E. EARL EDENFIELD, JR Senior Attorney

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Room 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (404) 335-0763

April 15, 2005

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayó
Division of the Commission Clerk and
Administrative Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No.: 041338-TP

Petition for Generic Proceeding to Set Rates, Terms, and Conditions for Batch Hot Cuts for UNE-P to UNE-L Conversions and for ILEC to UNE-L Conversions in the BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Service Area

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed is BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Response to Supra's Emergency Motion, which we ask that you file in the captioned docket.

Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

E. Earl Edenfield, Jr.

**Enclosure** 

cc: All Parties of Record Marshall M. Criser III Nancy B. White R. Douglas Lackey

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 041338-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via

Electronic Mail and First Class U.S. Mail this 15th day of April, 2005 to the

## following:

Felicia Banks
Jeremy Susac
Staff Counsels
Florida Public Service
Commission
Division of Legal Services
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
fbanks@psc.state.fl.us
jsusac@psc.state.fl.us

Vicki Gordon Kaufman
Moyle Flanigan Katz Raymond
& Sheehan, PA
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Tel. No. (850) 681-3828
Fax. No. (850) 681-8788
vkaufman@moylelaw.com
Atty. for Covad
Atty. for IDS

Charles E. (Gene) Watkins Senior Counsel Covad Communications Co. 1230 Peachtree Street, N.E. Suite I 900 Atlanta, Georgia 30309 Tel. No. (404) 942-3492 Fax. No. (404) 942-3495 gwatkins@covad.com Floyd Self
Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A.
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 701
P.O. Box 1876
Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876
Tel. No. (850) 425-5213
Fax No. (850) 224-4359
Atty. for ITC^DeltaCom
fself@lawfla.com

Nanette S. Edwards ITC^DeltaCom 4092 S. Memorial Parkway Huntsville, Alabama 35802 Tel. No. (256) 382-3856 Fax. No. (256) 382-3936 nedwards@itcdeltacom.com

Rose M. Mulvany
Birch Telecom of the South, Inc.
2020 Baltimore Avenue
Kansas City, MO 64108-1914
Tel. No. (816) 300-3731
Fax No. (816) 300-3350
rmulvany@birch.com

Matt Feil
Florida Digital Network, Inc.
2301 Lucien Way, Suite 200
Maitland, FL 32751-7025
Tel. No. (407) 835-0300
Fax. No. (407) 835-0309
mfeil@mail.fdn.com

Michael E. Britt
LecStar Telecom, Inc.
2 Ravinia Drive
Suite 1300
Atlanta, GA 30346-2123
Tel. No. (404) 659-9500 ext
Fax No. (404) 659-4900
Michael.britt@lecstar.com

Donna McNulty
MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc.
1203 Governors Square Blvd.
Suite 201
Tallahassee, FL 32301-2960
Tel. No. (850) 219-1008
Fax. No. (850) 219-1018
donna.mcnulty@mci.com

Dulaney O'Roark
MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc.
6 Concourse Parkway, Suite 600
Atlanta, GA 30328
de.oroark@mci.com

Danyelle Kennedy
Network Telephone Corporation
3300 North Pace Blvd.
Pensacola, FL 32505-5148
Tel. No. (850) 432-4855
Fax No. (850) 432-0218
Danyelle.kennedy@networktelephone.net

Angel Leiro IDS Telecom LLC 1525 N.W. 167<sup>th</sup> Street Miami, FL 33169 Tel. No. (305) 612-4311 Fax No. (305) 612-3027 aleiro@idstelcom.com

Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc Michael A. Gross 246 E. 6th Avenue, Suite 100 Tallahassee, FL 32303 Tel. No. (850) 681-1990 Fax No. (850) 681-9676 mgross@fcta.com Ann H. Shelfer
Supra Telecommunications & Info Sys
Koger Center – Ellis Building
1311 Executive Center Drive, Suite 220
Tallahassee, FL 32301-5067
Tel. No. (850) 402-0510
Fax No. (850) 402-0522
ashelfer@stis.com

Brian Chaiken
Supra Telecommunications & Info Sys
2901 SW 149th Avenue
Suite 300
Miramar, FL 33027
Tel. No. (785) 455-4248
Fax No. (785) 455-4640
bchaiken@stis.com

E. Earl Edenfield, Jr.

## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petition for Generic Proceeding to Set	)	
Rates, Terms, and Conditions for Batch Hot	)	Docket No. 041338-TP
Cuts for UNE-P to UNE-L Conversions and for	)	
ILEC to UNE-L Conversions in the BellSouth	)	
Telecommunications, Inc. Service Area	)	Filed: April 15, 2005
	)	

## BELLSOUTH'S RESPONSE TO SUPRA'S EMERGENCY MOTION

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") files this Response to the Emergency Motion for an Order to Establish Hearing Schedule ("Motion") filed by Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc. ("Supra") on April 8, 2005, and says:

In typical fashion, Supra has launched an unjustified and unilateral attack against the Commission Staff and, to a lesser extent, BellSouth. The basis for Supra's most recent "emergency" appears to be that the Commission Staff is not moving forward quickly enough (in Supra's estimation) to establish an issues list and procedural schedule in this case. As is usually the case with Supra's "emergencies" the basis upon which Supra makes the request is neither legally nor factually accurate.

For instance, Supra's insinuation that the Commission and BellSouth are somehow responsible for Supra's inability to transition, over the past two years, Supra's customer base from UNE-P to UNE-L is unfounded. In fact, Supra has transitioned many of its customers from UNE-P to UNE-L using the BellSouth processes and procedures that are currently in place. During that time, Supra has paid, and continues to pay, the conversion rates previously approved by the Commission. To the extent Supra has not converted all of the customers it wanted to convert, such failure results from Supra's ineptitude in upgrading its network to handle such

volume. In the future, maybe FDN will be able to provide Supra with the technical network abilities that Supra obviously lacks.

As to Supra's allegation that "Supra is no longer able to service new customers at competitive prices" such is simply nonsense. Over 110 carriers have entered into commercial agreements with BellSouth and BellSouth encourages Supra to do the same. If Supra chooses not to avail itself of the offer to negotiate a commercial agreement, Supra still has the option of resale at the discount rate approved by the Commission. Again, any competitive issues Supra perceives result from Supra's ineptness, not any actions by the Commission or BellSouth.

Supra's contention that BellSouth has taken inconsistent positions is also unfounded. If Supra is willing to waive any challenges to the cost study upon which the existing rates are based, then BellSouth will agree. Supra, however, wants to challenge the existing rates and have the Commission establish new rates, while at the same time try to preclude BellSouth from actually filing a new cost study. Supra cannot have it both ways and BellSouth should be afforded due process to put forth a new cost study if in fact the Commission is going to consider new rates with new processes.

Supra's criticism of the Commission Staff is also misleading. There have been multiple calls and meetings over the potential issues and scheduling for this proceeding. Commission Staff has expended a great deal of effort trying to focus the issues and set a schedule that is fair to all parties. Frankly, Supra's criticism is offensive and should be simply disregarded by the Commission.

As to Supra's request that the Commission expedite certain issues, the Commission should deny the request. First, the issues that Supra wants expedited may not even be presented in this hearing as those issues are being disputed by BellSouth. Indeed, in consolidating the

dockets, the Commission noted that "[o]nly Issues 1 and 2 in Docket 040301-TP are unique to

BellSouth and Supra. Supra has agreed to withdraw those issues after the hearing in Docket No.

040301-TP. The remaining issues are virtually identical to the Joint CLEC's petition in Docket

041338-TP." (Order No. PSC-05-0157-PCO-TP, at 4) (Emphasis added) Thus, these "Supra-

specific" issues will probably be subsumed into the other issues in this docket and, therefore,

may not even be separate issues going forward. Even if they remain separate issues, Supra has

not made any showing as to why those issues should be put on an accelerated track different

from the rest of the docket.

Further, Supra is compounding the scheduling problems by insisting on keeping issues

that they have already agreed will be dismissed after the hearing. This stubbornness only serves

to lengthen the hearing unnecessarily and wastes the time of the parties and the Commission in

having to review and respond to the issues.

In conclusion, all the parties are interested in having a procedural schedule and issues list.

The Commission Staff appears to be working towards that goal, thus the Commission need not

take any action as suggested by Supra.

Respectfully submitted this 15<sup>th</sup> day of April 2005.

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

NANCY B. WHITE

c/o Mancy Sims

150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400

Tallahassee, Florida 32301

(305) 347-5558

3

R. DOUGLAS LACKEY E. EARL EDENFIELD JR. 675 West Peachtree Street Suite 4300 Atlanta, Georgia 30375 (404) 335-0763

581497