ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RECEIVED-FPSC

04 OCT -4 PM 4: 34

In re: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause with Generating Performance Incentive Factor.

RCA

OTH ___

Docket No. 040001-EI Filed: October 4, 2004

COMMISSION CLERK

THE FLORIDA INDUSTRIAL POWER USERS GROUP'S PRELIMINARY LIST OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS

Pursuant to the Case Assignment and Scheduling Record (CASR) in this docket, the Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG) files its Preliminary List of Issues and Positions. FIPUG reserves the right to amend this preliminary issue list.

GENERIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES	
ISSUE 1:	What are the appropriate fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period January 2003 through December 2003?
ISSUE 2:	What are the appropriate fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period January 2004 through December 2004?
ISSUE 3:	What are the appropriate total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be collected/refunded from January 2005 to December 2005?
<u>ISSUE 4</u> :	What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each investor-owned electric utility's levelized fuel factor for the projection period January 2005 through December 2005?
<u>ISSUE 5</u> :	What are the appropriate projected net fuel and purchased power cost recovery amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2005 through December 2005?
COM 5 ISSUE 6:	What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period January 2005 through December 2005?
GCL	What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery voltage level class?
MMS ISSUE 8:	What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses?



DOCUMENT HUMBER-DATE

10656 OCT 4 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

ISSUE 9: What should be the effective date of the fuel adjustment charge and capacity cost recovery charge for billing purposes?

ISSUE 10: What are the appropriate actual benchmark levels for calendar year 2004 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

ISSUE 11: What are the appropriate estimated benchmark levels for calendar year 2005 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

COMPANY-SPECIFIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

Progress Energy Florida

ISSUE 12A: Are ratepayers being properly credited for the reduced costs of Progress Energy Florida's waterborne coal transportation as a result of the parties' settlement and the Commission's approval of such settlement in Order No. PSC-04-0713-AS-EI in Docket No. 031057-EI?

ISSUE 12B: Has Progress Energy Florida provided sufficient evidence of fuel savings to justify charging depreciation and a return in the amount of approximately \$37 million related to the Hines plant?

<u>ISSUE 12C</u>: Should all issues related to the purchase power agreements between Progress Energy Florida and Southern Company be removed for consideration and reviewed in a separate docket?¹

ISSUE 12D: If the Commission does not spin off the proposed UPS contracts, should the Commission require Progress Energy to explore alternatives in the wholesale market prior to seeking approval of the proposed UPS contracts?

ISSUE 12E: If the Commission does not spin off the proposed UPS contracts, has Progress Energy adequately supported its request for approval of the proposed UPS contracts?

In calculating the on peak and off peak fuel cost factors Progress Energy Florida uses average marginal cost as opposed to the average cost methodology the other utilities use, resulting in a smaller on peak/ off peak differential. Does this send the proper price signals to consumers?

Florida Power & Light Company

ISSUE 13A: Should the issues related to the purchase power agreements between FPL and Southern Company be removed for consideration in a separate docket?²

¹ FIPUG reserves the right to raise new issues related to the purchase power agreements if the Commission denies its removal request.

² FIPUG reserves the right to raise new issues related to the purchase power agreements if the Commission denies its

ISSUE 13B: If the Commission does not spin off the proposed UPS contracts, should the Commission require FPL to explore alternatives in the wholesale market prior to seeking approval of the proposed UPS contracts?

ISSUE 13C: If the Commission does not spin off the proposed UPS contracts, has FPL adequately supported its request for approval of the proposed UPS contracts?

Florida Public Utilities Company

None at this time.

Gulf Power Company

None at this time.

Tampa Electric Company

ISSUE 16A: Are ratepayers being properly credited for the reduced costs of TECo's waterborne coal transportation as a result of the Commission's decision in Docket No. 031033-EI?

ISSUE 16B: In February and August 2004, Tampa Electric acknowledged that its 2003 under recovery estimate was over stated by \$39,039,043. Jordan Exhibit E-1B, filed August 10, 2004, appears to charge interest on the 2004 under collections, but gives consumers no interest payment credit for their 2003 over payments to Tampa Electric. Should consumers be credited for their interest overpayment?

ISSUE 16C: Are the fuel charges for TECo's purchases from Hardee Power Partners, shown on Schedule E-7, reasonable?

ISSUE 16D: Should Tampa Electric include capacity payments in the total cost column for Exhibit E-7?

ISSUE 16E: Has Tampa Electric provided enough information in the confidential testimony of Benjamin F. Smith for the parties to determine whether or not the purchased power agreement he describes at page 4 of his testimony is in customers' best interest?

GENERIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES

What is the appropriate generation performance incentive factor (GPIF) reward or penalty for performance achieved during the period January 2003 through December 2003 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

removal request.

³ FIPUG questions the propriety of Tampa Electric's confidentiality request regarding the contract and reserves the right to raise this issue.

ISSUE 18: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period January 2005 through December 2005 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

COMPANY-SPECIFIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES

Progress Energy Florida

None at this time.

Florida Power & Light Company

None at this time.

Gulf Power Company

None at this time.

Tampa Electric Company

None at this time.

GENERIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

- ISSUE 23: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2003 through December 2003?
- **ISSUE 24**: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2004 through December 2004?
- **ISSUE 25**: What are the appropriate total capacity cost recovery true-up amounts to be collected/refunded during the period January 2005 through December 2005?
- **ISSUE 26**: What are the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2005 through December 2005?
- What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for capacity revenues and costs to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2005 through December 2005?
- **ISSUE 28**: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January 2005 through December 2005?

COMPANY-SPECIFIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

Progress Energy Florida

None at this time.

Florida Power & Light Company

None at this time.

Gulf Power Company

None at this time.

Tampa Electric Company

None at this time.

John W. McWhirter, Jr.

McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin Davidson
Kaufman & Arnold, P.A.

400 North Tampa Street, Suite 2450
Tampa, Florida 33601-3350

Joseph A. McGlothlin
Vicki Gordon Kaufman
Timothy J. Perry
McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin Davidson
Kaufman & Arnold, P.A.
117 South Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Attorneys for the Florida Industrial Power Users Group

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing FIPUG Preliminary List of Issues and Positions has been furnished by (*) hand delivery, and U.S. Mail this 4th day of October, 2004, to the following:

(*)Adrienne Vining Wm. Cochran Keating IV Florida Public Service Commission Division of Legal Services 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

John T. Butler Steel Hector & Davis LLP 200 S. Biscayne Boulevard Suite 4000 Miami, Florida 33131-2398

Jeffrey A. Stone Beggs & Lane Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, Florida 32591

Norman H. Horton Messer, Caparello & Self 215 South Monroe Street Suite 701 Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Michael B. Twomey Post Office Box 5256 Tallahassee, Florida 32314-5256 Harold McLean Patricia A. Christensen Office of the Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Lee L. Willis
James D. Beasley
Ausley & McMullen
227 S. Calhoun Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32302

James A. McGee 100 Central Avenue, Suite CX1D St. Petersburg, Florida 33701

John T. English Florida Public Utilities Company Post Office Box 3395 West Palm Beach, Florida 33402

Jon Moyle Moyle, Flanigan, Raymond, & Sheean The Perkins House 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Vicki Gordon Kaufman