associated	(B) CLEC should be obligated to pay
1	ŀ		cosis ve recovereu:	with a BFR, such costs should be assessed	these costs upon request that BellSouth
				through non-recurring and recurring rates.	proceed.
				miough non rooming and rooming rates.	l brooms

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served upon the following parties by Hand Delivery (\*), and/or U. S. Mail this 15<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2004.

Beth Keating, Esq.\*
General Counsel's Office, Room 370
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Nancy B. White, Esq. c/o Ms. Nancy H. Sims BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301

J. Phillip Carver General Attorney BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Suite 4300, BellSouth Center 675 West Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30375

Chad Pifer, Esq.
Regulatory Counsel
KMC Telecom
1755 North Brown Road
Lawrenceville, GA 30034-8119.

Norman H. Horton, Jr.