

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s)
petition for approval of long-term fuel) Docket No.: 041414-EI
supply and transportation contracts for)
Hines Unit 4 and additional system)
supply and transportation.) Submitted for filing: February 16, 2005
_____)

NOTICE OF FILING CORRECTED CONFIDENTIAL RESPONSE TO
PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.'S RESPONSE TO
COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO
PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. (NO. 26)

Progress Energy Florida, Inc. hereby gives notice of filing its corrected
confidential Response to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories to Progress Energy Florida,
Inc. (No. 26), under seal.

R. ALEXANDER GLENN
Deputy General Counsel – Florida
PROGRESS ENERGY SERVICE
COMPANY, LLC
100 Central Avenue, Ste. 1D
St. Petersburg, FL 33701
Telephone: (727) 820-5587
Facsimile: (727) 820-5519



GARY L. SASSO
Florida Bar No. 622575
JAMES MICHAEL WALLS
Florida Bar No. 0706272
JOHN T. BURNETT
Florida Bar No. 173304
CARLTON FIELDS, P.A.
Post Office Box 3239
Tampa, FL 33601-3239
Telephone: (813) 223-7000
Facsimile: (813) 229-4133

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

**In re: Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s)
petition for approval of long-term fuel) Docket No.: 041414-EI
supply and transportation contracts for)
Hines Unit 4 and additional system)
supply and transportation.)
_____)**

**PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.'S AMENDED CONFIDENTIAL
RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES
TO PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. (NO. 26)**

Pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 28-106.206, and Rule 1.340, Fla. R. Civ. P., Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF") amends its response to the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission's First Set of Interrogatories (No 26) to correct a typographical error and states as follows:

On January 31, 2005, PEF served its responses to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories. Portions of PEF's responses to those interrogatories contain confidential information, and PEF has filed a request for confidential classification regarding that information.


After serving its aforementioned responses, PEF discovered a typographical error in its response to Interrogatory 26. The typographical error in question is in a portion of PEF's response to Interrogatory 26 that has been marked confidential. Accordingly, PEF has filed a corrected response to Interrogatory 26 under seal, herewith to replace the original response filed on January 31, 2005.

Attached as "Exhibit A" to this filing is a sealed envelope containing a confidential copy of PEF's amended response to Staff's Interrogatory 26 with the confidential portions of that amended response highlighted. This amended response

should be afforded confidential treatment pending a ruling on PEF's January 31, 2005 request for confidential classification in this matter.

CERTIFICATE OF FILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been filed electronically (without attachments) and U.S. Mail (with attachments) with the Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850, and served on counsel of record as listed below this 16th day of February, 2005.



Attorney

Adrienne E. Vining Senior Attorney Florida Public Service Commission Economic Regulation Section 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 Telephone: (850) 413-6183 Fax: (850) 413-6184 E-mail: avining@psc.state.fl.us Counsel for Public Service Commission	Patricia A. Christensen Associate Public Counsel Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, Rm 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 Telephone: 850 488-9330 E-mail: christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us Counsel for Office of Public Counsel
--	--

INTERROGATORIES

26. Please list and describe the reasons for the difference in capital investment between the first and second alternatives.

Answer:

Certain gas infrastructure facility additions and/or improvements are required in conjunction with delivering natural gas to the Hines 4. The following table summarizes the facility additions and/or improvements along with estimated capital costs.

[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

The amount of capital investment reflected in each alternative is a function of how much capital each of the bidders was willing to absorb and recover through its respective proposed transportation rates. The bidder for the GOM Based alternative was willing to absorb \$ [REDACTED] of capital investment (summarized above), resulting in Progress Energy Florida to fund the remaining \$ [REDACTED] of capital. For the Cypress alternative, FGT was willing absorb the cost for [REDACTED], resulting in Progress Energy Florida to fund the \$ [REDACTED]. For the Bahamas Based alternative, the bidder was willing to absorb the [REDACTED] resulting in Progress Energy Florida to fund the \$ [REDACTED]

Pamela R. Murphy
Pamela R. Murphy
On behalf of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA)

COUNTY OF WAKE)

I hereby certify that on this 16th day of February 2005, before me, an officer duly authorized in the State and County aforesaid to take acknowledgments, personally appeared, Pamela R. Murphy, who is personally known to me, and she acknowledged before me that the amended answer to interrogatory number 26 from the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission in Docket No(s). 041414-EI and that the response is true and correct based on her personal knowledge.

In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and seal in the State and County aforesaid as of this 16th day of February, 2005.

Janice R. Seagraves
Notary Public
State of Florida, at Large
NC

My Commission Expires: 8-10-05