ORIGINAL

Matilda Sanders

From: Costello, Jeanne [JCostello@CaritonFields.com]

Sent: Monday, January 31, 2005 6:08 PM

To: Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Cc: Adrienne Vining

Subject: Docket No. 041414-El

The following documents were served/filed (without attachments) today in Docket No. 041414-EI on behalf of Progress Energy Florida, Inc. Documents will be shipped federal express for delivery on Feb. 1, 2005.

Confidential: This e-mail contains a communication protected by the attorney-client privilege. If you do not expect such a communication from Jeanne Costello on behalf of Gary Sasso, please delete this message without reading it or any attachment, and then notify Jeanne Costello at jcostello@carltonfields.com of this inadvertent mis-delivery.

Jeanne Costello
Legal Administrative Assistant
Gary L. Sasso / James Michael Walls / John T. Burnett
Carlton Fields
4221 W. Boy Scout Blvd.
Tampa, FL 33607
Email: jcostello@carltonfields.com

Phone: (813) 223-7000 Fax: (813) 229-4133 www.carltonfields.com

Passa "	
COM	
CTR	
ECR	
GCL	
OPC	
MMS	
RCA	
SCR	
SEC	
OTH	Icent,
	records

CMP



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s)	
petition for approval of long-term fuel)	Docket No.: 041414-EI
supply and transportation contracts for)	·
Hines Unit 4 and additional system)	
supply and transportation.	Submitted for Filing: January 31, 2005
)	

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA'S SECOND REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION

Progress Energy Florida ("PEF" or the "Company"), pursuant to Section 366.093, <u>Fla. Stats.</u>, and Rule 25-22.006, F.A.C., files this Request for Confidential Classification of PEF's responses to Commission Staff's First Set of Interrogatories to Progress Energy Florida, Inc. (Nos. 1-51), and PEF's responses to Staff's First Request for Production of Documents to Progress Energy Florida, Inc. (Nos. 1-4). PEF's responses to Staff's Interrogatory Numbers 1, 8, 9, 11, 16, 17-27, 30-35, 39, 40, 42, and 44-48 contain details and facts regarding confidential, contractual terms between PEF and potential fuel suppliers. PEF's responses to Staff's Request for Production, Requests 1-4 contain copies of confidential contract terms, proposals, and a business analysis plan that contains confidential information regarding contracts and contract proposals between PEF and fuel suppliers. Accordingly, PEF hereby submits the following.

Basis for Confidential Classification

Subsection 366.093(1), Florida Statutes, provides that "any records received by the Commission which are shown and found by the Commission to be proprietary confidential business information shall be kept confidential and shall be exempt from [the Public Records Act]." § 366.093(1), Fla. Stats. Proprietary confidential business information means information that is (i) intended to be and is treated as private confidential information by the

OCCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

O I I 35 FEB-18

FPSC-COMMISSION OF FRE

Company, (ii) because disclosure of the information would cause harm, (iii) either to the Company's ratepayers or the Company's business operation, and (iv) the information has not been voluntarily disclosed to the public. § 366.093(3). Fla. Stats. Specifically, "information concerning bids or other contractual data" the "disclosure of which would impair the efforts of the public utility or its affiliates to contract for goods or services on favorable terms" is defined as proprietary confidential business information. § 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stats.

<u>Responses to Interrogatories 1, 8, 9, 11, 16, 17-27, 30-35, 39, 40, 42, and 44-48</u> and <u>Document Requests 1-4</u>

Portions of PEF's responses to Staff's Interrogatories Numbers 1, 8, 9, 11, 16, 17-27, 30-35, 39, 40, 42, and 44-48 and Document Requests 1-4 should be afforded confidential treatment for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Pamela R. Murphy filed in support of PEF's Second Request for Confidential Classification and for the following reasons. Staff's Interrogatories Numbers 1, 8, 9, 11, 16, 17-27, 30-35, 39, 40, 42, and 44-48, call for information relating to confidential contractual terms and contractual proposals between PEF and fuel suppliers. Staff's Document Requests 1-4, call for confidential contracts and proposals between PEF and potential fuel suppliers, as well as PEF's analysis of those contracts and proposals. PEF is requesting confidential classification of its responses because public disclosure of the documents and information in question would impair PEF's ability to contract for services such as fuel supply on competitive and favorable terms.

PEF negotiates with potential fuel suppliers and transportation companies to obtain competitive contracts for fuel options that provide economic value to PEF and its ratepayers.

(Affidavit of Pam Murphy at ¶5). In order to obtain such contracts, however, PEF must be able to assure fuel suppliers and transportation companies that sensitive business information, such as

the quantity and pricing terms of their contracts, will be kept confidential. Id. PEF has kept confidential and has not publicly disclosed confidential contract terms such as quantity and pricing. Id. Absent such measures; suppliers and transportation companies would run the risk that sensitive business information that they provided in their contracts with PEF would be made available to the public and, as a result, end up in possession of potential competitors. Id. Faced with that risk, persons or companies who otherwise would contract with PEF might decide not to do so if PEF did not keep those terms of their contracts confidential. Id. Without PEF's measures to maintain the confidentiality of sensitive terms in contracts between PEF and fuel suppliers and transportation contractors, the Company's efforts to obtain competitive fuel supply and transportation contracts would be undermined. Id.

Additionally, the disclosure of confidential information in PEF's fuel supply and transportation contracts, proposals, and business analysis plans would adversely impact PEF's competitive business interests. (Affidavit of Pam Murphy at ¶6). If such information was disclosed to PEF's competitors, PEF's efforts to obtain competitive fuel supply and transportation options that provide economic value to both PEF and its ratepayers would be compromised. Id.

Upon receipt of confidential information from fuel suppliers and transportation companies, and with its own confidential information, strict procedures are established and followed to maintain the confidentiality of the terms of the documents and information provided, including restricting access to those persons who need the information to assist the Company, and restricting the number of, and access to the information and contracts. (Affidavit of Pam Murphy at ¶7). At no time since receiving the contracts and information in question has the

Company publicly disclosed that information or contracts. <u>Id</u>. The Company has treated and continues to treat the information and contracts at issue as confidential. Id.

Furthermore, in PSC Docket No. 040817-EI, the Commission granted confidential classification for the same documents being produced in this matter that are responsive to Staff's First Request for Production of Documents, Requests 1-4. See PSC Order No. PSC-04-1061-CFO-EI. Accordingly, PEF has produced herewith redacted and highlighted copies of the same documents that were afforded confidential classification in Docket No. 040817-EI.

Conclusion

The details, facts, and documents regarding PEF's contracts and proposals with potential fuel suppliers fit the statutory definition of proprietary confidential business information under Section 366.093 and Rule 25-22.006. F.A.C., and that information should be afforded confidential classification. In support of this motion, PEF has enclosed the following:

- (1). A separate, sealed envelope containing one copy of the confidential Appendix A to PEF's Request for Confidential Classification for which PEF intends to request confidential classification with the appropriate section, pages, or lines containing the confidential information highlighted. This information should be accorded confidential treatment pending a decision on PEF's request by the Florida Public Service Commission;
- (2). Two copies of the confidential responses with the information for which PEF intends to request confidential classification reducted by section, page, or lines where appropriate as Appendix B; and
- (3). A justification matrix supporting PEF's request for confidential classification of the highlighted information contained in confidential Appendix A, as Appendix C.

WHEREFORE, PEF respectfully requests that portions of its responses to Staff's Interrogatories, Numbers 1, 8, 9, 11, 16, 17-27, 30-35, 39, 40, 42, and 44-48, and its responses to Staff's First Request for Production of Documents, Requests 1-4, be classified as confidential for the reasons set forth above.

Respectfully submitted this 31st day of January, 2005.

R. ALEXANDER GLENN Deputy General Counsel – Florida PROGRESS ENERGY SERVICE COMPANY, LLC 100 Central Avenue, Ste. 1D

St. Petersburg, FL 33701 Telephone: (727) 820-5587 Facsimile: (727) 820-5519 GARY L. SASSO

Florida Bar No. 622575 JAMES MICHAEL WALLS

Florida Bar No. 0706272 JOHN T. BURNETT Florida Bar No. 173304 CARLTON FIELDS, P.A.

Post Office Box 3239 Tampa, FL 33601-3239

Telephone: (813) 223-7000 Facsimile: (813) 229-4133

CERTIFICATE OF FILING

HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been filed electronically without attachments and via Federal Express with attachments with the Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850, this 31st day of January, 2005.

Attorney