

Kay Flynn

ORIGINAL

To: Rose Thompson
Cc: Hong Wang; Kim Griffin
Subject: FW: Request for Oral Modification to Item 24 - November 30th Agenda - Docket No. 040300-SU - Tymber Creek

Rose, please note the modification below which will affect the vote sheet. I'll print a copy of this e-mail for the docket file. Please update the vote sheet for tomorrow's agenda!

Thanks.

Kay

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE
12645 NOV 29 08

From: Mary Bane
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2004 11:22 AM
To: Tim Devlin
Cc: Chuck Hill; Betty Ashby; Manuel Arisso; Cayce Hinton; JoAnn Chase; Larry Harris; Katrina Tew; Kay Flynn
Subject: RE: Request for Oral Modification to Item 24 - November 30th Agenda - Docket No. 040300-SU - Tymber Creek

O.K.

Table with 11 columns: CMP, COM, CTR, ECR, GCL, OPC, MMS, RCA, SCR, SEC, OTH. The SEC column has a checkmark.

From: Tim Devlin
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2004 9:40 AM
To: Mary Bane
Cc: Chuck Hill; Betty Ashby
Subject: FW: Request for Oral Modification to Item 24 - November 30th Agenda - Docket No. 040300-SU - Tymber Creek
Importance: High

Mary, this is in accord with our "draft" 10-27-2004 flowchart regarding this APM. After your approval, I will provide copies to CCA, GCL and the commissioner aides.

From: Troy Rendell
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2004 9:26 AM
To: Tim Devlin
Cc: Joe Jenkins; Marshall Willis; Mary Anne Helton; Ralph Jaeger; Shannon Hudson; Tracey Biggins; Mahnaz Massoudi
Subject: Request for Oral Modification to Item 24 - November 30th Agenda - Docket No. 040300-SU - Tymber Creek
Importance: High

In accordance with APM 2.11(B)3(c), staff is requesting permission to make a minor oral modification to the recommendation at the November 20, 2004 Agenda Conference on Item 24 - Staff Assisted Rate Case of Tymber Creek Utilities.

There is a typographical error in the recommendation paragraph on Issue 8, page 17. Following, is the "type and strike" Recommendation paragraph.

Recommendation: The recommended rates should be designed to produce revenues of \$173,454 \$180,155. The approved rates should be effective for service rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheet, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), F.A.C. The rates should not be implemented until staff has approved the proposed customer notice. The utility should provide proof of the date notice was given within 10 days after the date of the notice. (Biggins, Hudson)

The amount is correct in both Staff's analysis, as well as, in Issue 7. The typographical error occurred due to a last minute change

at the request of the utility.

