

Kay Flynn

ORIGINAL

To: Toni McCoy
Cc: Nonnye Grant; Hong Wang; Dorothy Menasco; Kimberley Pena
Subject: RE: 050111-TP

Thanks, Toni, for bringing this to my attention. What you're proposing is doable in this multi-task docket!

I do see the IXC registration was included as Exh E to the 2/8/05 filing, and was assigned a company code in MCD. Based on your e-mail, I will

- 1. update the title to include the IXC registration.
2. include the TJ987 company code in the docket in CMS.
3. ask Nonnye by cc: to include the effective date (2/8/05, date of filing) in MCD per our new procedure.
4. ask Kim P to update docket mailing list (IF necessary).

I believe that will take care of it, but all cc:s please let me know if I've left anything out.

Kay

-----Original Message-----

From: Toni McCoy
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2005 3:36 PM
To: Kay Flynn
Subject: 050111-TP

Kay,

050111-TP: This filing also included an IXC registration for IDS TelCom Corp. in the Exhibits as well as the IXC waiver of the rule request. The IXC registration has been assigned a company code TJ987 in MCD without a regulation date and I noticed that TJ987 was not included in the Case Mgmt. Utilities section for the docket.

Since IXC's registrations are administrative only, I was going to process the memo for the IXC using the same docket number given it is a "TP" and change the IXC registration memo statement "Docket No. 050111-TP should be closed" to " memo should be filed in Docket No. 050111-TP.

The Docket would be closed when the Consummating Order is issued via the normal process.

Is this a workable method for CCA?

Thanks,

Toni

CMP
COM
CTR
ECR
GCL
OPC
MMS
RCA
SCR
SEC 1
OTH Nonny