BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Complaint of XO Florida, Inc. Against BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for Refusal to Convert Circuits to UNEs and for Expedited Processing

Docket No. 041114-TP

Filed: April 21, 2005

XO COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC.'S SUPPLEMENTAL PREHEARING STATEMENT

XO Communications Services, Inc. (XO), pursuant to Order No. PSC-05-0337-PCO-TP, files its Supplemental Prehearing Statement regarding Issue 4. This filing is a supplement to XO's original Prehearing Statement filed on January 24, 2005.

B. <u>WITNESSES</u>:

Supplemental Direct

<u>Witness</u>	Proferred by	<u>Issues</u>
Gary Case	XO	4
Supplemental Rebuttal		
Gary Case	XO	4

C. <u>EXHIBITS:</u>

Exhibit	Witness	Description
Exhibit No (GC-3)	Gary Case	Current Circuits Eligible for Conversion
Exhibit No(GC-4)	Gary Case	Disconnected Circuits
Exhibit No(GC-5)	Gary Case	Total Credits Due to XO
Exhibit No (GC-6)	Gary Case	March 2003 Conversion Request
Exhibit No (GC-7)	Gary Case	December 2004 Conversion Request

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

03952 APR 21 8

Exhibit No (GC-8)	Gary Case	December 2002 ICA Rate Amendment
Exhibit No(GC-9)	Gary Case	Attachment 12 to ICA
Exhibit No (GC-10)	Gary Case	Response to SWP-2
Exhibit No (GC-11)	Gary Case	Response to SWP-3
Exhibit No(GC-12)	Gary Case	Response to SWP-4
Exhibit No (GC-13)	Gary Case	Response to SWP-5
Exhibit No (GC-14)	Gary Case	Response to SWP-6

D. <u>STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION¹</u>:

The credits which the Commission should require BellSouth to give to XO due to BellSouth's refusal to process XO's special access conversion requests are those amounts delineated in Exhibit No. __ (GC-5), attached to the Supplemental Direct Testimony of XO witness, Gary Case. These credits include amounts for currently active circuits that BellSouth should have been converted as well as credits for disconnected circuits which BellSouth failed to convert. The credit amount for current circuits would need to be updated to account for the continued overbilling between the time the amounts in Exhibit No. __ (GC-5) were calculated and the date of actual conversion pursuant to Commission Order.

E. STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS:

ISSUE 4: If the Commission finds in favor of XO on Issue 1:

a. Which circuits are eligible for conversion?

XO: All of the circuits shown on Exhibit No. ___ (GC-3) are eligible for current conversion. Because the circuit list is not static, it will have to be updated at the conclusion of this proceeding.

b. What is the appropriate effective date of conversion for each eligible circuit?

XO: The appropriate effective date for the current circuits, Exhibit No. _ (GC-3), and for disconnected circuits eligible at the time of request, Exhibit No. _ (GC-4), is one billing cycle after the receipt of request by BellSouth.

¹ This should be added to the end of XO's Basic Position contained in its January 24, 2005 Prehearing Statement.

For those current and disconnected circuits for which XO seeks relief that were on XO's March 2003 conversion request, Exhibit No. ___ (GC-6), that effective date is April 2003, which is one billing cycle after the request was made. The appropriate effective date for the current and disconnected circuits for which XO seeks relief that were listed for the first time on XO's December 2004 conversion request, Exhibit No. ___ (GC-7), is January 2005, which is one billing cycle after the request was made.

c. Is XO entitled to any billing credits as a result of such conversion, and if so, what is the amount of such credit(s)?

Yes. XO is entitled to the amounts shown on Exhibit No. ___ (GC-5), as well as any continued overbilling between the time this amount was calculated and the ultimate conversion of circuits pursuant to Commission Order in this docket. In order to account for such continued overbilling, this amount will have to be updated at the conclusion of the proceeding due to the passage of time. The amount stated in Exhibit ___ (GC-5) includes credits for overbilling of currently active circuits and credits for past overbilling of circuits that should have been converted one billing cycle after request but have been disconnected in the many months this dispute has been pending.

H. PENDING CONFIDENTIALITY REQUESTS:

- 1. On April 8, 2005, BellSouth filed the Supplemental Direct Testimony of Shelley W. Padgett. This testimony included confidential Exhibits SWP-1 through 6 and SWP-8 and 9. These exhibits contain confidential proprietary XO information. BellSouth filed a Notice of Intent on April 8, 2005. XO will file a Request for Confidential Classification on April 29, 2005.
- 2. On April 21, 2005, XO filed the Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony and Exhibits of Gary Case. The testimony and exhibits contain confidential proprietary business information. XO simultaneously filed a Notice of Intent to Request Confidential Classification and will file its Request for Confidential Classification within the appropriate timeframe.

Villi Knam Laufman

Dana Shaffer
Vice President, Regulatory Counsel
XO Communications, Inc.
105 Molloy Street, Suite 300
Nashville, Tennessee 37201-2315

Vicki Gordon Kaufman Moyle Flanigan Katz Raymond & Sheehan, PA 118 North Gadsden Street. Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Attorneys for XO Communications Services, Inc.

V 1 '

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing XO Communications Services, Inc.'s Supplemental Prehearing Statement was served on the following parties by hand delivery this 21st day of April 2005:

Villi Anden hayman
Vicki Gordon Kaufman

Jason Rojas Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

James Meza Andrew Shore BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 675 W. Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 4300 Atlanta, Georgia 30375