OF GINAL

Law Offices of Alan C. Gold, P.A.

1320 South Dixie Highway Suite 870 Coral Gables, FL 33146 (305) 667-0475 (305) 663-0799 - Fascimile RECEIVED-17-SC

05 MAR 29 PM 2: 40

COMMISSION CLERK

Alan C. Gold

Direct Dial: 305-667-0475, ext. 1

e-mail: agold@kcl.net

James L. Parado Direct Dial: 305-667-0475, ext. 25

e-mail: jlp@kcl.net

March 23, 2005

Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: 040732-TP

Enclosed please find the original and fifteen copies of STS Telecommunications, Inc.'s Response to BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Motion To Strike and Reponse In Opposition to Motion For Summary Final Order, we ask that you file in the captioned docket.

Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to our office. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

	Copies	es have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate		
CMP	a .	•		
сом <u>5</u>	Moreover		Very truly yours,	
CTR			James 2 Com	
ECR			ames L. Parado	
GCL	TO CHILDREN		For Alan C. Gold	
OPC	Enclos	ure		
MANS	-cc:	Mr. R. Douglas Lackey Ms. Meredith Mays Ms. Nancy B. White		
RCA	~~~			
SCR				
SEC		Florida Public Service Commission		
ОТН	· my control			

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

03051 HAR 29 8



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Interconnection Agreement between)	
Saturn Telecommunication Services, Inc.)	Docket No.: 040732 TP
d/b/a STS Telecom and BellSouth)	
Telecommunications, Inc.)	Dated: March 23, 2005
)	

STS TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S RESPONSE TO BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S MOTION TO STRIKE AND RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY FINAL ORDER

Saturn Telecommunications, Inc. ("STS"), pursuant to Rule 28-106.204(1), Florida Administrative Code, hereby files its response to "BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Motion to Strike and Response in Opposition to Motion For Summary Final Order," and in support thereof states as follows:

- 1. STS disputes BellSouth's contention that STS' Response to BellSouth's Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaim was not filed properly with the Commission. In any event, even if the Response was not properly filed, failure to respond should be treated as a general denial.
- 2. If BellSouth insists on enforcing a deadline for the time to STS to file its Response, then BellSouth should also be subject to the same treatment, i.e. BellSouth is estopped from filing a Motion to Strike, since it failed to timely file the Motion to Strike within 7 days of discovering STS' alleged failure to file timely. It is interesting that BellSouth has waited until now to file a Motion to Strike, given that STS has recently filed its own Motion For Summary Final Order. It should be clear that BellSouth is seeking to avoid a judgment on the merits because it realizes its substantive arguments are contrary to law. BellSouth waived any objections to STS' alleged untimely

- filing, when BellSouth filed a Motion For Summary Final Order on February 14, 2005.¹
- 3. Florida law requires responsive pleadings should not be stricken without leave to amend. See Van Valkenberg v. Chris Craft Industries, Inc., 252 So.2d 280 (Fla. 4th DCA 1971). Litigants should be allowed to amend pleadings feely in order that causes of action may be tried on their merits and in the interest of justice; any doubts should be resolved in favor of allowing amendments. See id. Striking of a party's pleadings resulting in dismissal or default is the most severe sanction, and it should be used sparingly and reserved to those instances where conduct is flagrant, willful, or persistent. See Barnes v. Horan, 841 So.2d 472 (Fla. 3rd DCA 2003).
- 4. STS filed its Answer & Affirmative Defenses, Responses In Opposition to BellSouth's Summary Final Order, and STS' Motion For Summary Final Order, in good faith. BellSouth is in no way prejudiced, as all of STS' filings have been served on BellSouth and are all before the Commission. With respect to the Affidavit of Keith Kramer, the same was served on BellSouth and the Commission with STS' preliminary Response on March 3, 2005.
- 5. With all of the Responses and supporting documentation being served on BellSouth and before the Commission, there is no valid reason as to why the Commission cannot make its rulings on all Motions before it on the merits.

¹ BellSouth admitted that it "elected to file a substantive, rather than a procedural, motion to resolve this matter." See BellSouth's Motion to Strike, page 3.

6. BellSouth's is unfairly attempting to deny STS' right to have judgments before the Commission on the merits of the case because BellSouth realizes that its Counterclaim and Motion For Summary Final Order are without merit.

WHEREFORE, STS respectfully requests that this Commission deny BellSouth's Motion to Strike, and proceed with rulings on all Motions before it on the merits.

Respectfully submitted,

ALAN C. GOLD, P.A. Gables One Tower 1320 South Dixie Highway Suite 870 Coral Gables, FL 33146

(305) 667-0475 (office)

(305) 663-0799 (telefax)

ALAN C. GOLD, ESQUIRE

Florida Bar Number: 304875 JAMES L. PARADO, ESQUIRE

Florida Bar Number: 0580910

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been

served via Federal Express on this 23rd day of March 2005, to:

Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

NANCY B. WHITE C/O Nancy H. Sims 150 South Monroe Street Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301

R. DOUGLAS LACKEY
MERIDITH E. MAYS
Suite 4300, BellSouth Center
675 West Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, GA 30375
Lynn.Barclay@bellsouth.com

ALAN C. GOLD, ESQUIRE Florida Bar Number: 304875 JAMES L. PARADO, ESQUIRE

Florida Bar Number: 0580910