ORIGINAL

REQUEST FOR CHANGE TO AGENDA CONFERENCE HAND DELIVER

RECEIVED-IPPSO

ta da santa	****
Date of Request: 6/29/2004 Date of Agenda Conference: 7/6/2004 Item No. 5	20
Docket No.: 040086-El Brief Title: Allied's petition to vacate settlement order	ソフ
Requested by: Staff Other Allied's attorney CLERK	
Please attach a copy of the written documentation filed (IF OTHER) STAFF's Recommendation to Executive Suite (IF OTHER) Approve Request Deny Request	
ACTION REQUESTED [see APM 2.11]	
The state of the s	Λ
Date: Pending Thurw of Moham for Clause 18 & Change Order of Item or Take Up at Time Certain	יוע
☐ Withdraw Item (not expected to return to Agenda)	
Late Filed Recommendation (must be filed no later than 3:00 p.m. on the date approved for late filing) A copy of the front page of the recommendation must be provided to CCA by 12 noon on the regular filing date for use as a place-holder during agenda preparation.	
Add Item to Published Agenda [see Section 120.525(2), F.S.] - Issue an ADDENDUM and give Legal NOTICE	_
Add Emergency Item to Published Agenda [see Section 120.525 (3), F.S.] – Issue an ADDENDUM and Give Fair NOTICE	_
Concise explanation, justification or comments (attach additional sheet if necessary):	
This item addresses motions to dismiss Allied's petition filed by TECO and Odyssey. Staff has recommended ECR	_
that the petition be dismissed. Allied states in its request for deferral that it intends to file a motion for leave to get amend its petition this week. TECQ and Odyssey have objected to the deferral. Allied's request and the patties	_
objections are attached. The item should be deferred to allow time to address the motion for leave to amend the pc petition.	_
MMS	
RCA	
SCR	
SEC _\	
Signature (Technical Staff): White Duple Initials (Division Director or Designee): OTH Bay	0
Signature (Legal Staff): Marka Carler Brown Initials (General Counsel or Designee): Rom The	yk YK
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR:	
Recommendation to the Chairman's Office Approve Request Deny Request	
Initials: ////// Date: ///////	
Recommendation to the Chairman's Office Initials: MAB Date: 7/1/04 Comments: Support legal's recommendation to grant The defensel. This will allow time to address the motion for Ceaul to Shifted amend The petition: CHAIRMAN'S OFFICE: Approve Request Deny Request Deny Request	
CHAIRMAN's OFFICE: Approve Request Deny Request	
CHAIRMAN's OFFICE: Initials: Date: 1/04 Deny Request	
Executive Suite will send the original to the Division of Commission Clerk & Administrative Services and return copy to the requesting staff after the Chairman's Office takes action on this request. Requesting staff should distribute copies to the Division Directors (OPR &	

07228 JUL-18

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

OCR) and Attorney assigned to the docket.

RUTLEDGE, ECENIA, PURNELL & HOFFMAN

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

STEPHEN A. EÇENIA RICHARD M. ELLIS KENNETH A. HÖFFMAN THOMAS W. KONRAD MICHAEL G. MAIDA MARTIN P. MCDÖNNELL J. STEPHEN MENTON POST OFFICE BOX 551. 32302-0551 215 SOUTH MONROE STREET, SUITE 420 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301-1841

> TELEPHONE (850) 681-6788 TELECOPIER (850) 681-6515

> > June 29, 2004

R. DAVID PRESCOTT HAROLD F.X. PURNELL MARSHA E. FIULÉ GARY FI. RUTLEDGE

GOVERNMENTAL CONSULTANT3
MAROARETA. MENDUN;
M. LANE STEPHENS

VIA TELECOPIER AND HAND DELIVERY

The Honorable Braulio L. Baez Chairman Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Allied Universal Corporation and Chemical Formulators, Inc. v. Tampa Electric Copmany; Docket No. 040086-EI

Dear Chairman Baez:

I represent Allied Universal Corporation and Chemical Formulators, Inc. ("Allied/CFI"), the Petitioners in the above-referenced docket.

On June 24, 2004, the Staff issued a recommendation in the above-referenced docket recommending that Allied/CFI's Petition be dismissed with prejudice. The Recommendation is currently scheduled for consideration by the Commission at the July 6, 2004 Agenda Conference. The Office of Public Counsel ("OPC") has been granted intervention in the docket. OPC has filed an independent request with the Commission in this docket asking that the Commission investigate the Contract Service Agreement between. Tampa Electric Company ("TECO") and Odyssey Manufacturing Company ("Odyssey").' The recently issued Staff Recommendation offers no analysis of OPC's independent request but instead indicates that OPC's independent request should be considered at a later stage in the proceeding - - a notion that appears inconsistent with Staff's recommendation that the Allied/CFI Petition be dismissed with prejudice and the docket closed.

The purpose of this letter is to request that the Commission defer consideration of the Staff

¹OPC's Motion for Public Service Commission to Examine the Contract Service Agreement between TECO and Odyssey filed April 23, 2004.

Page 2 June 29, 2004

Recommendation. Allied/CFI intends to file a Motion for Leave to file an Amended Complaint and a Proposed Amended Complaint by the end of this week. It is, of course, within Allied/CFI's procedural rights to move to file an Amended Complaint and the parties to this proceeding may wish to file responses to that Motion. In addition, OPC has filed a Motion that raises the issue of whether the Commission should treat certain documents and deposition transcripts as confidential information when such documents are filed as unsealed, public records in related litigation bewteen Allied/CFI and Odyssey in Miami-Dade County Circuit Court.²

It should be readily apparent that OPC and Allied/CFI require a ruling on the issue of whether certain information should be treated as confidential as a prerequisite to a full and open forum at an Agenda Conference on Allied/CFI's proposed Amended Petition and OPC's independent motion requesting the Commission to examine the CSA between TECO and Odyssey.

Accordingly, Allied/CFI requests deferral of the consideration by the Commission of the Staff Recommendation issued on June 24, 2004 in this docket and that Staff issue a revised recommendation addressing:

- (1) OPC's pending motions referenced above; and
- (2) Allied/CFI's anticipated Motion for Leave to File Amended Petition, proposed Amended Petition, and my responses to the Motion for Leave to File Amended Petition.

Respectfully submitted,

Lew M. Hoffen

Kenneth A. Hoffman

KAH/rl

Commissioner Lila A. Jaber, via hand delivery
Commissioner J. Terry Deason, via hand delivery
Commissioner Charles M. Davidson, via hand delivery
Commissioner Rudolph Bradley, via hand delivery
Manuel Arisso, Aide to Chairman Baez, via hand delivery
JoAnn Chase, Aide to Commissioner Jaber, via hand delivery
Cayce Hinton, Aide to Commissioner Deason, via hand delivery

²OPC's Motion for Determination of the Proper Treatment of Deposition Transcript of Mr. Patrick Allman filed May 21, 2004.

Jun-29-2004 08:40 From-RUTLEDGE ECENIA et al 8506816516 T-376 P.004 F-192

RUTLEDGE, ECENIA, PURNELL & HOFFMAN

Page 3 June 29, 2004

į.

Katrina Tew, Aide to Commissioner Davidson, via hand delivery Larry Harris, Aide to Commissioner Bradley, via hand delivery Dr. Mary Bane, via hand delivery Richard Melson, General Counsel, via hand delivery Martha Carter-Brown, Esq., via hand delivery Stephen Burgess, Esq., via hand delivery Wayne Schiefelbein, ,Esq., via hand delivery Daniel K. Bandklayder, Esq., via U.S. Mail Harry W. Long, Jr., Esq., via telecopier James D. Beasley, Esq., via hand delivery

Allied\bacz.ltr



TAMPA ELECTRIC

July 1, 2004

The Honorable Braulio L.Baez Chairman Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 323*99-08*50

Re: Allied Universal Corporation and Chemical Formulators, Inc. v. Tampa Electric

Company; Docket No. 040086-EI

Dear Chairman Baez

In a letter addressed to you on June 29, 2004, counsel for Allied Universal Corporation and Chemical Formulators, Inc. ("Allied/CFI") asked that the Commission defer consideration of Staff's June 24, 2004 recommendation that Allied/CFI's Petition in this proceeding be dismissed with prejudice. In support of this request, Allied/CFI contends that the Commission's failure to address contemporaneously certain independent motions filed by the Office of Public Counsel in this proceeding and Allied/CFI's stated intention to file for leave to amend its Petition require that the Commission's planned consideration of the Staffs June 24th recommendation at the July 6th Agenda Conference be deferred indefinitely. For the reasons discussed below, Tampa Electric respectfully submits that Allied/CFI's request is devoid of merit and should be denied.

As you may recall, Allied/CFI initiated this proceeding on January 30, 2004, with its Petition asking the Commission to set aside the settlement approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-01-1003-AS-EI, issued on April 24, 2001 in Docket No. 000061-EL. On February 19, 2004 both Tampa Electric and Odyssey filed motions to dismiss Allied/CFI's petition. On February 20, 2004, Allied/CFI requested an additional 15 days to respond to the motions to dismiss, "in order to adequately and fully respond to Odyssey's Motion to Dismiss, which is over forty pages, and TECO's Motion to Dismiss which is fourteen pages." On March 2, 2004, Commissioner Jaber, as the Prehearing Officer, granted Allied/CFI's motion based on her stated belief that the Commission would benefit in its deliberations from the more thorough responses to the motions to dismiss that might result from granting Allied/CFI additional time to consider and prepare its responses.

On March 12, 2004, Allied/CFI filed a detailed, twenty-five page response to the Motions to Dismiss. Over the next 16 weeks, the Commission Staff carefully considered the relevant pleadings and, On June 24, issued its recommendation that Allied/CFI's petition be dismissed, with prejudice.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
P. a. BOX 311 TAMPA, FL 33601-01

Allied/CFI was given additional time to respond to the Motions to Dismiss precisely so that it would have time to thoroughly address the issues raised. If, after contemplating the arguments raised in those motions, Allied felt that it needed to request permission amend its Retition, it could have and should have done so in March. Instead, Allied/CFI filed a lengthy response in opposition to the Motions. The June 24th Staff recommendation raised no new facts or arguments. Instead, the Staff's recommendation was based on a reasoned evaluation of the facts and arguments raised by the Parties. Allied/CFI's sudden and urgent desire to amend its Petition is nothing more that a delaying tactic in response to an adverse but factually and legally sound Staff recommendation.

As noted above, the **Staff** recognized that, under the **facts** presented in this matter, it would **be impossible for Allied/CFI to amend** its Petition in **a** manner that **would cure the fatal defects in its** pleading. In his June 29th Letter, Mr. **Hoffman failed** to make even the most modest offer **of proof as** to what kind of **amendment** Allied/CFI could **offer** that would rehabilitate its Petition **in** light of **the** Staffs conclusion.

As an afterthought, Allied/CFI suggests that the Commission cannot rule on the Motions to Dismiss without addressing the Office of Public Counsel's ("OPC") April 24, 2004 Motion at the same time. While it is not clear why Allied/CFI feels compelled and empowered to speak for OPC, the asserted insoluble linkage between the OPC motion and Allied/CFI's petition does not exist.

It is clear that OPC did not intend to link its April 24th Motion to Allied/CFI's January 30th Petition in this proceeding. Therefore, the Staffs proposal to address the OPC motion as a separate matter is entirely appropriate and provides no reasonable justification for deferring consideration of the Staff's recommendation to dismiss the Allied/CFI Petition with prejudice.

For the reasons discussed above, Tampa Electric urges the Commission to adhere to its announced intention to consider the Satff's June 24th recommendation in this proceeding at the Commission's July 6th Agenda Conference.

Respectfully submitted,

Harry W. Long, Assistant General Counsel

Tampa Electric Company

HWL/ck

The Honorable Braulio L. Baez July 1,2004
Page 3

- cc Commissioner Lila A. Jaber Commissioner J. Terry Deason Commissioner Charles M. Davidson Commissioner Rudolph Bradley
 - Manual Arisso, Aide to Chairman Baez
 JoAnn Chase, Aide to Commissioner Jaber
 Cayce Hinton, Aide to Commissioner Deason
 Katrina Tew, Aide to Commissioner Davidson
 Larry Harris, Aide to Commissioner Bradley
 Richard Melson, General Counsel
 Martha Carter-Brown, Esquire
 Stephen Burgess, Esquire
 Kenneth Hoffman, Esquire
 John L. Wharton, Esquire

LAW OFFICES

ROSE, SUNDSTROM & BENTLEY, LLP

2548 BLAIRSTONE PINES DRIVE TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301

FREDERICK L. ASCHAUER. JR.
CHRIS H. BENTLEY, P.A.
ROBERT C. BENNAN
DAVID F. CHESTER
F. MASSHALL DETERDING
JOHN R. JENKINS, R.A.
STEVEN T. MINDLIN, P.A. &
DAREN L. SHIPPY
WILLIAM E. SUNDSTRUM, P.A.
DIANE D. TREMOR, P.A.
JOHN L. WHARTON

(850) 877-6555 Fax (850) 656-4029 www.rsbattorneys.com CENTRAL FLORIDA OFFICE 600 S. NORTH LAKE BLVD., SUITE 160 ALTAMONTE SPRINGS, FLORIDA 32701 (407) 830-6331 FAX (407) 830-8522

MAKITH S. PRIEDMAN, P.A. VALERIE L. LORO

ROBERT M. C. ROSE, OF COUNSEL WAYNE L. SCHIEFELBEIN, OF COUNSEL

June 30, 2004

VIA TELECOPY AND HAND DELIVERY

The Honorable Braulio L. Baez Chairman Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Allied Universal Corporation and Chemical Formulators, Xnc. v. Tampa Electric Company; Docket No. 040086-EI

Our File No. 37018.01

Dear Chairman Baez:

We represent Odyssey Manufacturing Company, a party in the above-referenced administrative hearing.

On June 29, 2004, Petitioner, Allied Universal Corporation and Chemical Formulators, Inc., requested deferral of the Commission's consideration of a staff recommendation recommending that Allied's Petition be dismissed with prejudice which was scheduled for consideration by the Commission at the July 6, 2004 Agenda Conference. Allied's stated basis for this request is its intention to file a Motion for Leave to File an Amended Complaint and a proposed Amended Complaint by the end of this week

Allied's Motion for Leave will essentially request a fourth attempt to properly and lawfully state a cognizable claim in this docket since its initial filing on January 13, 2004. Staff has recommended that the Commission should dismiss Allied's Petition for failure to state a cause of action and has properly determined that the dismissal should be with prejudice because "it is clear on the face of the Petition that amendment will not cure its defects".

The Honorable Braulio L. Baez June 30,2004 Page 2

The Commission should decline to defer this five-and- a- half-month-old matter and thus delay its resolution even further. Allied's as-yet-unfiled Motion for Leave to Amend can only request two things: either a chance to amend an unamendable Petition, or a chance to file an entirely new Petition which states new issues based on new facts and which should properly be brought in a new docket.

For these reasons, Odyssey opposes the requested deferral.

Sincerely,

JOHN L. WHARTON

For the Firm

JLW/brm

Commissioner Lila A. Jaber, via hand delivery
Commissioner J. Terry Deason, via hand delivery
Commissioner Charles M. Davidson, via hand delivery
Commissioner Rudolph Bradley, via hand delivery
Manuel Arisso, Aide to Chairman Baez, via hand delivery
JoAnn Chase, Aide to Commissioner Jaber, via hand delivery
Cayce Hinton, Aide to Commissioner Deason, via hand delivery
Katrina Tew, Aide to Commissioner Davidson, via hand delivery
Larry Harris, Aide to Commissioner Bradley, via hand delivery
Richard Melson, General Counsel, via hand delivery
Martha Carter-Brown, Esquire, via hand delivery and telecopy
Stephen Burgess, Esquire, via telecopy
Kenneth Hoffman, Esquire, via telecopy

Odyssey\baez