Hopping Green & Sams

Attorneys and Counselors

April 1, 2005

BY HAND-DELIVERY

Blanca Bayó Director, Division of Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399

Re: Docket No. 050007-EI

Dear Ms. Bayó:

On behalf of Progress Energy Florida, Inc., I enclose for filing the original and fifteen (15) copies of the Direct Testimony of Javier Portuondo and Exhibit ____(JP-1). I have also included a diskette containing the testimony in Microsoft Word Format.

By copy of this letter, the enclosed documents have been furnished to the parties on the attached service list Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning it to me. If you have any questions regarding this filing, please give me a call at 425-2359.

Very truly yours,

HOPPING GREEN & SAMS, P.A.

Gary

GVP/mee cc: Certificate of Service

DOCUMENT RUMBER-DATE

03177 APR-18

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the Direct Testimony and Exhibit of Javier Portuondo in Docket No. 050007-EI have been furnished by hand-delivery (*) or regular U.S. mail to the following this day of April, 2005.

Marlene Stern (*) Office of General Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

James A. McGee Progress Energy Services Co, LLC. P.O. Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042

Lee L. Willis, Esq. James D. Beasley, Esq. Ausley & McMullen P.O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Patricia Ann Christensen, Esq. Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street, Rm. 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399

Jeffrey A. Stone, Esq. Russell A. Badders, Esq. Beggs and Lane P.O. Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32576

Florida Industrial Power Users Group c/o John W. McWhirter, Jr. McWhirter Reeves 400 North Tampa Street, Suite 2450 Tampa, FL 33602

Vicki Gordon Kaufman, Esq. Timothy J. Perry, Esq. McWhirter Reeves, et al. 117 South Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Florida Power & Light Co. R. Wade Litchfield, Esq. Natalie F. Smith, Esq. 700 Universe Blvd. Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Florida Power & Light Co. Bill Walker 215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301

John T. Butler, Esq. Steel Hector & Davis, LLP 200 S. Biscayne Bay Blvd, Suite 4000 Miami FL 33131-2398

Gulf Power Company Susan Ritenour One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520-0780

Tampa Electric Company Angela Llewellyn Regulatory Affairs P.O. Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601-0111

Messer Law Firm Norman Horton, Jr. P.O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876

Florida Public Utilities Company Ms. Cheryl Martin P. O. Box 3395 West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3395

Attorney

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA

DOCKET NO. 050007-EI

Environmental Cost Recovery Final True-Up for the Period January through December, 2004

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JAVIER PORTUONDO

April 1, 2005

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	А.	My name is Javier Portuondo. My business address is Post Office Box 14042, St.
3		Petersburg, Florida 33733.
4		
5	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
6	A.	I am employed by Progress Energy Service Company, LLC as Director of
7		Regulatory Services - Florida.
8		
9	Q.	Have your duties and responsibilities remained the same since you last
10		testified in this proceeding?
11	А.	Yes.
12		
13	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission in connection
14		with Progress Energy Florida's Environmental Cost Recovery Clause
15		(ECRC)?

1 A. Yes, I have	1	A.	Yes, I have.
------------------	---	----	--------------

2

.

3	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
4	А.	The purpose of my testimony is to present for Commission review and approval,
5		Progress Energy Florida's (PEF's) Actual True-up costs associated with
6		Environmental Compliance activities for the period January 2004 through
7		December 2004.
8		
9	Q.	Are you sponsoring any exhibits in support of your testimony?
10	А.	Yes. I am sponsoring Exhibit No (JP-1), which consists of eight forms. Form
11		42-1A reflects the final true-up for the period January 2004 through December
12		2004. Form 42-2A consists of the final true-up calculation for the period. Form
13		42-3A consists of the calculation of the Interest Provision for the period. Form 42-
14		4A reflects the calculation of variances between actual and estimated/actual costs
15		for O&M activities. Form 42-5A presents a summary of actual monthly costs for
16		the period on O&M activities. Form 42-6A reflects the calculation of variances
17		between actual and estimated/actual costs for Capital Investment Projects. Form
18		42-7A presents a summary of actual monthly costs for the period for Capital
19		Investment Projects. Form 42-8A, pages 1 through 5, consist of the calculation of
20		depreciation expense and return on capital investment for each project that is being
21		recovered through the ECRC.
22		
	_	

- Q. What is the source of the data that you will present by way of testimony or
 exhibits in this proceeding?
 - 2

1	А.	Unless otherwise indicated, the actual data is taken from the books and records of
2		PEF. The books and records are kept in the regular course of our business in
3		accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and practices, and
4		provisions of the Uniform System of Accounts as prescribed by this Commission.
5		
6	Q.	What is the final true-up amount for which PEF is requesting for the period
7		January 2004 through December 2004?
8	А.	PEF is requesting approval of an under-recovery amount of \$13,065,380 for the
9		calendar period ending December 31, 2004. This amount is shown on Form 42-
10		1A, Line 1.
11		
12	Q.	What is the net true-up amount PEF is requesting for the January 2004
13		through December 2004 period which is to be applied in the calculation of the
14		environmental cost recovery factors to be refunded/recovered in the next
15		projection period?
16	А.	PEF has calculated and is requesting approval of an over-recovery amount of
17		\$5,961,886 reflected on Line 3 of Form 42-1A, as the adjusted net true-up amount
18		for the January 2004 through December 2004 period. This amount is the difference
19		between the actual under-recovery amount of \$13,065,380 and the actual/estimated
20		under-recovery of \$19,027,266, as approved in Order PSC-04-1187-FOF-EI, for the
21		period of January 2004 through December 2004.
22		
23	Q.	Are all costs listed in Forms 42-1A through 42-8A attributable to
24		environmental compliance projects approved by the Commission?

*

- 1 A. Yes, they are.

3	Q.	How did actual O&M expenditures for January 2004 through December 2004
4		compare with PEF's estimated/actual projections as presented in previous
5		testimony and exhibits?
6	A.	Form 42-4A shows that total O&M project costs were \$6,299,964 or 21.5% lower
7		than projected. Following are variance explanations for those O&M Projects with
8		significant variances. Individual project variances are provided on Form 42-4A.
9		O&M Project Variances
10		1. Substation Environmental Investigation, Remediation, and Pollution
11		Prevention (Project No. 1): Project expenditures were \$313,684 more than
12		projected. This variance is due primarily to costs incurred for conducting
13		inspections mandated by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection
14		(FDEP) and for costs associated with unplanned events which required
15		immediate action to protect surface waters and groundwater. In one instance,
16		the Company incurred approximately \$285,000 of unanticipated expenses to
17		remediate a large oil leak caused by equipment failure at a substation site.
18		Although PEF planned to remediate nine substation sites in 2004, due to
19		delays in obtaining FDEP approval of the remediation plan, which was not
20		received until early December, only three sites were actually completed. The
21		remaining six sites were rolled over into the 2005 work plan.
22		2. Distribution System Environmental Investigation, Remediation, and
23		Pollution Prevention (Project No. 2): This project was \$1,326,821, or
24		16.4% lower than projected. This variance is due primarily to work delays.

1 The remediation of all three-phase sites was completed, but approximately 2 126 out of 364 projected single-phase sites remained. This work was shifted 3 into the 2005 work plan.

3. Pipeline Integrity Management Program (Project No. 3a): Pipeline 4 Integrity Management (PIM) O&M project expenditures were \$626,258 lower 5 than projected. This variance is due primarily to project cost savings being 6 7 realized and a shift of work into the 2005 work plan due to preparation and participation in the regulatory audit performed by the U.S. Department of 8 9 Transportation's (DOT's) Office of Pipeline Safety. During the design phase 10 of one of the PIM projects, PEF identified a more cost-effective and environmentally preferable option, resulting in an overall cost savings of 11 12 \$285,000. Lower contractor costs for baseline inspections and other PIM related projects completed in 2004 also contributed to overall favorable 13 14 project costs of approximately \$60,000. Further, due to deferral of work associated with the PIM leak detection systems and workload requirements for 15 the DOT audit mentioned above, approximately \$66,000 of expenditures were 16 delayed and will be rolled over into the 2005 work plan. Another \$50,000 17 related to piping assessment was determined not to be part of the PIM baseline 18 and will not be recovered. 19

4. Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment (Project No. 4): Project
 expenditures were \$3,666, for a 100% variance. These costs are for contractor
 fees to manage and oversee tank projects and ensure that all project costs are
 prudent and reasonable. PEF used an outside contractor in 2004 to analyze the

1		work plan and assess the need for on-going expenditures. The contractor
2		reviews the work scope and cost effectiveness of each project and ensures that
3		the project meets the requirements of the regulation. The work plan is
4		reviewed to validate that the work scope is compliant with the regulations and
5		that both contractors and materials meet FDEP regulations.
6		5. SO2 Emissions Allowances (Project No. 5): Project expenditures were
7		\$4,664,234, or 23.4% lower than projected. This variance is primarily
8		attributable to a reduction in tons of S02 emissions at Bartow and Anclote
9		resulting from shifting to lower sulfur oil partially offset by higher cost per ton
10		prices for allowances purchased.
11		
12	Q.	How did actual Capital expenditures for January 2004 through December
12 13	Q.	How did actual Capital expenditures for January 2004 through December 2004 compare with PEF's estimated/actual projections as presented in
	Q.	
13	Q. A.	2004 compare with PEF's estimated/actual projections as presented in
13 14		2004 compare with PEF's estimated/actual projections as presented in previous testimony and exhibits?
13 14 15		2004 compare with PEF's estimated/actual projections as presented in previous testimony and exhibits? Form 42-6A shows that total Capital Investment project costs were \$113,363 or
13 14 15 16		 2004 compare with PEF's estimated/actual projections as presented in previous testimony and exhibits? Form 42-6A shows that total Capital Investment project costs were \$113,363 or 14.1% lower than projected. Actual costs and variance by individual project are
13 14 15 16 17		 2004 compare with PEF's estimated/actual projections as presented in previous testimony and exhibits? Form 42-6A shows that total Capital Investment project costs were \$113,363 or 14.1% lower than projected. Actual costs and variance by individual project are provided on Form 42-6A. Following are variance explanations for those capital
13 14 15 16 17 18		 2004 compare with PEF's estimated/actual projections as presented in previous testimony and exhibits? Form 42-6A shows that total Capital Investment project costs were \$113,363 or 14.1% lower than projected. Actual costs and variance by individual project are provided on Form 42-6A. Following are variance explanations for those capital projects with significant variances. Return on Capital Investment, Depreciation and
13 14 15 16 17 18 19		2004 compare with PEF's estimated/actual projections as presented in previous testimony and exhibits? Form 42-6A shows that total Capital Investment project costs were \$113,363 or 14.1% lower than projected. Actual costs and variance by individual project are provided on Form 42-6A. Following are variance explanations for those capital projects with significant variances. Return on Capital Investment, Depreciation and Taxes for each project for the period are provided on Form 42-8A, pages 1-5.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		2004 compare with PEF's estimated/actual projections as presented in previous testimony and exhibits? Form 42-6A shows that total Capital Investment project costs were \$113,363 or 14.1% lower than projected. Actual costs and variance by individual project are provided on Form 42-6A. Following are variance explanations for those capital projects with significant variances. Return on Capital Investment, Depreciation and Taxes for each project for the period are provided on Form 42-8A, pages 1-5. Capital Investment Project Variances:

-

- 1 delayed as the Company's need was reduced due to a shift to lower sulfur oil at
- 2 certain generating sites.
- 3

4 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

5 A. Yes, it does.

Docket No. 050007-EI J. Portuondo Exh. No. __ (JP-1) Jan. - Dec. 2004 Final True-up

EXHIBIT No. __ (JP-1)

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY COMMISSION FORMS 42-1A THROUGH 42-8A

> JANUARY 2004 - DECEMBER 2004 FINAL TRUE-UP DOCKET NO. 050007-EI

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Final True-up Amount January 2004 through December 2004 (in Dollars)

Line	-	Period Amount
1	Over/(Under) Recovery for the Period January 2004 - December 2004 (Form 42-2A, Line 5 + 6 + 10)	\$ (13,065,380)
2	Estimated/Actual True-Up Amount approved for the period January 2004 - December 2004 (Order No. PSC-04-1187-FOF-EI)	(19.027.266)
3	Final True-Up Amount to be Refunded/(Recovered) in the Projection Period January 2006 to December 2006 (Lines 1 - 2)	\$ 5,961,886

Form 42-1A

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Final True-up Amount January 2004 to December 2004

End-of-Period True-Up Amount (in Dollars)

Line Description	Actual January 04	Actual February 04	Actual March 04	Actual April 04	Actual May 04	Actual June 04	Actual July 04	Actual August 04	Actual September 04	Actual October 04	Actual November 04	Actual December 04	End of Period Total
1 ECRC Revenues (net of Revenue Taxes) 2 True-Up Provision 3 ECRC Revenues Applicable to Period (Lines 1 + 2)	\$1,658,785 (910,536) 748,249	\$1,449,955 (905,148) 544,807	\$1,478,097 (905,148) 572,949	\$1,409,342 (905,148) .504,194	\$1,582,566 (905,148) 677,418	\$1,996,436 (905,148) 1,091,288	\$2,154,472 (905,148) 1,249,324	\$1,968,462 (905,148) 1.063,314	\$1,956,797 (905,148) 1,051,649	\$1,844,009 (905,148) 938,861	\$1,624,645 (905,148) 719,497	\$1,651,219 (905,148) 746,071	\$20,774,786 (10,867,165) 9,907,621
 Jurisdictional ECRC Costs a. O & M Activities (Form 42-5A, Line 9) b. Capital Investment Projects (Form 42-7A, Line 9) c. Total Jurisdictional ECRC Costs 	493,310 4,491 497,801	555,730 9,945 565,675	916,193 35,297 951,490	617,307 35,496 652,803	665,490 5,801 671,291	806,517 1,767 808,284	509,915 1,078 510,993	277,765 90 277,855	692,057 66,912 758,969	5,032,105 <u>147,246</u> 5,179,351	3,607,149 166,713 3,773,862	7,770,254 140,637 7,910,891	21,943,792 615,472 22,559,264
5 Over/(Under) Recovery (Line 3 - Line 4c)	250,448	(20,868)	(378,541)	(148,609)	6,127	283,004	738,331	785,459	292,680	(4,240,490)	(3,054,364)	(7,164,821)	(12,651,643)
6 Interest Provision (Form 42-3A, Line 10)	(8,122)	(6,989)	(6,250)	(5,869)	(5,297)	(5,063)	(4,730)	(3,047)	(1,315)	(3,114)	(8,225)	(17,037)	(75,058)
7 Beginning Balance True-Up & Interest Provision a. Deferred True-Up from January 2003 to December 2003 (Order No. PSC-03-1348-FOF-E1)	(10,867,165) 951,437	(9,714,303) 951,437	(8,837,012) 951,437	(8,316,655) 951,437	(7,565,985) 951,437	(6,660,007) 951,437	(5,476,918) 951,437	(4,176,848) 951,437	(2,489,288) 951,437	(1,292,774) 951,437	(4,631,230) 951,437	(6,788,671) 951,437	(10,867,165) 951,437
8 True-Up Collected/(Refunded) (see Line 2)	910,536	905,148	905,148	905,148	905,148	905,148	905,148	905,148	905,148	905,148	905,148	905,148	10,867,165
9 End of Period Total True-Up (Lines 5+6+7+7a+8)	(8,762,866)	(7,885,575)	(7,365,218)	(6,614,548)	(5,708,570)	(4,525,481)	(2,886,731)	(1,537,851)	(341,337)	(3,679,793)	(5,837,234)	(12,113,943)	(11,775,264)
10 Adjustments to Period Total True-Up Including Interest (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0	(338,679)	0	0	0		0	(338,679)
11 End of Period Total True-Up (Lines 9 + 10)	(\$8,762,866)	(\$7,885,575)	(\$7,365,218)	(\$6,614,548)	(\$5,708,570)	(\$4,525,481)	(\$3,225,411)	(\$1,537,851)	(\$341,337)	(\$3,679,793)	(\$5,837,234)	(\$12,113,943)	(\$12,113,943)

Note:

(a) Adjustment - 2003 FPSC Audit - Docket 040007-EI, Audit Control No. 04-444-2-2.

· ·

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Final True-up Amount January 2004 to December 2004

				erest Provision (in Doilars)									
Line Description	Actual January 04	Actual February 04	Actual March 04	Actual April 04	Actual May 04	Actual June 04	Actual July 04	Actual August 04	Actual September 04	Actual October 04	Actuat November 04	Actual December 04	End of Period Totat
1 Beginning True-Up Amount (Form 42-2A, Line 7 + 7a + 10)	(\$9,915,728)	(\$8,762,866)	(\$7,885,575)	(\$7,365,218)	(\$6,614,548)	(\$5,708,570)	(\$4,864,160)	(\$3,225,411)	(\$1,537,851)	(\$341,337)	(\$3,679,793)	(\$5,837,234)	
2 Ending True-Up Amount Before Interest (Line 1 + Form 42-2A, Lines 5 + 8)	(8,754,744)	(7,878,586)	(7,358,968)	(6,608,679)	(5,703,273)	(4,520,418)	(3,220,681)	(1,534,804)	(340,022)	(3,676,679)	(5,829,009)	(12,096,906)	
3 Total of Beginning & Ending True-Up (Lines 1 + 2)	(18,670,472)	(16,641,452)	(15,244,543)	(13,973,897)	(12,317,821)	(10,228,988)	(8,084,841)	(4,760,214)	(1,877,873)	(4,018,016)	(9,508,802)	(17,934,140)	
4 Average True-Up Amount (Line 3 x 1/2)	(9,335,236)	(8,320,726)	(7,622,272)	(6,986,949)	(6,158,911)	(5,114,494)	(4,042,421)	(2,380,107)	(938,937)	(2,009,008)	(4,754,401)	(8,967,070)	
5 Interest Rate (First Day of Reporting Business Month)	1.06%	1.03%	0.98%	0.98%	1.03%	1.04%	1.33%	1.47%	1.60%	1.77%	1.94%	2.22%	
6 Interest Rate (First Day of Subsequent Business Month)	1.03%	0.98%	0.98%	1.03%	1.04%	1.33%	1.47%	1.60%	1.77%	1.94%	2.22%	2.34%	
7 Total of Beginning & Ending Interest Rates (Lines 5 + 6)	2.09%	2.01%	1.96%	2.01%	2.07%	2.37%	2.80%	3.07%	3.37%	3.71%	4.16%	4.56%	
8 Average Interest Rate (Line 7 x 1/2)	1.045%	1.005%	0.980%	1.005%	1.035%	1.185%	1.400%	1.535%	1.685%	1.855%	2.080%	2.280%	
9 Monthly Average Interest Rate (Line 8 x 1/12)	0.087%	0.084%	0.082%	0.084%	0.086%	0.099%	0.117%	0.128%	0.140%	0.155%	0.173%	0.190%	
10 Interest Provision for the Month (Line 4 x Line 9)	(\$8,122)	(\$6,989)	(\$6,250)	(\$5,869)	(\$5,297)	(\$5,063)	(\$4,730)	(\$3,047)	(\$1,315)	(\$3,114)	(\$8,225)	(\$17,037)	(\$75,058)
Adjustment to Period Total True-Up (a)	\$335,200	\$335,200	\$335,200	\$335,200	\$335,200	\$335,200	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,011,200
Adjustment to Interest Total (a)	\$292	\$281	\$274	\$281	\$289	\$331	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,747

(a) Adjustment - 2003 FPSC Audit - Docket 040007-EI, Audit Control No. 04-444-2-2.

Form 42-3A

т н

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Final True-up Amount January 2004 to December 2004

Variance Report of O&M Activities (In Dollars)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
		Estimated/	Varian	
Line	Actual	Actual	Amount	Percent
 Description of O&M Activities Substation Environmental Investigation, Remediation, and Pollution Prevention Substation Environmental Investigation, Remediation, and Pollution Prevention - Costs Distribution System Environmental Investigation, 	\$635,368 (25,000)	\$321,684 (25,000)	\$313,684 0	97.5% 0.0%
Remediation, and Pollution Prevention 3a Pipeline Integrity Management 4 Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment 5 SO2 Emissions Allowances	6,771,567 363,742 3,666 15,238,599	8,098,387 990,000 0 19,902,833	(1,326,821) (626,258) 3,666 (4,664,234)	-16.4% -63.3% 100.0% -23.4%
2 Total O&M Activities - Recoverable Costs	\$22,987,941	\$29,287,905	(\$6,299,964)	-21.5%
3 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	\$15,238,599	\$19,902,833	(\$4,664,234)	-23.4%
4 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	\$7,749,342	\$9,385,071	(\$1,635,730)	-17.4%

Notes:

Column (1) is the End of Period Totals on Form 42-5A Column (2) = Estimated actual Column (3) = Column (1) - Column (2) Column (4) = Column (3) / Column (2) .

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Final True-up Amount January 2004 to December 2004

					Activilies Dollars)								End of		
Line Description	Actual January 04	Actual February 04	Actual March 04	Actual April 04	Actual May 04	Actual June 04	Actual July 04	Actual August 04	Actual September 04	Actual October 04	Actual November 04	Actual December 04	Period	Method of Clas	sification Energy
1 Description of O&M Activities															
1 Substation Environmental Investigation, Remediation, and Pollution Prevention 18 Substation Environmental Investigation, Remediation, and Pollution Prevention - Costs	(40,854)	67,738	5,487	58,523	13,528	59,085	12,920	13,835	86,913	56,041	350	301,801 \$	635,368	\$ 635,368 \$	- Transmission
included in Base Rates 2 Distribution System Environmental	(2,083)	(2,083)	(2,083)	(2,083)	(2,083)	(2,083)	(2,083)	(2,083)	(2,083)	(2,083)	(2,083)	(2,083)	(25,000)	(25,000)	0 Transmission
Investigation, Remediation, and Pollution 3a Pipeline Integrity Management, Review/Update	431.737	435,891	836,140	553,622	585,626	688,193	413,141	126,847	442,532	656,065	611,330	988,441	6,771,567	6,771,567	0 Distribution
Plan and Risk Assessments	0	0	0	0	0	0	8,911	37,099	25,198	7.947	37,267	247,320	363,742	363,742	0 Production
4 Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment 5 SO2 Emissions Allowances	96,589	0 76,504	0 83,438	26,261	0 76,134	0 84,240	0 87,782	0 115,173	0 178,508	0 4,594,294	3,666 3,151,388	0 6,668,289	3,666 15,238,599	3,666 0	0 Production 15,238,599 Energy
2 Total of O&M Activities	485,389	578,050	922,982	636,322	673,206	829,435	520,671	292,872	731,069	5,312,264	3,801,917	8,203,768	22,987,941	\$ 7,749,342 \$	15,238,599
3 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	96,589	76,504	83,438	26,261	76,134	84,240	87,782	115,173	178,508	4,594,294	3,151,388	6,668,289	15,238,599		
4 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Transm Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Distrib Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Prod-Intm	(42,937) 431,737 0	65,655 435,891 0	3,404 836,140 0	56,440 553,622 0	11,445 585,626 0	57,002 688,193 0	10,837 413,141 8,911	11,752 128,847 37,099	84,830 442,532 25,198	53,958 656,065 7,947	(1,733) 611,330 40,932	299,718 988,441 247,320	610,372 6,771,567 367,408		
5 Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor	0.97910	0.97440	0.97720	0.97450	0.97680	0.95510	0.94770	0.94580	0.94460	0.94320	0.94070	0.95320			
6 Retail Transmission Demand Jurisdictional Factor Retail Distribution Demand Jurisdictional Factor Retail Production Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Intm	0.72115 0.99529 0.86574														
7 Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs	94,570	74,545	81,536	25,591	74,368	80,458	83,191	108,931	168,619	4,333,338	2,964,511	6,356,213	14,445,871		
8 Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Transm (B) Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Distrib (B) Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Prod-Intm (B)	(30,964) 429,704 0	47,347 433,838 0	2,455 832,202 0	40,702 551,014 0	8,254 582,868 0	41,107 684,952 0	7,815 411,195 7,714	8,475 128,240 32,119	61,175 440,448 21,815	38,912 652,975 6,880	(1,250) 608,451 35,437	216,142 983,785 214,114	440,170 6,739,672 318,079		
9 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for O&M Activities (Lines 7 + 8)	\$493,310	\$555,730	\$916,193	\$617,307	\$665,490	\$806,517	\$509,915	\$277,765	\$692,057	\$5,032,105	\$3,607,149	\$7,770,254	\$21,943,792		

Notes:

(A) Line 3 x Line 5 (B) Line 4 x Line 6

Form 42-5A

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Final True-up Amount January 2004 to December 2004

Variance Report of Capital Investment Activities (In Dollars)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	YTD	Estimated/	Variar	
Line	Actual	Actual	Amount	Percent
1 Description of Capital Investment Activities				
3b Pipeline Integrity Management - Bartow/Anclote				
Pipeline	\$188,023	\$194,370	(\$6,347)	-3.3%
4a Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment -				
Turner CT's	80,840	73,945	6,895	9.3%
4b Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment -				
Bartow CT's	28,317	28,317	0	0.0%
4c Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment -				
Crystal River 1 & 2	6,278	6,278	0	0.0%
5 SO2 Emissions Allowances	386,980	500,891	(113,911)	-22.7%
2 Total Capital Investment Activities - Recoverable Costs	\$690,438	\$803,801	(\$113,363)	-14.1%
3 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	\$386,980	\$500,891	(\$113,911)	-22.7%
4 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	\$303,458	\$302,910	\$548	0.2%

Notes:

Column (1) is the End of Period Totals on Form 42-7A Column (2) = Estimated actual Column (3) = Column (1) - Column (2) Column (4) = Column (3) / Column (2) . .

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Final True-up Amount January 2004 to December 2004

				Capital Investm	nent Projects-Rei (in Dollars)	overable Costs										
		• • •												End of		
Line	Description	Actuai January 04	Actual February 04	Actual March 04	Actual April 04	Actual May 04	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Period	Method of C	
LIND	Cescipion	January 04	February 04	March 04	April 04	May U4	June 04	July 04	August 04	September 04	October 04	November 04	December 04	Total	Demand	Energy
10	Description of Investment Projects (A)															
	3b Pipeline Integrity Management - Bartow/Anclote Pipeline	\$9,024	\$15,577	\$16.037	\$16,124	\$16,245	\$16,324	\$16,344	\$16,352	\$16.379	\$16,376	\$16,346	\$16,895	\$188,023	\$188.023	\$0
	4a Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Turner CTs	6,063	6,521	6,606	6,663	6,720	6,808	6,877	6,907	6,918	6.918	6,918	6.921	80,840	80.840	Ō
	4b Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Bartow CTs	1,273	1,678	1,705	2,666	2,657	2,647	2,638	2,629	2,620	2,611	2,601	2.592	28,317	28,317	õ
	4c Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Crystal River 1&2	365	367	563	561	559	557	556	554	552	550	548	546	6.278	6,278	0
	5 SO2 Emissions Allowances	(9,336)	(10,269)	15,018	14,410	(16,166)	(20,888)	(21,842)	(22,953)	47,735	132,990	154,075	124,206	386,980	0	386,980
	_															
2 T	otal Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs	7,389	13,874	39,929	40,424	10,015	5,448	4,573	3,489	74,204	159,445	180,488	151,160	690,438	\$303,458	\$386,980
3 R	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	(9,336)	(10,269)	15,018	14,410	(16,166)	(20,888)	(21,842)	(22,953)	47,735	132,990	154,075	124,206	386,980		
	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Production - Base	365	367	563	561	559	557	556	554	552	550	548	546	6,278		
	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Production - Intermediate	9,024	15,577	16,037	16,124	16,245	16,324	16,344	16,352	16,379	16.376	16,346	16,895	188,023		
R	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Production - Peaking	7,336	8,199	8,311	9,329	9,377	9,455	9,515	9,536	9,538	9,529	9,519	9,513	109,157		
5 R	tetail Energy Jurisdictional Factor	0.97910	0.97440	0.97720	0.97450	0.97680	0.95510	0.94770	0.94580	0.94460	0.94320	0.94070	0.95320			
	Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production - Base	0.95957	0.95957	0.95957	0.95957	0.95957	0.95957	0.95957	0.95957	0.95957	0.95957	0.95957	0.95957			
	Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production - Intermediate	0.86574	0.86574	0.86574	0.86574	0.86574	0.86574	0.86574	0.86574	0.86574	0.86574	0.86574	0.86574			
R	Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production - Peaking	0.74562	0.74562	0.74562	0.74562	0.74562	0.74562	0.74562	0.74562	0.74562	0.74562	0.74562	0.74562			
7 J	urisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (B)	(9, 14 1)	(10,006)	14,676	14,042	(15,791)	(19,950)	(20,700)	(21,709)	45,091	125,436	144,938	118,393	365,279		
	urisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Production - Base (C)	350	352	540	538	536	534	534	532	530	528	526	524	6,024		
	urisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Production - Intermediate (C)	7,812	13,486	13,884	13.959	14.064	14.132	14.150	14.157	14,180	14,177	14,151	14,627	162,779		
J	urisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Production - Peaking (C)	5,470	6,113	6,197	6,956	6,992	7,050	7,095	7,110	7,112	7,105	7,098	7,093	81,390		
9 T	otal Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for															
lr.	westment Projects (Lines 7 + 8)	\$4,491	\$9,945	\$35.297	\$35.496	\$5,801	\$1,767	\$1.078	\$90	\$66,912	\$147,246	\$166,713	\$140.637	\$615.472		

Notes:

s: (A) Each project's Total System Recoverable Expenses on Form 42-8A, Line 9 (B) Line 3 x Line 5 (C) Line 4 x Line 6

Form 42-7A

•

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Final True-up Amount January 2004 to December 2064

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: PIPELINE INTEGRITY MANAGEMENT - Bartow/Anciote Pipeline (Project 3b) (in Dollars)

	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January 04	Actual February 04	Actual March 04	Actual April 04	Actual May 04	Actual June 04	Actuai July 04	Actual August 04	Actual September 04	Actual October 04	Actual November 04	Actual December 04	End of Period Total
1 Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)		\$1,104,029 0 0 0	\$76,669 0 0 0	\$6,238- C O O	\$9,410 0 0 0	\$12,330 0 0 0	\$2,000 , 0 0 0	\$1.527 0 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$4,919 0 0 0	(\$5,488) 0 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$71,362 33,952 0 0	
2 Plant-in-Servica/Depreciation Base (B) 3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation (C) 4 CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing 5 Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$0 0 260,964 \$260,964	0 0 <u>1,364,992</u> 1, <u>364,992</u>	0 0 1,441,662 1,441,662	0 0 1,447,900 1,447,900	0 0 1,457,310 1,457,310	0 0 1,469,640 1,469,640	0 0 1,471,640 1,471,640	0 0 1,473,167 1,473,167	0 0 1,473,167 1,473,167	0 0 1,478,085 1,478,085	0 0 1,472,597 1,472,597	0 0 1,472,597 1,472,597	33,952 (102) 1,510,007 1,543,858	
6 Average Net Investment		812,978	1,403,327	1,444,781	1,452,605	1,463,475	1,470,640	1,472,403	1,473,167	1,475,626	1,475,341	1,472,597	1,508,228	
7 Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (E 10.75% b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.57% x 1/12) 2.57%		7,283 1,741	12,571 3,005	12,943 3.094	13,013 3,111	13,110 3,134	13,174 3.150	13,190 3.153	13,197 3,155	13,219 3,160	13,217 3,160	13,†92 3.154	13,511 3,230	\$151,621 36,248
8 Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (E) 3.60% b. Amorization c. Dismantlement d. Property Taxes (I) e. Other (F)		D D N/A D	0 0 N/A 0	0 0 N/A 0 0	0 0 N/A 0	102 C N/A 52 D	102 0 N/A 52 0							
9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	-	9,024 0 9,024	15,577 0 15,577	16,037 0 16.037	16,124 0 16,124	16,245 0 16,245	16,324 0 16,324	16,344 0 16.344	16,352 0 16,352	16,379 0 16,379	16,376 0 16,376	16,346 0 16,346	16,895 0 16,895	188,023 0 188,023
10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor 11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Intermediate)		N/A 0.86574	N/A 0.86574	N/A 0.86574	N/A 0.86574	N/A 0.86574	N/A 0.86574	N/A 0.86574	N/A 0.86574	N/A 0.86574	N/A 0.86574	N/A 0.86574	N/A 0.86574	
12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (G) 13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (H) 14 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	-	0 7,812 \$7,812	0 13,486 \$13,486	0 13,884 \$13,884	0 13,959 \$13,959	0 14,064 \$14,064	0 <u>14,132</u> \$14,132	0 14,150 \$14,150	0 14,157 \$14,157	0 <u>14,180</u> \$14,180	0 14,177 \$14.177	0 <u>14,151</u> \$14,151	0 14,627 \$14,627	0 162,779 \$162,779

Line

Notes: (A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net investment for Bartow/Anclote Pipeline project. None for this period. (B) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by Bartow/Anclote Pipeline. (C) Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage (none for this period) and Other Recoveries (none for this period) and Cost of Removal based on Depreciation Rate for Bartow/Anclote Pipeline. (D) Line 6 x 10.7500% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 12.00%, equity component of capital structure of 6.51%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2002 Rate Case Settlement (Order No. PSC-02-0655-AS-E!). (F) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for Bartow/Anclote Pipeline project. None for this period. (G) 10.0000 (in the structure) and the set of the structure of the struc

(G) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1.00000 line loss multiplier. None for this period.

(I) Line by Line 11 (I) Line by Line 11 (I) Lines 2 + 3 x 89% @ .0183381 x 1/12 + 11% @ .0196598 x 1/12. Ratio from Property Tax Administration Department, based on plant allocation reported and 2002 Actual Property Tax Milage Rate.

Source:

Line Rc Based on 2002 Rate Case Settlement (Order No. PSC-02-0655-AS-EI)

Form 42-8A Page 1 of 5

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: ABOVE GROUND TANK SECONDARY CONTAINMENT - TURNER CTs (Project 4a) (In Dollars)

Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January 04	Actual February 04	Actual March 04	Actual April 04	Actual May 04	Actual June 04	Actual July 04	Actual August 04	Actual September 04	Actual October 04	Actual November 04	Actual December 04	End of Period Total
1 Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)		\$73,751 0 0 0	\$8,748 C 0 Q	\$6,609 0 0 0	\$3,586 0 0 0	\$6.744 0 0 0	\$8,981 0 0 0	\$3,491 0 0 0	\$1.988 0 0 0	\$୦ ୦ ୦ ୦	\$0 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$532 0 0 0	
2 Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (B) 3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation (C) 4 CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing 5 Net investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$0 0 509,360 \$509,360	0 0 <u>583,111</u> 583,111	0 0 591,859 591,859	0 0 598,469 598,469	0 0 602,055 602,055	0 0 608,799 608,799	0 0 617,780 617,780	0 0 621,271 621,271	0 0 623,259 623,259	0 0 623,259 623,259	0 0 623,259 623,259	0 0 623,259 623,259	0 0 <u>623,791</u> 623,791	
6 Average Net Investment		546,236	587,485	595,164	600,262	605,427	613,290	619,526	622,265	623,259	623,259	623,259	623,525	
7 Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C 10.75% b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.57% x 1/12) 2.57%		4,893 1,170	5,263 1,258	5,332 1,275	5,377 1,286	5,424 1.297	5,494 1,313	5,550 1,327	5,574 1,333	5,583 1,335	5,583 1,335	5,583 1,335	5,586 1,335	\$65,243 15,598
8 Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (E) 4.80% b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Property Taxes (I)		0 0 N/A 0	0 0 N/A	0 0 N/A	0 0 N/A	0 N/A 0	0 0 N/A 0	0 0 N/A 0	N/A 0	0 N/A 0	0 N/A 0	0 0 N/A	0 0 N/A 0	0 0 N/A 0
e. Other (F) 9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	-	6,063 0 6,063	6,521 0 6,521	6,606 0 6,606	6,663 0 6,663	6,720 0 6,720	6,808 0 6,808	6,877 0 6,877	6,907 0 6.907	6,918 0 6,918	6,918 0 6,918	6,918 0 6.918	6,921 0 6.921	80,840 0 80,840
10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor 11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Peaking)		N/A 0.74562	N/A 0.74562	N/A 0.74562	N/A 0.74562	N/A 0.74562	N/A 0.74562	N/A 0.74562	N/A 0.74562	N/A 0.74562	N/A 0.74562	N/A 0.74562	N/A 0,74562	
12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (G) 13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (H) 14 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	-	0 4,521 \$4,521	0 <u>4,862</u> \$4,862	0 4,926 \$4,926	0 4,968 \$4,968	0 5,011 \$5,011	0 5,076 \$5,076	0 5,128 \$5,128	0 5,150 \$5,150	0 5,158 \$5,158	0 5,158 \$5,158	0 5,158 \$5,158	0 <u>5,160</u> \$5,160	0 60,276 \$60,276

Line

Notes: (A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net investment for Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Turner CTs project. None for this period. (B) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Turner CTs. (C) Adjustments to Reserve for Groups Salvage (none for this period) and Other Recoveries (none for this period) and Coste of Removal based on Depreciation Rate for Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Turner CTs. (D) Line 6 x 10.7500% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 12.00%, equity component of capital structure of 6.61%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2002 Rate Case Settlement (Order No. PSC-02-0655-AS-EI).

(E) Line 2 x 4.80% x 1/12. Depreciation rate based on 1997 Depreciation Study (Order No. PSC-98-1723-FOF-EI).

(F) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Tumer CTs project. None for this period.

(G) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1.00000 line loss multiplier. None for this period.

(H) Line 9b x Line 11

(I) Lines 2 + 3 x .020912 x 1/12. Based on 2002 Actual Property Tax Milage Rate.

Source: Line 8c Based on 2002 Rate Case Settlement (Order No. PSC-02-0655-AS-EI)

Form 42-8A Page 2 of 5

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Final True-up Amount January 2004 to December 2004

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: ABOVE GROUND TANK SECONDARY CONTAINMENT - BARTOW CTs (Project 4b] (in Dollars)

Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January 04	Actual February 04	Actual March 04	Actual April 04	Actual May 04	Actual June 04	Actual July 04	Actual August 04	Actual September 04	Actual October 04	Actual November 04	Actual December 04	End of Period Total
1 Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)		\$68,291 0 0 0	\$4,629 0 0 0	\$203 0 0 0	\$0 153,698 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$0 - 0 0 0	\$0 0 0 0	\$0 0 0 0	\$0 0 0 0	\$D 0 0	\$0 0 0 0	\$C C C	
2 Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (B) 3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation (C) 4 CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing 5 Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$0 0 80,575 \$80,575	0 0 148,866 148,866	0 0 <u>153,495</u> 153,495	0 C <u>153,698</u> 153,698	153,698 (730) 0 152,968	153,698 (1,460) 0 152,238	153,698 (2,190) 0 151,508	153,698 (2,920) 0 150,778	153,698 (3,650) 0 150,048	153,698 (4,380) 0 149,318	153,698 (5,110) 0 148,588	153,698 (5,841) 0 147,858	153,698 (6,571) 0 147,128	
6 Average Net Investment		114,720	151,181	153,597	153,333	152,603	151,873	151,143	150,413	149,683	148,953	148,223	147,493	
7 Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (D) 10.75% b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.57% x 1/12) 2.57%		1,028 246	1,354 324	1,376 329	1,374 328	1,367 327	1,361 325	1,354 324	1,347 322	1,341 321	1, 334 319	1,328 317	1,32† 316	\$15,885 3,798
8 Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (E) 5.70% b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Property Taxes (I) e. Other (F)	_	C 0 N/A 0 0	0 0 N/A 0 0	0 0 N/A 0	730 0 N/A 234 0	730 0 N/A 233 0	730 0 N/A 232 0	730 C N/A 230 C	730 0 №/A 229 0	730 0 N/A 228	730 0 N/A 227	730 0 N/A 226	730 0 N/A 225	6,571 0 N/A 2,064 0
9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		1,273 0 1,273	1,678 0 1,678	1,705 0 1,705	2,666 0 2,666	2,657 0 2,657	2,647 0 2,647	2,638 0 2,638	2,629 0 2,629	2,620 0 2,620	2,611 0 2,611	2,601 0 2,601	2,592 0 2,592	28,317 0 28,317
10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor 11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Peaking)		N/A 0.74562	N/A 0.74562	N/A 0.74562	N/A 0.74562	N/A 0.74552	N/A 0.74562							
12 Retaii Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (G) 13 Retaii Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (H) 14 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	=	0 949 \$949	0 1,251 \$1,251	0 1,271 \$1,271	0 1,988 \$1,988	0 1,981 \$1,981	0 1,974 \$1,974	0 <u>1,967</u> \$1,967	0 1,960 \$1,960	0 <u>1,954</u> \$1,954	0 1,947 \$1,947	0 1,939 \$1,939	0 <u>1.933</u> \$1,933	0 21,114 \$21,114

Notes:

Line

(A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net investment for Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Bartow CTs project. None for this period.

(b) Description and reason for Other adjustments to the investment for Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Bartow C1s project. None for this period.
 (c) Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage (none for this period) and Other Recoveries (none for this period) and Cost of Removal based on Depreciation Rate for Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Bartow C1s.
 (c) Line 6 x 10.7500% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 12.00%, equily component of capital structure of 6.61%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.675% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2002 Rate Case Settlement (Order No. PSC-02-0655-AS-EI).
 (c) Line 2 x 5.70% x 1/12. Depreciation rate based on 1997 Depreciations Daty (Order No. PSC-02-0655-AS-EI).
 (c) Line 2 x 1.0000 line later adjustments to Investment expenses for Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Bartow C1s, not structure at the rate of 1.0000 line later adjustments to Investment expenses for Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Bartow C1s, not structure at the rate of 1.0000 line later adjustments to Investment expenses for Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Bartow C1s, not structure at the rate of 1.0000 line later adjustments to Investment expenses for Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Bartow C1s, not structure at the rate of 1.0000 line later adjustments to Investment expenses for Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Bartow C1s, not structure at the rate of 1.0000 line later adjustments to Investment expenses for Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Bartow C1s, not structure at the rate of 1.0000 line later adjustments adjusted and for the rate of the rate of

(G) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1.00000 line loss multiplier. None for this period.

(H) Line 9b x Line 11

(i) Lines 2 + 3 x .018338 x 1/12. Based on 2002 Actual Property Tax Milage Rate.

Line 8c Based on 2002 Rate Case Settlement (Order No. PSC-02-0655-AS-EI)

Form 42-8A Page 3 of 5 •

Calculation of the Final True-up Amount January 2004 to December 2004

Return on Capital Invastments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: ABOVE GROUND TANK SECONDARY CONTAINMENT - CRYSTAL RIVER 1 & 2 (Project 4c) (in Dollars)

1 Investments			
a. Expenditures/Additions \$373 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0		
b. Clearings to Plant 0 0 33,092 0 0 0 0 0 c. Retirements 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0	
c. Retirements 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0		
	սւ		
2 Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (B) \$0 0 0 33,092 33,092 33,092 33,092 33,092 33,092 33,092 33,092	33,092 33,092	2 33.092 33.092	
3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation (C) 0 0 0 (146) (292) (438) (585) (731) (877)	(1,023) (1,169		
4 CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing 32,719 33,092 33,092 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0		
5 Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4) 33,092 33,092 32,946 32,800 32,654 32,508 32,362 32,215	32,069 31,923	3 31,777 31,631	
6 Average Net Investment 32,906 33,092 33,019 32,873 32,727 32,581 32,435 32,288	32,142 31,996	6 31,850 31,704	
7 Return on Average Net Investment			
a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (D) 10.75% 295 296 296 294 293 292 291 289	288 287	7 285 284 \$3,49	190
b. Debl Component (Line 6 x 2.57% x 1/12) 2.57% 70 71 71 71 70 70 70 69 69	69 69		34
8 Investment Expenses			
a. Depreciation (E) 5.30% 0 0 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 0 0 0	146 146		162 D
	0 0 N/A N/A	0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	U
			193
d. Property Taxes (I) 0 0 50 50 50 49 49 e. Other (F) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	49 49	9 49 46 48 0 0 0	0
		<u> </u>	- <u>-</u> -
9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) 365 367 563 561 559 557 556 554	552 550	0 548 546 6,27	278
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0	0 0	0
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 365 367 563 561 559 557 556 554	552 550	0 548 546 6.27	78
10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor N/A		51/6 51/6	
	N/A N/A 0.95957 0.9595	N/A N/A 7 0.95957 0.95957	
	0.00007 0.0000	n 0.83831 0.85831	
12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (G) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0	0 0	0
13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (H)	530 528		
14 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13) \$350 \$352 \$540 \$538 \$536 \$534 \$534 \$532	\$530 \$528	8 \$526 \$524 \$6,02	124

Line

Notes: (A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net investment for Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Crystal River 1&2 project. None for this period. (B) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Crystal River 1&2. (C) Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage (none for this period) and Other Recoveries (none for this period) and Cost of Removal based on Depreciation Rate for Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Crystal River 1&2. (D) Line 6 x 10.7500% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 12.00%, equity component of capital structure of 6.61%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2002 Rate Case Settlement (Order No. PSC-02-0655-AS-EI). (E) Line 2 x 5.30% x 1/12. Depreciation rate based on 1997 Depreciation Study (Order No. PSC-09-0724-FOF-EI). (E) Line 2 x 5.30% x 1/12. Depreciation rate based on 1997 Depreciation Study (Corder No. PSC-09-0724-FOF-EI).

(F) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Crystal River 1&2 project. None for this period. (G) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1.00000 line loss multiplier. None for this period.

(H) Line 9b x Line 11 (I) Lines 2 + 3 x .018338 x 1/12. Based on 2002 Actual Property Tax Milage Rate.

Source: Line 8c Based on 2002 Rate Case Settlement (Order No. PSC-02-0655-AS-EI)

Form 42-8A Page 4 of 5 .

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Current Period Estimated/Actual Amount January 2004 to December 2004

Schedule of Amortization and Return Deferred Gain on Sales of Emissions Allowances (in Dollars)

Line	Description		eginning of riod Amount	Actual Jan-04	Actual Feb-04	Actual Mar-04	Actual Apr-04	Actual May-04	Actual Jun-04	Actual Jul-04	Actual Aug-04	Actual Sep-04	Actual Oct-04	Actual Nov-04	Actual Dec-04	End of Period Total
	Working Capital Dr (Cr) a. 1581001 SO ₂ Emission Allowance Inventory b. 25401FL Auctioned SO ₂ Allowance 2 Total Working Capital	\$	912,089 (1,707,393) (795,304)	\$820,501 (1,707,393) (\$886,893)	\$743,997 (1,707,393) (\$963,396)	\$5,376,809 (1,707,393) \$3,669,416	\$634,298 (1,707,393) (\$1,073,095)	\$558,164 (2,397,821) (\$1,839,657)	\$473,924 (2,397,821) (\$1,923,897)	\$386,142 (2,397,821) (\$2,011,678)	\$273,899 (2,397,821) (\$2,123,922)	\$13,122,647 (2,397,621) \$10,724,826	\$15,635,103 (2,397,821) \$13,237,283	\$16,921,715 (2,397,821) \$14,523,895	\$10,253,426 (2.397,821) \$7,855,605	\$10,253,426 (2,397,821) \$7,855,605
:	3 Åverage Net Investment			(841,098)	(925,144)	1,353,010	1,298,160	(1,456,376)	(1,881,777)	(1,967,788)	(2,067,800)	4,300,452	11,981,054	13,880,589	11,189,750	
	Return on Average Net Working Capital Balance a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (A) b. Debt Component (Line 3 x 2.57% x 1/12) Total Return Component (D)	10.75% 2.57%	-	(7,535) (1,801) (9,336)	(8,288) (1,981) (10,269)	12,121 2,898 15,018	11,629 2,780 14,410	(13,047) (3,119) (16,166)	(16,858) (4,030) (20,888)	(17,628) (4,214) (21,842)	(18,524) (4,429) (22,953)	38,525 9,210 47,735	107,330 25,659 132,990	124,347 29,728 154,075	100,242 23,965 124,206	\$312,315 74,665 386,980
	Expense Dr (Cr) a. 5090001 SO₂ allowance expense			96,589	76.504	83.438	26,261	76,134	84,240	87,782	115.173	178,508	4,594,294	3,151,388	6,668,289	15,238,599
;	VNet Expense (É)			95,589	76,504	83,438	26,261	76,134	84,240	87,782	115,173	178,508	4,594,294	3,151,388	6,668,289	15,238,599
ŧ	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 5 + 7) a. Recoverable costs allocated to Energy b. Recoverable costs allocated to Demand			87,253 87,253 0	66,235 66,235 0	98,456 98,456 0	40,670 40,670 0	59,968 59,968 0	63,353 63,353 0	65,939 65,939 0	92,221 92,221 0	226,243 226,243 0	4,727,283 4,727,283 0	3,305,463 3,305,463 0	6,792,496 6,792,496 0	15,625,579 15,625,579 0
	9 Energy Jurisdictional Factor 9 Demand Jurisdictional Factor			0.97910 N/A	0.97440 N/A	0.97720 N/A	0.97450 N/A	0.97680 N/A	0.95510 N/A	0.94770 N/A	0.94580 N/A	0.94460 N/A	0.94320 N/A	0.94070 N/A	0.95320 N/A	
	1 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B) 2 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)			85,429 0	64,539 0	96,212 0	39,633 0	58,577 0	60,508 0	62,490 0	87, <u>222</u> 0	213,710 0	4,458,774 0	3,109,449 0	6,474,607 0	14,811,149 0
1:	3 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 11 + 12)	2)	1	85,429 \$	64,539	96,212	39,633	58,577 \$	60,508 \$	62,490 \$	87,222	213,710	\$ 4,458,774	\$ 3,109,449	\$ 6,474,607	\$14,811,149

Notes: (A) Lines 3 x 10.7500% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 12.00%, equity component of capital structure of 6.61%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2002 Rate Case Settlement (Order No. PSC-02-0655-AS-EI) (B) Line 8a times Line 9 (C) Line 5 is reported on Capital Schedule (E) Line 7 is reported on O&M Schedule

Form 42-8A Page 5 of 5

. 1