State of Florida

ORIGINAL



Hublic Serbice Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD 10: 42 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

COMMISSION CLERK

DATE:

September 3, 2004

TO:

Wayne Makin, Division of Competitive Markets and Enforcement

FROM:

Denise N. Vandiver, Chief, Bureau of Auditing ()

Division of Regulatory Compliance and Consumer Assistance

RE:

Docket No. 040003-GU; Company Name: City Gas Co.;

Audit Purpose: Purchase Gas Adjustment Audit;

Audit Control No. 04-043-4-1

Attached is the final audit report for the utility stated above. I am sending the utility a copy of this memo and the audit report. If the utility desires to file a response to the audit report, it should send the response to the Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services. There are confidential work papers associated with this audit.

DNV/jcp Attachment

CC:

Division of Regulatory Compliance and Consumer Assistance (Hoppe, District

Offices, File Folder)

Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services (2)

Division of Competitive Markets and Enforcement (Harvey)

General Counsel

Office of Public Counsel

CMP ____

Rosie Abreu

COM

Regulatory & Business Affairs,

City Gas Company of Florida CTR

955 East 25th Street

ECR

Hialeah, FL 33013

GCL____

Mr. Gary V. Perko, Esq. Hopping Law Firm

OPC P. O. Box 6526 MMS ____

Tallahassee, FL 32314

RCA ____

Ms. Gloria L. Lopez, Director

SEC OTH

SCR

Regulatory & Business Affairs, City Gas Company of Florida

955 East 25th Street Hialeah, FL 33013

09839 SEP-98

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK



FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE AND CONSUMER ASSISTANCE

Miami District Office

City Gas Company Purchased Gas Adjustment Audit

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003

DOCKET #040003-GU AUDIT CONTROL NO. 04-043-4-1

> Ruth K. Young Audit Manager

Kathy Welch
Public Utilities Supervisor

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	AUDITOR'S REPORT	PAGE
	Purpose Disclaim Public Use	1
	Summary of Significant Procedures	2
II.	AUDIT DISCLOSURES	
	Background Information	4
	Off system Sales and Purchases, Gas Supply Purchases	6
	3. Co-op Sales	8
	4. Deferred Payment Contracts	10
	5. Enron Transaction	11
III.	EXHIBITS	12
	Company Filing for PGA for 12 months ended December 31, 2003	

DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE AND CONSUMER ASSISTANCE AUDITOR'S REPORT

September 1, 2004

TO: FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

We have applied the procedures described later in this report to audit the accompanying Purchased Gas Adjustment Schedules for the year ending December 31, 2003. These schedules were prepared by the utility as part of its Purchased Gas Adjustment true-up filings in Docket No. 040003-GU. Because of information supplied during this audit, we applied further procedures to determine if City Gas customers were harmed by activities of its non-regulated affiliate.

This is an internal accounting report prepared after preforming a limited scope audit. Accordingly, this report should not be relied upon for any purpose except to assist the Commission staff in the performance of their duties. Substantial additional work would have to be performed to satisfy generally accepted auditing standards and produce audited financial statements for public use. There is confidential information filed with this report.

SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT PROCEDURES

Our audit was performed by examining, on a test basis, certain transactions and account balances which we believe are sufficient to base our opinion. Our examination did not entail a complete review of all financial transactions of the company. Our more important audit procedures are summarized below. The following definitions apply when used in this report:

Scanned - The documents or accounts were read quickly looking for obvious errors.

Compiled - The exhibit amounts were reconciled with the general ledger, and accounts were scanned for error or inconsistency.

Reviewed - The exhibit amounts were reconciled with the general ledger. The general ledger account balances were traced to subsidiary ledgers, and selective analytical review procedures were applied.

Examined - The exhibit amounts were reconciled with the general ledger. The general ledger account balances were traced to subsidiary ledgers. Selective analytical review procedures were applied, and account balances were tested to the extent further described.

Confirmed - Evidential matter supporting an account balance, transaction, or other information was obtained directly from an independent third party.

Verified - The item was tested for accuracy and compared to substantiating documentation.

Revenues: The revenue amounts on the A-2 schedules were compared with the company reconciliation schedules. Traced revenues and therms to billing system summaries. Determined if the rates charged were over the cap. Recalculated customer bills for each rate class.

Expenses: Compiled cost of gas expenses for the 12-months ending December 31, 2003. Compared the cost of gas according to the A-2 schedules to the documentation supporting the A-2 schedules and the general ledger for all months. Examined on a judgmental basis invoices for the purchase of gas. Where the parent company allocated a portion of the gas invoice to City Gas, the allocation percents were traced to appropriate documentation. Offsystem sales were examined on a judgmental basis to determine if the correct amounts were deducted from the cost of gas. Margin sharing for off-system sales was recalculated on a random basis.

True-up and Interest: Recalculated the true-up and interest amount for the 12-months ending December 31, 2003. Traced interest rates to the Wall Street Journal.

Affiliated Transactions: The company was audited by two different firms to look into NUI Energy Brokers trading practices. Because the results showed that harm was caused to the New Jersey rate payers, we reviewed these audits and interviewed the consultants who performed these audits. Liberty Consulting Group was hired by the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities and the Stier Anderson Law Firm was hired by NUI Corporation. We reviewed Liberty Consulting Group's "Focused Audit of NUI Corporation and its Affiliates," Stier Anderson Law Firm investigation into the allegations of inappropriate conduct by employees, NUI's Answer to the NJ Board of Public Utilities, and the NJ Board of Public Utilities Final Order in this matter.

We performed several tests using company data of trades from fiscal year end September 30, 1997 through September 30, 2003 to determine the harm to Florida ratepayers and compared these results to Stier Anderson's results for Florida.

City Gas Company Purchased Gas Adjustment Audit

Pages 4 – 11 are Confidential

EXHIBIT

CALCULATION OF TRUE-UP AND INTEREST PROVISION COMPANY: CITY GAS COMPANY OF FLORIDA Through DECEMBER 03 JANUARY 03 FOR THE PERIOD OF: DIFFERENCE PERIOD TO DATE CURRENT MONTH: 12/03 DIFFERENCE (G) (D) **(F)** Œ (B) (C) (A) AMOUNT ESTIMATE ACTUAL ACTUAL ESTIMATE AMOUNT TRUE-UP CALCULATION 18,014,906 (2.441,522)-61.30% 20,456,428 1,317,037 (807,304) 2,124,341 1 PURCHASED GAS COST (Sch A-1 Flx down line 4+6) 8,217,923 7,977,007 28,674,351 25,991,913 (240.916)895,066 $\{7,047\}$ -0.79% 2 TRANSP GAS COST (Sch A-1 Flx down line 1+2+3+5) 902,113 (2,682,438) (814,351) -36.81% 3,026,454 2,212,103 3 TOTAL (2,045,817) 27,908,160 25,862,343 145,416 6.60% 2,058,302 2.203.718 4 FUEL REVENUES (Sch A-1 Fbx down line 14) (NET OF REVENUE TAX) 630 123 -- u30,123 52,513 52,513 0.00% 5 TRUE-UP (COLLECTED) OR REFUNDED (2.045,817)28,538,283 26,492,466 2,256,231 145,416 6.45% 6 FUEL REVENUE APPLICABLE TO PERIOD . 2,110,815 (LINE 4 (+ or -) LINE 5) 636,621 959,767 2174.96% (136.068)7 TRUE-UP PROVISION - THIS PERIOD (915,639) 44,128 (LINE 6 - LINE 3) 14,369 (2.188)(16,557)605,43% (221)(1,338)1.117 8 INTEREST PROVISION-THIS PERIOD (21) (1.079,142)(129,183) (2,031,659) 805,14% 949,959 (252, 335)9 BEGINNING OF PERIOD TRUE-UP AND INTEREST 1.779,324 (630, 123)(630, 123)0.00% 10 TRUE-UP COLLECTED OR (REFUNDED) (52,513)(52,513)(REVERSE OF LINE 5) 10a ADJUSTMENTS (621,102) 621.102 6,950 (6,950)10b OSS 50% Margin Sharing (Line 39, Page 11) (1,080,180)819,239 (260,941) 819,239 (260,941)(1,080,180) 413,96% 11 TOTAL ESTIMATED/ACTUAL TRUE-UP (7+8+9+10+10a+10b) INTEREST PROVISION If line 5 is a refund add to line 4 805.14% (2,031,659) 1,779,324 (252, 335)12 BEGINNING TRUE-UP AND If line 5 is a collection () subtract from line 4 INTEREST PROVISION (9+10a) 413.79% 818,122 (260,720)(1.078,842)13 ENDING TRUE-UP BEFORE INTEREST (12 + 10a + 10b + 7 - 5) 2,597,446 (513,055)(3,110,501)606,27% 14 TOTAL (12+13) 606.27% (1,555,251)1.298,723 (256,528)15 AVERAGE (50% OF 14) 0.00% 0.01000 16 INTEREST RATE - FIRST 0.01000 DAY OF MONTH 0.01060 0.00% 0.01060 17 INTEREST RATE - FIRST DAY OF SUBSEQUENT MONTH 0.00% 0.02060 18 TOTAL (16+17) 0.02060 0.01030 0.00% 0.01030 19 AVERAGE (50% OF 18) 0.00086 0.00086 0.00% 20 MONTHLY AVERAGE (19/12 Months)

1.117

(221)

(1.338)

21 INTEREST PROVISION (15x20)

605.43%

SCHEDULE A-2

(REVISED 6/08/94)

PAGE 4 OF 11

(H)

-13.55%

-3.02%

-10.32%

-7.91%

0.00%

-7.72%

127,18%

756.72%

835,36%

413.96%

0.00%