

ORIGINAL

STATE OF FLORIDA

COMMISSIONERS:
BRAULIO L. BAEZ, CHAIRMAN
J. TERRY DEASON
LILA A. JABER
RUDOLPH "RUDY" BRADLEY
CHARLES M. DAVIDSON



OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL
RICHARD D. MELSON
GENERAL COUNSEL
(850) 413-6199

Public Service Commission

July 15, 2004

COMMISSIONER
CLERK

JUL 15 PM 3:49

RECEIVED-PSC

Martin Friedman, Esquire
Rose, Sundstrom & Bentley, LLP
600 S. North Lake Blvd., Suite 160
Altamonte Springs, FL 32701

Re: Docket No. 030443-WS – Application for Rate Increase in Pasco County by Labrador Utilities, Inc.

Dear Mr. Friedman:

By this letter, the Commission staff requests that Labrador Utilities, Inc., provide responses to the following data requests.

1. Please refer to the utility's Minimum Filing Requirements (MFR) Schedule E-14.
 - (a) The utility indicates at the top of page 1 of this Schedule that the data presented corresponds to "Customer Class: Mobile Home." Is it correct to assume that the footnote on the bottom of page 1 referencing the RV park does not apply to the data shown on the page?
 - (b) The utility indicates at the top of page 1 of this Schedule that the data presented applies for all meter sizes. Please list all meter sizes, other than 5/8" x 3/4" meters, that have been installed in the mobile home park.
 - (c) The utility indicates in footnotes on the bottom of pages 2 and 5 of this Schedule that additional E-14 pages were filed to correct the fact that there was no billing during the month of February 2003. However, the same footnote appears on pages 3 and 6 of this Schedule as well. Do the footnotes apply to the data on pages 3 and 6?
 - (d) Since the utility filed pages 3 and 6 of the Schedule to reflect February 2003 billing, is it correct to assume that pages 2 and 5 of the Schedule become irrelevant for the purpose of calculating rates?
 - (e) If the response to (d) is negative, please explain the relevance of pages 2 and 5 of Schedule E-14.

CMP _____
 COM _____
 CTR _____
 ECR _____
 GCL _____
 OPC _____
 MMS _____
 RCA _____
 SCR _____
 SEC 1
 OTH _____

DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE

07696 JUL 15 2004

PSC-COMMISSION CLERK

- (f) A review of page 3 of this Schedule indicates irregularities in the calculations of the percentage of totals in column (8). Please refile page 3 of this Schedule, correcting all irregularities.
 - (g) [#] The utility indicates at the top of page 4 of this Schedule that the data presented corresponds to "Customer Class: Mobile Home." However, the footnote on the bottom of page 4 of this Schedule references the RV park. Is it correct to assume that the footnote on the bottom of page 4 referencing the RV park does not apply to the data shown on the page?
 - (h) The calculations on page 4 of this Schedule, the Mobile Home wastewater billing analysis, should match the Mobile Home water billing analysis calculations on page 1 of this Schedule. However, a review of the calculations on page 4 indicates irregularities in the cumulative bills in column (3), the cumulative gallons in column (5) and the consolidated factors in column (7). Please refile page 4 of this Schedule, correcting all irregularities.
 - (i) The calculations on page 6 of this Schedule, the RV park wastewater billing analysis, should match the RV park water billing analysis calculations on page 3 of this Schedule. However, a review of the calculations on page 6 indicates irregularities in the percentage of totals in column (8) Please refile page 6 of this Schedule, correcting all irregularities.
2. Please refer to the Class B Utilities' Minimum Filing Requirements for Schedule E-2. MFR Schedule E-2 should be a revenue schedule at present and proposed rates. Specifically, this Schedule should "**Provide a calculation of revenues at present and proposed rates using the billing analysis.** Explain any differences between these revenues and booked revenues. If a rate change occurred during the test year, a revenue calculation must be made for each period." (emphasis added)
- (a) As indicated in the MFR instructions, the information presented on this Schedule should correspond to information presented on the utility's billing analysis (Schedule E-14). On pages 1 through 3 of this Schedule, the number of Mobile Home test year bills shown on line 2, column (2) is 9,886 bills. However, the number of Mobile Home bills from Schedule E-14, page 1 is listed as 9,972 bills. Please indicate which figure – 9,972 bills from Schedule E-14 or 9,886 bills from Schedule E-2 – is correct.
 - (b) If the response to (a) is 9,886 bills, please explain why there is a difference in the number of bills between Schedule E-14, page 1 and Schedule E-2, pages 1 through 3.
 - (c) If the response to (a) is 9,972 bills, is it correct that this changes the utility's calculation of the Mobile Home revenues [pages 2 and 3, line 2, column (4)], the requested BFC of \$5.66 [page 3, line 2, column (3)] and proposed revenues [page 3, line 2, column (4)]?

- (d) Is one of the purposes of page 2 of this Schedule to reflect 3,288 annualized RV park bills (correcting non-booked February billing), rather than the 3,014 RV park bills figure shown on page 1 of this Schedule?
 - (e) If the response to (d) is negative, please explain why the utility reflected different RV park bills on pages 1 and 2 of this Schedule.
 - (f) As indicated in the MFR instructions, the information presented on this Schedule should correspond to information presented on the utility's billing analysis (Schedule E-14). On page 3 of Schedule E-2, the utility indicates that the number of water gallons sold [line 5, column (2)] is 33,888,102 gallons. However, a calculation of the number of water gallons sold based on Schedule E-14, pages 1 and 3 indicates that 30,338,000 gallons were sold. Which is the correct figure -- the 33,888,102 gallons figure from Schedule E-2 or the 30,338,000 gallons figure calculated from Schedule E-14?
 - (g) If the response to (f) is that the figure from Schedule E-2, page 3 is correct, please explain why there is a difference in the number of billed water gallons when comparing Schedule E-2, page 3 to Schedule E-14, pages 1 and 3.
 - (h) If the response to (f) is that the figure calculated from Schedule E-14 is correct, is it correct that this changes the utility's calculations on page 3 of average consumption [line 4, column (2)], test year gallons [line 5, column (2)], the requested gallonage rate of \$3.50 [line 5, column (3)] and proposed revenues [line 5, column (4)]?
3. The following questions refer to pages 4 through 6 of the utility's Schedule E-2.
- (a) On pages 4 through 6 of this Schedule, the Mobile Home test year bills shown on line 2, column (2) is 9,862 bills. However, the number of Mobile Home bills from Schedule E-14, page 4 is listed as 9,972 bills. Which figure is correct -- the figure of 9,862 bills from Schedule E-2 or the figure of 9,972 bills from Schedule E-14?
 - (b) If the response to (a) is 9,862 bills, please explain why there is a difference in the number of bills between Schedule E-14, page 4 and Schedule E-2, pages 4 through 6.
 - (c) If the response to (a) is 9,972 bills, is it correct that this changes the utility's calculation of the Mobile Home revenues [pages 4 and 5, line 2, column (4)], the requested BFC of \$15.00 [page 6, line 2, column (3)] and proposed revenues [page 6, line 2, column (4)]?
 - (d) Is one of the purposes of page 5 of this Schedule to reflect 3,288 annualized RV park bills (correcting for February billing), rather than the 3,014 RV park bills figure shown on page 4 of this Schedule?
 - (e) If the response to (d) is negative, please explain why the utility reflected different RV park bills on pages 4 and 5 of this Schedule.

- (f) As indicated in the MFR instructions, the information presented on this Schedule should correspond to information presented on the utility's billing analysis (Schedule E-14). On page 6 of Schedule E-2, the utility indicates that the number of uncapped wastewater gallons sold [line 5, column (2)] is 33,888,102 gallons. However, a calculation of the number of uncapped wastewater gallons sold based on Schedule E-14, pages 4 and 6 indicates that 30,338,000 gallons were sold. Is the 33,888,102 gallons figure from Schedule E-2 the correct figure, or is the 30,338,000 gallons figure calculated from Schedule E-14 correct?
- (g) If the response to (f) is 33,888,102 gallons, please explain why there is a difference in the number of wastewater gallons when comparing Schedule E-2, page 6 to Schedule E-14, pages 4 and 6.
- (h) If the response to (f) is 30,338,000 gallons **OR** the response to Question 1 (c) is negative, is it correct that this changes the utility's calculations on page 6 of average consumption [line 4, column (2)], test year gallons [line 5, column (2)], the requested gallonage rate of \$6.50 [line 5, column (3)] and proposed revenues [line 5, column (4)]?
- (i) If the response to (h) is negative, please explain why these calculations would not change.

- 4. Please refile all pages of Schedule E-2 to reflect the utility's responses to Data Requests Nos. 2 and 3, above, plus any changes made necessary by the utility's responses to any other items included in this Data Request.
- 5. Please provide the consumption for the Mobile Home park and the master-metered RV park, by month, for the 2003 test year. The information should be provided in the following format:

<u>Month</u>	<u>Mobile Home Park</u>	<u>RV Park</u>	<u>Total Gals Sold for the Month</u>
January			
February			
March			
April			
May			
June			
July			
August			
September			
October			
November			
December			
TOTALS			

6. Please refer to Schedules E-1 and E-3 of the utility's MFRs.
- (a) Is the RV park master metered?
 - (b) Is the RV park the customer of record?
 - (c) If the RV park is master metered, is it more appropriate to treat / bill the RV park as a residential customer or as a general service / commercial customer? Please explain in detail why your choice in this response is more appropriate than the alternative.
 - (d) The utility has not proposed a wastewater gallonage cap for its residential customers. Did the utility intentionally not propose a wastewater gallonage cap?
 - (e) If the response to (d) is negative, at what monthly consumption level does the utility propose the wastewater gallonage cap be applied?
 - (f) If the response to (d) is negative, please provide the calculation of the utility's proposed wastewater gallonage cap. Please indicate the MFR page number, plus the corresponding line number and column heading, for each figure used in the wastewater gallonage cap calculation. Please note that if the wastewater gallonage cap is to apply to both the mobile home park and RV park customers, the utility must use consolidated factors from both these customer groups in its calculation.
 - (g) If the response to (d) is affirmative, is the utility aware of any cases that have come before the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC or Commission) in which the Commission found that wastewater gallonage caps for general service customers was appropriate?
 - (h) If the response to (g) is affirmative, please provide the utility's name and docket number corresponding to each case in which the Commission has found that wastewater gallonage caps for general service customers was appropriate.
 - (i) Please refile Schedule E-1 to reflect the utility's responses to parts (a) through (f) of this item, plus any changes made necessary by the utility's responses to any other questions included in this data request.
 - (j) If the response to (c) is that the RV park should be treated / billed as a general service / commercial customer, please refile Schedule E-3.

Martin Friedman, Esquire
Page 6
July 15, 2004

Please submit the requested information to the undersigned staff counsel by Monday, August 2, 2004. Please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6193 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,



Wm. Cochran Keating IV
Senior Attorney

WCK:jb

cc: Kathryn G. W. Cowdery
Division of Economic Regulation (Merchant, Lingo)
Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services (Docket File)