

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED-FPSC

05 APR 20 PM 4: 22

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s)
petition for approval of long-term fuel) Docket No.: 041414-EI
supply and transportation contracts for)
Hines Unit 4 and additional system)
supply and transportation.) Submitted for Filing: April 20, 2005

COMMISSION
CLERK

**PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA'S AMENDED SECOND REQUEST
FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION**

Progress Energy Florida ("PEF" or the "Company"), pursuant to Section 366.093, Fla. Stats., and Rule 25-22.006, F.A.C., files this Amended Second Request for Confidential Classification of PEF's responses to Commission Staff's First Set of Interrogatories to Progress Energy Florida, Inc. (Nos. 1-51). Revised portions of PEF's responses to Staff's Interrogatories Numbers 18, 19, 22-25, 27, 30, 32, and 33 contain confidential information regarding contracts, bids, and proposals between PEF and fuel suppliers. Accordingly, PEF hereby submits the following.

Basis for Confidential Classification

Subsection 366.093(1), Florida Statutes, provides that "any records received by the Commission which are shown and found by the Commission to be proprietary confidential

CMP _____ business information shall be kept confidential and shall be exempt from [the Public Records
COM _____ Act].” § 366.093(1), Fla. Stats. Proprietary confidential business information means
CTR _____ information that is (i) intended to be and is treated as private confidential information by the
ECR 1 _____
GCL 1 _____ Company, (ii) because disclosure of the information would cause harm, (iii) either to the
OPC _____ Company's ratepayers or the Company's business operation, and (iv) the information has not
MMS _____ been voluntarily disclosed to the public. § 366.093(3), Fla. Stats. Specifically, "information
RCA _____
SCR _____
SEC 1 _____
OTH _____

TPA#2009972.1

RECEIVED & FILED

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

03873 APR 20 05

FPSC COMMISSION CLERK

ICone records

concerning bids or other contractual data” the “disclosure of which would impair the efforts of the public utility or its affiliates to contract for goods or services on favorable terms” is defined as proprietary confidential business information. § 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stats.

Responses to Staff's Interrogatories Numbers 18, 19, 22-25, 27, 30, 32, and 33

Portions of PEF's responses to Staff's Interrogatories Numbers 18, 19, 22-25, 27, 30, 32, and 33 should be afforded confidential treatment for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Pamela R. Murphy filed in support of PEF's Amended Second Request for Confidential Classification and for the following reasons. Staff's Interrogatories Numbers 18, 19, 22-25, 27, 30, 32, and 33, call for information relating to confidential contracts and proposals between PEF and potential fuel suppliers, as well as PEF's analysis of those contracts and proposals. PEF is requesting confidential classification of its responses because public disclosure of the information in question would violate confidentiality agreements between PEF and fuel suppliers and would impair PEF's ability to contract for services such as fuel supply on competitive and favorable terms.

PEF negotiates with potential fuel suppliers and transportation companies to obtain competitive contracts for fuel options that provide economic value to PEF and its ratepayers. (Affidavit of Pam Murphy at ¶15). In order to obtain such contracts, however, PEF must be able to assure fuel suppliers and transportation companies that sensitive business information, such as the quantity and pricing terms of their contracts, will be kept confidential. Id. PEF has kept confidential and has not publicly disclosed the confidential contract terms and provisions at issue here. Id. Absent such measures, suppliers and transportation companies would run the risk that sensitive business information that they provided in their contracts with PEF would be made available to the public and, as a result, end up in possession of potential competitors. Id. Faced

with that risk, persons or companies who otherwise would contract with PEF might decide not to do so if PEF did not keep those terms of their contracts confidential. Id. Without PEF's measures to maintain the confidentiality of sensitive terms in contracts between PEF and fuel suppliers and transportation contractors, the Company's efforts to obtain competitive fuel supply and transportation contracts would be undermined. Id.

Additionally, the disclosure of confidential information in PEF's fuel supply and transportation contracts would adversely impact PEF's competitive business interests. (Affidavit of Pam Murphy at ¶6). If such information was disclosed to PEF's competitors, PEF's efforts to obtain competitive fuel supply and transportation options that provide economic value to both PEF and its ratepayers would be compromised. Id.

Upon receipt of confidential information from fuel suppliers and transportation companies, and with its own confidential information, strict procedures are established and followed to maintain the confidentiality of the terms of the documents and information provided, including restricting access to those persons who need the information to assist the Company, and restricting the number of, and access to the information and contracts. (Affidavit of Pam Murphy at ¶7). At no time since receiving the contracts and information in question has the Company publicly disclosed that information or contracts. Id. The Company has treated and continues to treat the information and contracts at issue as confidential. Id.

Conclusion

The details and facts regarding PEF's contracts with potential fuel suppliers fit the statutory definition of proprietary confidential business information under Section 366.093 and Rule 25-22.006, F.A.C., and that information should be afforded confidential classification. In support of this motion, PEF has enclosed the following:

(1). A separate, sealed envelope containing one copy of the confidential Appendix A to PEF's Request for Confidential Classification for which PEF intends to request confidential classification with the appropriate section, pages, or lines containing the confidential information highlighted. These revised, highlighted responses should replace the corresponding original highlighted interrogatory responses provided in PEF's Second Request for Confidential Classification. **This information should be accorded confidential treatment pending a decision on PEF's request by the Florida Public Service Commission;**

(2). Two copies of the confidential responses with the information for which PEF intends to request confidential classification redacted by section, page, or lines where appropriate as Appendix B. These revised, redacted responses should replace the corresponding original redacted interrogatory responses provided in PEF's Second Request for Confidential Classification; and

(3). A revised justification matrix supporting PEF's request for confidential classification of the highlighted information contained in confidential Appendix A, as Appendix C. This revised justification matrix, in its entirety, should replace the original justification matrix filed with PEF's Second Request for Confidential Classification.

WHEREFORE, PEF respectfully requests that portions of its responses to Staff's Interrogatories Numbers 18, 19, 22-25, 27, 30, 32, and 33 be classified as confidential for the reasons set forth above.

Respectfully submitted this 20th day of April, 2005.

R. ALEXANDER GLENN
Deputy General Counsel – Florida
PROGRESS ENERGY SERVICE
COMPANY, LLC
100 Central Avenue, Ste. 1D
St. Petersburg, FL 33701
Telephone: (727) 820-5587
Facsimile: (727) 820-5519



GARY L. SASSO
Florida Bar No. 622575
JAMES MICHAEL WALLS
Florida Bar No. 0706272
JOHN T. BURNETT
Florida Bar No. 173304
CARLTON FIELDS, P.A.
Post Office Box 3239
Tampa, FL 33601-3239
Telephone: (813) 223-7000
Facsimile: (813) 229-4133

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing has been furnished to the following individuals as indicated in the service list on this 20th day of April, 2005.

Via electronic and U.S. Mail (without attachments)

Adrienne E. Vining, Esquire
Office of the General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Via Electronic and U.S. Mail (without attachments)

Patricia A. Christensen, Esquire
Office of the Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 West Madison St., Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Via electronic and U.S. Mail (without attachments)

David Lyles Cruthirds, Esquire
4302 Cheena Drive
Houston, TX 77096
- and -
BG LNG Services, LLC c/o
David N. Keane
Vice President, Policy and Corporate Affairs
BG North America, LLC
5444 Westheimer, Suite 1200
Houston, TX 77056



Attorney