ORIGINAL

- -0- -

Matilda Sanders

	From:	Slaughter, Brenda [Brenda.Slaughter@BELLSOUTH.COM]	
	Sent:	Monday, June 21, 2004 11:58 AM	
	То:	Filings@psc.state.fl.us	
	Cc:	Nancy Sims; Fatool, Vicki; Bixler, Micheale; Holland, Robyn P.; Meza, James; Nancy White; Peters, Evelyn	
	Subject:	Docket 040488-TP	
	Importance	: High	
	 A. Brenda Slaughter Legal Secretary for James Meza III BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. c/o Nancy Sims 150 South Monroe, Rm. 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1558 (404) 335-0714 brenda.slaughter@bellsouth.com B. Docket No. 040488-TP: Complaint of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Against IDS 		
	 Telcom, LLC to Enforce Interconnection Agreement Deposit Requirements C. BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. on behalf of James Meza III D. 6 pages total E. BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Answer to IDS Telcom LLC Counterclaim 		
CMP	BellSouth T Suite 4300 675 W. Pea Atlanta, GA	ughter (on behalf of James Meza III) elecommunications, Inc. - Legal Department ichtree Street 30375-0001	
СОМ	Phone: (40	4) 335-0714	
CTR			
ECR	<<040488-TP	BellSouth Answer to Counterclaim.pdf>>	
GCL			
OPC	****		
MMS		ormation transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may	
RCA	other u	contain confidential, proprietary, and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon this information by persons or entities other than the	
SCR	intende	d recipient is prohibited. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and delete the DOCUMENT NUMBER-D	
SEC		06825 JUN 21	

OTH <u>6/21</u>/2004

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK



OMGINAL

Legal Department

JAMES MEZA III Attorney BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Room 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (404) 335-0769

June 21, 2004

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayó Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: 040488-TP – Complaint of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., Against IDS Telcom LLC to Enforce Interconnection Agreement Deposit Requirements

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed is BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Answer to IDS Telcom LLC's Counterclaim. We ask that you file this document in the captioned docket.

Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

James Do James Meza III

Enclosures

cc: All Parties of Record Marshall M. Criser III R. Douglas Lackey Nancy B. White

DOCUMENT NUMPER-CATE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 040488-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via

Electronic Mail and Facsimile this 21st day of June, 2004 to the following:

Patty Christensen Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission Division of Legal Services 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 Tel. No. (850) 413-6191 Fax. No. (850) 413-6221 pchriste@psc.state.fl.us

Norman H. Horton, Jr. Meser, Caparello & Self, P.A. 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 701 P.O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876 Tel. No. (850) 222-0720 Fax No. (850) 224-4359 <u>nhorton@lawfla.com</u> Represents IDS

James Meza I



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Complaint of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.) Against IDS Telcom, LLC to Enforce Interconnection) Agreement Deposit Requirements)

Docket No.: 040488-TP

Filed: June 21, 2004

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S ANSWER TO IDS'S COUNTERCLAIM

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") submits this Answer to the Counterclaim filed by IDS Telcom, LLC ("IDS"):

1. BellSouth admits that the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") has jurisdiction to resolve disputes under the Interconnection Agreement. BellSouth denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 1 of the Counterclaim.

2. BellSouth denies the allegations contained in paragraph 2 of the Counterclaim.

3. BellSouth admits that the parties' Interconnection Agreement contains Section 13 of the General Terms and Conditions and that IDS has quoted a portion of that section of the Interconnection Agreement in paragraph 3 of the Counterclaim. Section 13 of the General Terms and Conditions of the Interconnection Agreement speaks for itself and is the best evidence of its terms and conditions. It should be noted, however, that the quoted language refers to the adoption of any "interconnection, service, or n etwork element provided under any other a greement filed and a pproved pursuant to 47 USC Section 252." IDS's request to adopt deposit language from the Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc.'s ("Supra") agreement, language that does not exist, does not amount to "interconnection, service, or network

06825 JUN 21 3

element" and thus is not available for adoption pursuant to Section 252(i) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the "Act"). Further, BellSouth denies that IDS can use Section 252(i) to circumvent the deposit obligations agreed to by the parties in the Interconnection Agreement.

4. BellSouth admits that on December 31, 2003, Angel Leiro of IDS requested via e-mail that IDS "adopt . . . deposit requirement provisions; [sic] between BellSouth and Supra Telecommunications & Information Systems, Inc. . . ." BellSouth denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 4 of the Counterclaim.

5. BellSouth denies the allegations of paragraph 5 of the Counterclaim, except to admit that, on February 11, 2004, Martha Romano of BellSouth responded to IDS's December 31, 2003 request. This response speaks for itself and is the best evidence of its terms and conditions.

6. BellSouth admits that Angel Leiro of IDS submitted a letter to BellSouth dated February 16, 2004. This letter speaks for itself and is the best evidence of its terms and conditions. BellSouth denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 6 of the Counterclaim.

7. BellSouth denies the allegations in Paragraph 7 of the Counterclaim, except to admit that on March 11, 2004, BellSouth responded to IDS's letter of February 16, 2004. This letter speaks for itself and is the best evidence of its terms and conditions.

BellSouth denies the allegations of Paragraph 8 of the Counterclaim, except to admit that IDS submitted an e-mail to BellSouth on or about April 22, 2004.
 IDS's e-mail speaks for itself and is the best evidence of its terms and conditions.

2

9. BellSouth denies the allegations of Paragraph 9 of the Counterclaim, except to admit that on or about May 10, 2004, BellSouth responded via e-mail to IDS's April 22, 2004 e-mail. BellSouth's response speaks for itself and is the best evidence of its terms and conditions.

10. BellSouth denies the allegations of Paragraph 10 of the Counterclaim.

11. BellSouth denies the allegations of Paragraph 11 of the Counterclaim.

12. BellSouth denies that IDS is entitled to any of the relief sought in Paragraph 12 of the Counterclaim.

13. BellSouth denies that IDS is entitled to any of the relief requested in the WHEREFORE clause.

14. Any allegation not expressly admitted herein, is denied.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

1. IDS's Counterclaim fails to state a cause of action upon which relief can be granted.

2. IDS's Counterclaim is barred by the fact that IDS has violated the current Interconnection Agreement by failing to comply with the applicable deposit obligations contained therein, all as set forth in BellSouth's Complaint.

3. IDS's Counterclaim is barred because IDS has breached the covenant of good faith and fair dealing in respect to its blatant attempt to avoid its deposit requirements by abusing Section 252(i) of the Act.

3

Respectfully submitted this 21st day of June, 2004.

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

NANCY B. WHTE

c/o Nancy Sims 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301 (305) 347-5558

C a es R. DOUGLAS LACKEY

.

JAMES MEZA III Suite 4300 675 W. Peachtree St., NE Atlanta, GA 30375 (404) 335-0769

541769