

Kay Flynn

030623-EI

To: Cochran Keating
Subject: RE: 030623

ORIGINAL

Thanks, Cochran.

I changed the title to agree with your second suggestion (named the parties). If you prepare the notice now, the corrected title will be available in CMS.

Kay

From: Cochran Keating
Sent: Wednesday, August 18, 2004 11:57 AM
To: Kay Flynn
Subject: RE: 030623

To finally answer your questions:

1. Other than FPL, the following should be listed as parties: Ocean Properties, Target, Dillard's, and J.C. Penney. Southeastern Utility Services should no longer be listed as a party.

2. I think it would be more accurate if we changed the docket title to remove the reference to Southeastern Utility Services. The title could be changed to read: "Complaints by various customers against Florida Power & Light Company concerning thermal demand meter error" or "Complaints by Ocean Properties, Ltd., J.C. Penney Corp., Target Stores, Inc., and Dillard's Department Stores, Inc., against Florida Power & Light Company concerning thermal demand meter error." Either way works for me. When you have changed the title, please let me know so I can accurately reflect the title in a notice I need to send out.

Thanks,
Cochran

CMP _____
COM _____
CTR _____
ECR _____
GCL _____
OPC _____
MMS _____
RCA _____
SCR _____
SEC 1
OTH Kim P

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Wednesday, July 07, 2004 4:30 PM
To: Cochran Keating
Cc: Kimberley Pena
Subject: RE: 030623

Cochran, I'm just following up on the e-mail (below) to see if you have been able to consider the questions?

Kay

-----Original Message-----

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2004 9:25 AM
To: Cochran Keating
Cc: Kimberley Pena
Subject: 030623

Cochran, in this complaint docket, what entities (other than FPL) should be marked as parties?

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

09023 AUG 18 03

8/18/2004

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

And should the title of the docket be changed to reflect names of the individual parties?

Kay

