JOHN & HENGERER

A LAW PARTNERSHIP 1200 17TH STREET, N.W. SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-3013

May 12, 2004

DOUGLAS F JOHN EDWARD W HENGERER KEVIN M. SWEENEY KIM M CLARK GORDON J SMITH MATTHEW T RICK ELIZABETH A. ZEMBRUSKI

TELEPHONE (202) 429-8809

TELECOPIER (202) 429-8805

Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0870

Re: In re: Review of GridFlorida Regional Transmission Organization (RTO) Proposal, Docket No. 020233-El

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed, please find an original and twenty (20) copies of a Joint Response of Lakeland Electric, Kissimmee Utility Authority, Gainesville Regional Utilities, and the City of Tallahassee, Florida, which is being filed in the above-captioned proceeding. Please date-stamp and return the five (5) extra copies *via* the enclosed postage pre-paid return envelope. I have also included a diskette containing an electronic form of this filing.

Thank you very much for your assistance and please do not hesitate to contact me at (202) 429-8809 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Aovalas F. Som Douglas F. John

Dougles F. John John & Hengerer 1200 17th Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036-3013 Phone: (202) 429-8809

Enclosures cc: Service List

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

05549 MAY 133

TOOD-DOMMISSION CLERK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

In re: Review of GridFlorida Regional Transmission Organization (RTO) Proposal Docket No. 020233-EI Filed: May 13, 2004

÷,

JOINT RESPONSE OF LAKELAND ELECTRIC, KISSIMMEE UTILITY AUTHORITY, GAINESVILLE REGIONAL UTILITIES, AND THE CITY OF TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA

Pursuant to the schedule adopted by Order No. PSC-03-1414-PCO-EI, the City of Lakeland, Florida d/b/a Lakeland Electric (Lakeland), the City of Tallahassee, Florida (Tallahassee), Kissimmee Utility Authority (KUA), and the City of Gainesville, Florida d/b/a Gainesville Regional Utilities (GRU) -- collectively referred to herein as the Florida Municipal Group (FMG)¹ -- hereby respond to the draft positions and issues circulated by the GridFlorida Applicants for discussion at the workshop scheduled for May 19-21, 2004.

GENERAL COMMENTS

The FMG members appreciate the opportunity to discuss energy market and congestion management concepts at the upcoming workshop, but submit that most of the issues listed by the Applicants need not be resolved in the near-term, and probably not for some time to come. The practice followed by other Regional Transmission Organizations (RTOs) and Independent System Operators (ISOs) has been to focus efforts initially on transmission-only or "Day 1" functions -- *e.g.*, providing transmission service, calculating transfer capacities, regionalizing transmission planning, coordinating maintenance schedules, etc. – with energy market issues

¹ The FMG is an *ad hoc* advocacy group. Each member of the FMG has intervened independently in this proceeding and reserves the right to express individual views at any time.

not addressed until several years later, if at all.² The same approach should be followed in Florida.

Thus, while the FMG members look forward to learning more about the various market design components identified by the Applicants, they view this as largely an academic exercise at this stage in GridFlorida's development. Purporting to reach decisions now regarding specific market design elements is unnecessary and likely counterproductive.³ Doing so would deny Florida the opportunity to capitalize on lessons that are still being learned in other parts of the country. Moreover, the most immediate benefits to be gained from the formation of an RTO in Florida are likely to be derived from greater regional coordination of transmission-related functions, rather than comprehensive energy market implementation.

In short, the FMG members continue to support a go-slow, incremental approach with regard to GridFlorida's development. Initially, efforts should focus predominately on issues related to enhancing the coordination of grid operations. There is likely to be consensus that, at least with regard to certain transmission functions, greater cooperation and independent oversight would be beneficial and likely achievable in the foreseeable future. Eventually there may be opportunities for expanding the scope of such coordination, such as through the implementation of energy markets, but such discussions should remain conceptual for the time being.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

In light of their general view that market design issues should be deferred, the FMG members are hesitant to state definitive positions regarding any of the market design components identified by the Applicants. The FMG members are also somewhat befuddled by

2

² SPP, ERCOT, and the Midwest ISO, for example, have performed ISO-type transmission functions for several years, but have not yet implemented energy markets.

³ The FMG suspects that the Applicants feel similarly, as suggested by their decision to list issues without taking positions.

the approach taken by the Applicants in the "draft documents" they circulated on April 29, 2004, looking toward the May 19-21, 2004 Market Design Workshop. In the materials they circulated before the March 17-18, 2004 Pricing Workshop, the Applicants stated specific positions on most of the issues identified by the FPSC Staff. That led to a focused set of stakeholder responses and efficient discourse during the March 17-18 Workshop. By contrast, in the materials circulated on April 29th, no positions are stated. Instead, the Applicants have elected only to identify issues and suggest considerations, and to invite us, the stakeholders, to provide the preferred solutions. It is not clear why this tactic is being employed. As recently as September 2002, when they filed their "Petition of the GridFlorida Companies Regarding Prudence of GridFlorida Market Design," the Applicants appeared to be in lock-step with one another over the design and timing of a Florida RTO-based energy market. Does the current invitation to go back and revisit old issues (e.g., physical rights vs. financial rights, flowgate vs. nodal-based congestion management, balanced vs. unbalanced schedules) indicate a difference of opinion among the Applicants, reconsideration on each of the Applicant's parts on the earlier model, or perhaps a desire to be more inclusive regarding the development of the RTO? Whatever the reason, this approach seems ill-conceived, if the objective of the FPSC and the Applicants is actually to reach consensus on these issues and especially if meaningful dialog is to be had during the upcoming workshop.

Having said that, rest assured the FMG members planning to attend and participate in the May 19-21 Workshop will come prepared to do their part to make the session constructive. To this end, below the FMG has offered its current thinking regarding several of these issues. The viewpoints are offered for discussion purposes only, with each FMG member reserving the right to take other positions as more experience is gained.

Bidding Requirements - The Applicants identify several alternatives to govern bidding behavior in energy markets, such as a requirement that all resources be bid into the market, cost-based versus market-based bidding, and limits on the use of real-time markets to encourage forward contracting. The FMG is of the view that fairly prescriptive

3

bidding rules will likely be required in Florida when and if energy markets are implemented. The lack of import capability means the state essentially functions as a large load pocket within which there exists a heightened potential for market power. The Applicants' individual and collective dominance in the generation market within the FRCC virtually assures that this market power in fact exists and that it would be exploited were the opportunity to do so presented. Thus, unconstrained bidding is likely to invite anticompetitive behavior and periodic spikes in prices above acceptable levels. In the past, the FMG has supported balanced schedule requirements in order to protect against such outcomes. Other measures, such as the imposition of cost-based bidding requirements on certain sellers and must-offer obligations, will likely be required as well.

- \triangleright Transmission Rights - The FMG's view of transmission rights has evolved over time, from one strongly supporting the use of traditional physical reservation concepts to tacit acceptance that appropriately structured financial rights may produce certain benefits. It is obvious, however, that a single perfect solution for pricing transmission service and managing congestion has not yet emerged. The data coming out of energy markets in other parts of the country has ranged from inconclusive to discouraging, with congestion costs in some areas increasing far more rapidly than the infrastructure investments needed to alleviate such congestion. The ultimate goal must be to minimize the costs that are passed through to consumers and encourage the appropriate investment in transmission infrastructure to alleviate constraints. At this point, there is simply no commonly accepted "best practice" for how to accomplish these objectives. Until one emerges, the FMG favors the status quo of reserving capacity on a physical basis. This is a particular concern for Tallahassee to the extent that unscheduled parallel power flows over its system may be converted into financial transmission rights that benefit other users, but would not compensate Tallahassee for the burden placed on its facilities or the constraints placed on the use of such facilities.
- Control Areas The Applicants identify several options for how to configure Control Area operations in an RTO (*e.g.*, consolidation, multiple Control Areas with independent operation of a bilateral market, hierarchal Control Areas, etc.). The FMG members are opposed to any forced Control Area consolidation. At least for the foreseeable future, consolidation (other than that which has occurred through bilateral negotiations) could jeopardize reliability while likely having few, if any, tangible benefits. Nevertheless, greater coordination and communication between Control Areas is likely to be beneficial and this may be an area where an RTO could be useful.
- Market Monitor There is little question that energy markets must be monitored, although the entity performing such monitoring and the authority entrusted to it are subject to debate. The FMG members believe that the FPSC and FERC should ultimately retain their full authority without any delegation to a third party market monitor. Thus, while the

FMG members would not oppose the designation of a third party to perform data collection, review, and analysis functions, and to recommend market reforms, they would presently object to shifting any enforcement authority away from the FPSC or FERC, either to the RTO or to a third party market monitor.

- Market Mitigation As suggested above, cost-based bidding requirements are likely to be required in Florida due to the lack of import capacity and the high probability of market power. The same factors suggest that market mitigation measures will also be required. Price mitigation concepts continue to evolve in other parts of the country. For example, on May 6, 2004, FERC issued an order in a PJM proceeding and attempted to provide guidance as to how "must-run" generators should be compensated.⁴ The order identifies certain locational and auction-based alternatives to traditional market-wide price caps. If and when Florida implements energy markets, there will likely be a variety of market mitigation alternatives to consider.
- Resource Adequacy An RTO is not needed to set or enforce resource adequacy requirements, and the FMG would oppose the delegation of such authority to an RTO. At some point in the future, there may be support for a short-term installed capacity market of some kind, even though such mechanisms have not fared particularly well elsewhere. If an RTO is formed in Florida, its most immediate role with regard to long-term resource planning is likely to come in the form of data collection and analysis, for example, forecasting load growth.
- Capacity Benefit Margins (CBM) The FMG would oppose any proposal to eliminate CBM in the near-term. Until markets have proven themselves, eliminating transmission and generation set-asides would potentially jeopardize reliability. This is particularly true across the Florida-Georgia interface, and particularly important for Tallahassee, where substantial loop flows and a weak regional transmission grid have combined to require the use of CBM to protect the system's ability to import power during contingency events.

⁴ See PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Order on Tariff Filing, issued on May 6, 2004, in FERC Docket No. EL03-236-000.

CONCLUSION

The FMG requests that the responses set forth above be taken under consideration in

Staff's planning for, and in discussion at, the workshop scheduled for May 19-21, 2004.

Respectfully submitted,

Douglast. Jour Douglas F. John

Matthew T. Rick JOHN & HENGERER 1200 17th Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 429-8801

Counsel for the Florida Municipal Group

Dated at Washington, D.C. this 12th day of May, 2004.

4

.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing letter has been furnished by U.S. Mail, this 13th day of May, 2004, to the following:

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

William Cochran Keating, IV Jennifer S. Brubaker Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 Ph: 850-413-6193 Fax: 850-413-6194 e-mail:<u>wkeating@psc.state.fl.us</u> jbrubake@psc.state.fl.us

OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL

Office of Public Counsel Jack Shreve/J. Roger Howe 111 W. Madison Street, #812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 Ph: 850-488-9330 Fax: 850-488-4491 e-mail: howe.roger@leg.state.fl.us

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

Lee L. Willis James D. Beasley Ausley & McMullen Post Office Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Ph: 850-224-9115 Fax: 850-222-7952 e-mail: <u>lwillis@ausley.com</u> <u>ibeasley@ausley.com</u> Attorneys for Tampa Electric Company Harry W. Long, Jr. Assistant General Counsel Tampa Electric Company Post Office Box 111 Tampa, Florida 33601 Ph: 813-228-7102 Fax: 813-228-1770 e-mail: <u>hwlong@tecoenergy.com</u>

Tampa Electric Company Angela Llewellyn Regulatory Affairs Post Office Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601-0111 Ph: 813-228-1752 Fax: 813-228-1770 e-mail: <u>alllewellyn@tecoenergy.com</u>

Michael J. Rustum Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky 2101 L Street N.W. Washington, DC 20037-1526 Ph: 202-861-9178 Fax: 202-887-0689 e-mail: <u>rustumm@dsmo.com</u>

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION

Progress Energy Florida, Inc. James A. McGee, Esquire Post Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733 Ph: 727-820-5184 Fax: 727-820-5519 e-mail: jmcgee@tampabay.rr.com Attorney for Florida Power Corporation David Goroff Peter K. Matt Bruder, Gentile & Marcoux, L.L.P. 1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Suite 510-East Washington, D.C. 20005-3934 Ph: 202-783-1350 Fax: 202-737-9117 e-mail: <u>degoroff@brudergentile.com</u> Attorneys for Florida Power Corporation

Florida Power Corporation Paul Lewis, Jr. 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740 Ph: 850-222-8738, 727-820-5184 Fax: 850-222-9768 e-mail: paul.lewisjr@pgnmail.com

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT CO.

Rutledge Law Firm Kenneth Hoffman Post Office Box 551 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Ph: 850-681-6788 Fax: 850-681-6515 e-mail: <u>ken@reuphlaw.com</u> Attorneys for Florida Power & Light Co.

Bill Walker 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859 Ph: 850-521-3900 Fax: 850-521-3939 e-mail: <u>bill_walker@fpl.com</u>

R. Wade Litchfield, Esq.
Law Department
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0429
Ph: 561-691-7101
Fax: 561-691-7135
e-mail: wade litchfield@fpl.com

CALPINE CORPORATION DUKE ENERGY NORTH AMERICA MIRANT AMERICAS DEVELOPMENT INC.

Leslie J. Paugh, P.A. Post Office Box 16069 Tallahassee, FL 32317-6069 Ph: 850-656-3411 Fax: 850-656-7040 e-mail: <u>lpaugh@paugh-law.com</u> Attorneys for Calpine Corporation, Mirant Americas Development, Inc. Duke Energy North America

Calpine Corporation Thomas W. Kaslow The Pilot House, 2nd Floor Lewis Wharf Boston, MA 02110 Ph: 617-723-7200, ex. 393 Fax: 617-557-5353 e-mail: <u>tkaslow@calpine.com</u>

Duke Energy North America Lee E. Barrett 5400 Westheimer Court Houston, TX 77056-5310 Ph: 713-627-6519 Fax: 713-627-6566 e-mail: <u>lebarrett@duke-energy.com</u>

Mirant Americas Development, Inc. Beth Bradley 1155 Perimeter Center West Atlanta, GA 30338-5416 Ph: 678-579-3055 Fax: 678-579-5819 e-mail: <u>beth.bradley@mirant.com</u>

DYNEGY INC., PUBLIX, ORLANDO UTILITIES COMMISSION

Gray, Harris & Robinson, P.A. (Orl) Thomas Cloud/W.C. Browder/P. Antonacci 301 East Pine Street, Suite 1400 Orlando, FL. 32801 Ph:407-244-5624, 407-843-8880 Fax: 407-244-5690 e-mail: tcloud@grayharris.com cbrowder@grayharris.com Attorneys for Dynegy, Publix and OUC

Orlando Utilities Commission Wayne Morris/Thomas Washburn Post Office Box 3193 Orlando, FL 32802-3193 Ph: 407-423-9100, 407-384-4066 Fax: 407-423-9198 e-mail: <u>twashburn@ouc.com</u>

Dynegy Inc. David L. Cruthirds 1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5800 Houston, TX 77002-5050 Ph: 713-507-6785 Fax: 713-507-6834 e-mail: <u>david.cruthirds@dynegy.com</u>

Publix Super Markets, Inc. John Attaway Post Office Box 32015 Lakeland, FL 33802-2018 Ph: 863-686-8754 Fax: 863-616-5704 e-mail: johnattaway@mail.publix.com

SEMINOLE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. SEMINOLE MEMBER SYSTEMS

Foley & Lardner Law Firm Thomas J. Maida/N. Wes Strickland 106 East College Ave., Suite 900 Tallahassee, FL 32301-3369 Ph: 850-222-6100, 850-513-3369 Fax: 850-224-3101 e-mail: <u>tmaida@foleylaw.com</u> <u>nstrickland@foleylaw.com</u> Attorneys for Seminole Electric Coop.

William T. Miller
Miller Law Firm
1140 19th St., NW, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20036
Ph: 202-296-2960
Fax: 202-296-0166
e-mail: <u>wmiller@mbolaw.com</u>
Attorneys for Seminole Electric
Cooperative, Inc.

Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc. Timothy Woodbury 16313 North Dale Mabry Highway Tampa, FL 33688-2000 Ph: 813-963-0994 Fax: 813-264-7906 e-mail: <u>twoodbury@seminole-</u> electric.com

FLORIDA ELECTRIC COOPERATIVES ASSOC., INC.

Michelle Hershel 2916 Apalachee Parkway Tallahassee, FL 32301 Ph: 850-877-6166 Fax: 850-656-5485 e-mail: <u>mhershel@feca.com</u>

CPV ATLANTIC, LTD., PG&E NATIONAL ENERGY GROUP CO.

Jon Moyle/Cathy Sellers/Dan Doorakian Moyle Law Firm The Perkins House, 118 N Gadsden St. Tallahassee, FL 32301 Ph: 850-681-3828 Fax: 850-681-8788 e-mail: jmoylejr@moylelaw.com Attorneys for CPV Atlantic, Inc. PG&E National Energy Group Co.

CPV Atlantic, Ltd. 146 NW Central Park Plaza, Suite 101 Port Saint Lucie, FL 34986

PG&E National Energy Group Co. Melissa Lavinson 7500 Old Georgetown Road Bethesda, MD 20814 Ph: 301-280-6887 Fax: 301-280-6379 e-mail: <u>melissa.lavinson@neg.pge.com</u>

RELIANT ENERGY POWER GENERATION, INC.

McWhirter Law Firm Vicki Kaufman/Joseph McGlothlin 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Ph: 850-222-2525 Fax: 850-222-5606 e-mail: jmcglothlin@mac-law.com vkaufman@mac-law.com Attorneys for Reliant Energy Power Generation, Inc.

Reliant Energy Power Generation, Inc. Michael Briggs 801 Pennsylvania Ave., Suite 620 Washington, DC 20004 Ph: 202-783-7220 Fax: 202-783-8127 e-mail: <u>mbriggs@reliant.com</u>

FLORIDA INDUSTRIAL POWER USERS GROUP

McWhirter Law Firm John McWhirter Post Office Box 3350 Tampa, FL 33601-3350 Ph: 813-224-0866 Fax: 813-221-1854 e-mail: jmcwhirter@mac-law.com Attorneys for Florida Industrial Power Users Group

REEDY CREEK IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT WALT DISNEY WORLD

Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP Daniel Frank 1275 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20004-2415 Ph: 202-383-0838, 202-383-0100 Fax: 202-637-3593 e-mail: <u>dfrank@sablaw.com</u> Attorneys for Reedy Creek and Walt Disney World

John Giddens Reedy Creek Improvement District Post Office Box 10000 Lake Buena Vista, FL 32830 Ph: 407-824-4892 Fax: 407-824-5396 e-mail: john.giddens@disney.com

Lee Schmudde 1375 Lake Buena Drive Fourth Floor North Lake Buena Vista, FL 32830

FLORIDA MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY

Frederick M. Bryant/Jody Lamar Finklea 2061-2 Delta Way Tallahassee, FL 32303 Ph: 850-297-2011 Fax: 850-297-2014 e-mail: <u>fred.bryant@fmpa.com</u> <u>jody.lamar.finklea@fmpa.com</u> Attorneys for Florida Municipal Power Agency

Spiegel & McDiarmid Cynthia Bogorad/David Pomper/J. Schwarz 1350 New York Ave., NW, Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005-4798 Ph: 202-879-4000 Fax: 202-393-2866 e-mail: <u>cynthia.bogorad@spiegelmcd.com</u> Co-counsel for Florida Municipal Power Agency

Florida Municipal Power Agency Robert C. Williams 8553 Commodity Circle Orlando, FL 32819-9002 Ph: 407-355-7767 Fax: 407-355-5794 e-mail: <u>bob.williams@fmpa.com</u>

CITY OF TALLAHASSEE LAKELAND ELECTRIC GAINESVILLE/KISSIMMEE

John & Hengerer Law Firm Douglas John/Matthew Rick 1200 17th Street, NW Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036-3013 Ph: 202-429-8801, 202-429-8809 Fax: 202-429-8805 e-mail: <u>djohn@jhenergy.com</u> <u>mrick@jhenergy.com</u> Attorneys for City of Tallahassee, Lakeland Electric, Gainesville and Kissimmee City of Tallahassee Paul Clark 400 East Van Buren Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Ph: 850-891-3130 Fax: 850-891-3138 e-mail: <u>clarkp@talgov.com</u>

Gainesville Regional Utilities/ City of Gainesville Ed Regan Post Office Box 147117, Station A136 Gainesville, FL 32614-7117 Ph: 352-334-1272, 352-334-3400x1260 Fax: 352-334-3151 e-mail: reganej@gru.com

Kissimmee Utility Authority Robert Miller 1701 West Carroll Street Kissimmee, FL 32746 Ph: 407-933-7777 Fax: 407-847-0787 e-mail: <u>rmiller@kua.com</u>

Lakeland Electric Paul Elwing 501 E. Lemon Street Lakeland, FL 33801-5079 Ph: 863-834-6531 Fax: 863-834-6362 e-mail: paul.elwing@lakelandgov.net

JACKSONVILLE ELECTRIC AUTHORITY

Suzanne Brownless, P.A. 1975 Buford Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32308-4466 Ph: 850-877-5200 Fax: 850-878-0090 e-mail: <u>sbrownless@comcast.net</u> Attorney for JEA P. G. Para 21 West Church Street Jacksonville, FL 32202-3139 Ph: 904-665-6208 904-665-4238 Fax: e-mail: parapg@jea.com Dick Basford & Associates, Inc. 5616 Fort Sumter Road Jacksonville, FL 32210 Ph: 904-771-3575 573-7971 Fax: e-mail: dbasford@attbi.com

Michael Wedner 117 West Duval Street, Suite 480 Jacksonville, FL 32202 Ph: 904-630-1834 Fax: 904-630-1316 e-mail: <u>mwedner@coj.net</u>

SOUTH FLORIDA HOSPITAL and HEALTHCARE ASSOCIATION

Mark Sundback/Kenneth Wiseman Andrews & Kurth Law Firm 1701 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006 Ph: 202-662-2700 Fax: 202-662-2739 e-mail: <u>msundback@andrews-</u> <u>kurth.com</u> Attorneys for South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Association

South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Association Linda Quick 6363 Taft Street Hollywood, FL 33024 Ph: 954-964-1660 Fax: 954-962-1260 e-mail: <u>lquick@sfhha.com</u>

FLORIDA RETAIL FEDERATION

Greenberg, Traurig Law Firm Ron LaFace/Seann M. Frazier 101 E. College Ave. Tallahassee, FL 32301 Ph: 850-222-6891 Fax: 850-681-0207 e-mail: <u>lafacer@gtlaw.com</u> <u>fraziers@gtlaw.com</u> Attorneys for Florida Retail Federation

Florida Retail Federation 100 E. Jefferson Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Ph: 850-222-3461 Fax: none e-mail: <u>bkelley@scholarship.org</u>

TRANS-ELECT, INC.

Katz, Kutter Law firm Bill Bryant, Jr./Natalie Futch 12th Floor 106 East College Avenue Tallahassee, FL 32301 Ph: 850-224-9634 Fax: 850-222-0103 e-mail: <u>natalief@katzlaw.com</u> Attorneys for Trans-Elect, Inc.

Trans-Elect, Inc. Alan J. Statman, General Counsel 1200 G Street NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20005 Ph: 202-393-1200 Fax: 202-393-1240 e-mail: <u>statman@wrightlaw.com</u>

SOLID WASTE AUTHORITY OF PALM BEACH COUNTY FLORIDA PHOSPHATE COUNCIL FLORIDA INDUSTRIAL COGENERATION ASSOC.

Richard Zambo 598 SW Hidden River Ave. Palm City, FL 34990 Ph: 772-220-9163 Fax: 772-220-9402 e-mail: <u>richzambo@aol.com</u> Attorney for Solid Waste Authority Florida Phosphate Council Florida Industrial Cogeneration Assoc.

Solid Waste Authority Dr. Marc C. Bruner 7501 North Jog Road West Palm Beach, FL 33412 Ph: 561-640-4000, ex. 5607 Fax: 561-640-3400 e-mail: <u>mcbruner@swa.org</u>

Florida Phosphate Council Susan Barfield 1435 East Piedmont Drive, Suite 211 Tallahassee, FL 32308 Ph: 850-224-8238 Fax: 850-224-8061 e-mail: <u>susan@flaphos.org</u>

LEE COUNTY

Landers Law Firm Wright/LaVia 310 West College Avenue Tallahassee, FL 32301 Ph: 850-681-0311 Fax: 850-224-5595 e-mail: <u>swright@landersandparsons.com</u> <u>jlavia@landersandparsons.com</u> Attorneys for Lee County

SUGARMILL WOODS CIVIC ASSOC.

Michael Twomey Post Office Box 5256 Tallahassee, FL 32314-5256 Ph: 850-421-9530 Fax: 850-421-8543 e-mail: <u>miketwomey@talstar.com</u> Attorney for Sugarmill Woods Civic Assoc.

By: Abudas F. 40th Doubles F. John