Nancy B. White General Counsel - Florida

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Room 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (305) 347-5558 COMAY 19 PM 4:28 COMMISSION

Legal Department

CLERK

May 19, 2005

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayó Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Petition for Expedited Review of Growth Code Denials by the Number Pooling Administrator for the Weekiwachee exchange (Spring Hill)

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed is an original and fifteen copies of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Petition for Expedited Review of NXX-X Code Denial, which we ask that you file in the captioned *new* docket.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Nancy B. White / H Nancy B. White

cc: All Parties of Record Marshall M. Criser III R. Douglas Lackey

ED & FILED

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

04921 MAY 198

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Petition for Expedited Review of Growth Code Denials by the Number Pooling Administrator for the Weekiwachee exchange (Spring Hill)

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via

First Class U.S. Mail this 19th day of May, 2005 to the following:

Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission Division of Legal Services 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

NANPA Thomas Foley NPA Relief Planner 820 Riverbend Blvd. Longwood, Florida 32779-2327 Tel. No.: (407) 389-8929 Fax. No.: (407) 682-1108 thomas.foley@neustar.com

Nancy B. White



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for Expedited Review of Growth) Code Denials by the Number Pooling Administrator) for the Weekiwachee exchange (Spring Hill)) Docket No. 050364-Th

Filed: May 19, 2005

PETITION FOR EXPEDITED REVIEW OF NXX-X CODE DENIAL

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth"), pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 52.15(g)(iv), Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") Order FCC 00-104, and Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") Order No. PSC-01-1873-PCO-TL, petitions the Commission to review the Pooling Administrator's ("NeuStar") denial of BellSouth's request for additional numbering resources in the Weekiwachee exchange. In support of this petition, BellSouth states:

PARTIES

1. BellSouth is a corporation organized and formed under the laws of the State of Georgia and an incumbent local exchange company ("ILEC") regulated by the Commission and authorized to provide local exchange telecommunications and intraLATA toll telecommunications in the State of Florida.

 NeuStar is an independent non-governmental entity, which is responsible for administering and managing the numbering resources in pooling areas. See 47 C.F.R. § 52.20(d).

JURISDICTION

3. The Commission has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to Industry Numbering Committees (INC) Number Pooling Guidelines Sections 3.7 and 12(c). This

> 0492 MAY 19 8 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

provision provides that a carrier may challenge NeuStar's decision to deny numbering resources to the appropriate regulatory authority.

BACKGROUND AND REQUEST FOR RELIEF

4. On March 31, 2000, the FCC issued Order No. 00-104 ("FCC 00-104" or the "Order") in the Numbering Resource Optimization docket (Docket No. 99-200). The goal of FCC 00-104 was to implement uniform standards governing requests for telephone numbering resources in order to increase efficiency in the use of telephone numbers and to avoid further exhaustion of telephone numbers under the NANP.

5. Among other things, FCC 00-104 adopted a revised standard for assessing a carrier's need for numbering resources by requiring rate center based utilization rates to be reported to North American Numbering Plan Administrator ("NANPA"). FCC Order The FCC further required that, to qualify for access to new numbering at § 105. resources, applicants must establish that existing numbering inventory within the applicant's rate center will be exhausted within six months of the application. Prior to the ruling, the Central Office Code Assignment Guidelines, used by the industry and NANPA to make code assignments, required the applicant's existing number inventory within the applicant's serving switch to exhaust within a specific months-to-exhaust ("MTE") of the code application in order for a code to be assigned or for the carrier to prove that it was unable to meet a specific customer's request with its current inventory of numbers. The FCC stated that the shift to a "rate center" basis for determining the need for new numbering resources was intended to "more accurately reflect how numbering resources are assigned" and to allow "carriers to obtain numbering resources in response to specific customer demands." FCC Order at ¶ 105.

6. On December 29, 2000, the FCC also released FCC 00-429, which reaffirmed FCC 00-104 and also required carriers to also meet a 60 percent initial utilization threshold. FCC 00-429 at \P 26. Based on these two FCC orders, carriers are required to meet a six MTE criteria as well as a utilization threshold on a rate center/exchange basis in order to be granted additional numbering resources. Id. at \P 29.

7. In FCC 00-104, the FCC directed the industry and the Pooling Administrator to comply with the INC Pooling Guidelines. FCC 11-104 ¶183. Pursuant to the INC Guidelines, in order to obtain thousand-block allocations, the carrier must demonstrate that its existing numbering resources for the rate center will exhaust within six (6) months and also have a utilization of 60 percent for the specific rate center. See INC Guidelines Section 4.3(d) and Appendix 3. These requirements are known as the six (6) months-to-exhaust ("MTE") and utilization threshold.

8. Since the beginning of this year, BellSouth has submitted several requests for additional numbering resources to North American Numbering Plan Administrator ("NANPA") and NeuStar for assignment of additional numbering resources to meet the demands of its customers in several Florida exchanges, including Cocoa Beach, Daytona Beach, DeLand, Ft. Lauderdale, Gainesville, Hollywood, Jacksonville, Keys, Miami, North Dade, Orlando, Palm Coast, Sebastian, Weekiwachee Springs, and West Palm Beach.

9. BellSouth has completed these applications in accordance with INC guidelines and filled out the necessary Months-to-Exhaust and Utilization Certification Worksheets as required.

10. BellSouth has utilized mechanisms such as number pooling to manage its numbering resources in the most efficient manner. However, as the Commission is well aware, in some circumstances, BellSouth has been required to petition the Commission for relief.

11. On May 25, 2001, BellSouth petitioned the Commission to develop an expedited process to review NANPA's denial of a request for additional numbering resources to minimize the delay carrier's experience in attempting to challenge a denial by NANPA. As a result of the BellSouth's Petition and the Commission's efforts to make numbering resources available to carriers, the Commission issued Order No. PSC-01-1873-PCO-TL setting forth an expedited code denial process for non-pooling areas. On March 15, 2002, the Commission issued Order No. PSC-02-0352-PAA-TL adopting the same expedited code denial process for pooling areas.

12. The Weekiwachee exchange consists of two (2) central offices and two (2) switching entities that utilize numbering resources: Spring Hill (WWSPFLSHDS0) and Highland (WWSPFLHIDS0).

13. On May 17, 2005, BellSouth requested additional numbering resources from NeuStar for the Weekiwachee – Spring Hill (WWSPFLSHDS0) switch. <u>See</u> Attachment 1. Specifically, BellSouth requested two (2) 1,000 consecutive number block in order to meet growth in the switch.

14. At the time of the code request, the Weekiwachee exchange had a MTE of 12.11 and a utilization of 82.943%, while the MTE for the Spring Hill (WWSPFLSHDS0) switch was 3.70.

15. On May 17, 2005, NeuStar's automated number request system denied BellSouth's request for additional numbering resources because BellSouth had not met the rate center based MTE criteria, notwithstanding the fact that BellSouth is unable to provide the numbering resources requested by the specific customer. <u>See</u> Attachment 1. Pursuant to Commission Order No. PSC-01-1973-PCO-TL, attached to this Petition is the MTE and utilization rate for each switch in the Weekiwachee exchange. <u>See</u> Attachment 2.

16. As discussed above, both the FCC Order and the INC guidelines provide that state regulatory authorities have the power and authority to review NeuStar's decision to deny a request for numbering resources. <u>See</u> INC Number Pooling Guidelines Sections 3.7 and 12(c).

17. Under earlier MTE procedures used by NANPA, waivers or exceptions were granted when customer hardships could be demonstrated or when the service provider's inventory did not have a block of sequential numbers large enough to meet the customer's specific request. Under existing procedures, NeuStar nor NANPA looks at the number of MTE and utilization for the entire rate center without exception. The current process is arbitrary and results in (1) decisions contrary to the public interest and welfare of consumers in the State of Florida; and (2) decisions that do not necessarily promote the efficient use of telephone numbers.

18. BellSouth requests that the Commission's reverse NeuStar's decision to withhold numbering resources from BellSouth on the following grounds:

(a) NeuStar's denial of numbering resources to BellSouth interferes withBellSouth's ability to serve its customers within the State of Florida.

(b) The MTE at the rate center level requirement is discriminatory against the incumbent LEC, since the ILEC is typically the only local service provider with multiple switches in a rate center. The ILEC deploys multiple switches in a rate center in order to meet customer demand for telephone service. The new FCC rules for obtaining numbering resources both penalizes and discriminates against the ILECs for deploying multiple switches. BellSouth believes that it is patently unfair to require that the ILEC only get six (6) MTE in all the switches it has deployed in a rate center, when the ALECs, which have recently entered the local service market, have to meet the MTE requirement in only the single switch that they have deployed to serve their customers in a single rate center or even multiple rate centers.

(c) As a result of NeuStar's denial of BellSouth's request for additional numbering resources, BellSouth will be unable to provide telecommunications services to its customers as required under Florida law.

WHEREFORE, BellSouth requests:

1. The Commission review the decision of NeuStar to deny BellSouth's request for additional numbering resources for the Weekiwachee exchange; and

2. The Commission direct NeuStar to provide the requested numbering resources for the Weekiwachee exchange as discussed above.

Respectfully submitted this 19th day of May, 2005.

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.


h li) hito

Nancy B. White c/o Nancy H. Sims 150 South Monroe Street Suite 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (305) 347-5558


tacker RM

R. Douglas Lackey () 675 West Peachtree Street, Suite 4300 Atlanta, Georgia (404) 335-0747


ATTachment 1



REDACTED




م REDACTED



3 REDACTED

in the mark streng sectors. Mark and				and the second se
yan Ferentes Lock yab	d Steams (3)	1- 3 B · 1		
https://www.nationalpooling.com/pas	ufframes index.jpp			<u>.</u>
		ST EXCLUSED COOR(S) OF BROCK(S		
	1			ية ا
INEUSTAR	3			
E Baguest For Ressures				
Carles and Fer Remaining	l			
Di Meany				
Downard				Ŀ
I La Blads Yransfer	E Orowin History - Pre	Mous & months? *		-
IN Chantin Blod(6) in Santos (P)	Month 1		Month 2	
H Blank Form	Month 3		Honth 4	
Courses and I wanted				
85 🔮 Liner Profile	Month 6		Monih B	
B Banate Biede	F Forecast- Next 12 m	* Cathon	and the second	
A CRopats	Month 1		Monin 2	
	Month 3		Month 4	
11	Month 5		Month B	
	Month 7		Month 8	
	Month 9		Monite 10	
	Month 11			
1	MONT 11		Month 12	
© 1593.230% heredure, k.: Legal Holices Privacy Agreement	. I		Part F above) divided by 6) nment to cuelomers (A) / Average Nonthly F	A Shamat
C 393 200 Pendur, r. Lagel Holices (Privacy Agreement Version 200 Pendur) Sever Factors (Joch 1940	H. Monins is Exhaust	⁴ Olumbers Arbitable for Assig to a by the Recently		A Shamat
Construction for the second s	H. Monins is Exhaust	1 Okumbers Analabis ici Assig Ini Lugi pelinentis Gradi I angla a		A Contract
Construction for the second s	H. Monins to Exhaust	1 Olumbers Analabis ici Assig Adag belin atlı 3- 3 1 2 - 2	nment to customers (A) / Average Monthly F	A Contract
Construction for the second s	H Monins to Exhaust	1 Olumbers Analabis ici Assig Adag belin atlı 3- 3 1 2 - 2	nment lo cuelomers (A) / Average Monihy F	A Contract
e - 1992 2002 Inc. 2004 Lagel Holices Princy Agrammer Bow Farchby Jock (Ho → · ② ② ④ ③ ③ Saun Indge://www.rationalycolog.com/par NECSSTAR	H. Monins to Exhaust	1 Olumbers Analabis ici Assig Adag belin atlı 3- 3 1 2 - 2	nment to customers (A) / Average Monthly F	A Contract
Construction for the second s	H. Monihs is Exhaust H. Monihs is Exhaust H. Exhaust contraction the Carrier contraction the Carrier contraction the contrelation	1 Olumbers Analabis ici Assig Adag belin atlı 3- 3 1 2 - 2	nment to customers (A) / Average Monthly F	A Contract of the second se
e 1992 2002 Princips de la series Berr Parcettes Jack (seb → · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	H Monihs io Exhaust H Monihs io Exhaust H Monihs io Exhaust H Monihs io Exhaust H Monih Si North 9 North 1 O Average Monthly Fa	1 Olumbers Analabis ici Assig In Ling ici Brandik 2 - 2 1 - 2 1 - 2 - 2 1	nment to customers (A) / Average Monthly F Month 10 Manth 12 Part F above) dMded by 6)	
O 1992 2002 Inclusion Lagel Holdces Privacy Agreement Server Parcetage Lack table Server Agreement Determined and and and and and and and and and an	H Monihs io Exhaust H Monihs io Exhaust H Monihs io Exhaust H Monihs io Exhaust H Monih Si North 9 North 1 O Average Monthly Fa	1 Olumbers Analabis ici Assig In Ling ici Brandik 2 - 2 1 - 2 1 - 2 - 2 1	nment to customers (A) / Average Monthly F	Torec set (0))
	H Monins to Exhaust	Olymbers Analobis kir Assigned blank in Assigne	nment to customers (A) / Average Monthly F Month 10 Manth 12 Part F above) dMded by 6)	forecast (0))
O 1992 2002 Inclusion Lagel Holdces Privacy Agreement Server Parcetage Lack table Server Agreement Determined and and and and and and and and and an	H Monins to Exhaust	1 Olumbers Available for Assig In Lag be Exactly In Lag be Exactly In Exact (Sum of months # 1-8 (Olumbers Available for Assig It is a first able for Assig It is a first able for Assig	nment to customers (A) / Average Monthly F Month 10 Manth 10 Manth 12 Part F above) divided by 6) nment to customers (A) / Average Monthly I	(0)) Marcana (0)) Marcana (0)
O 1992 2002 Intercione de la constante de	H Monins is Exhaust	Olymbers Analobis kir Assigned blank in Assigne	nment to customers (A) / Average Monthly F Month 10 Manth 10 Manth 12 Part F above) divided by 6) nment to customers (A) / Average Monthly I	forecast (0))
O 1992 2002 Products de la constante de l	H Monins io Exhaust H Monins io Exhaust th Garavertes (3) [2 proves-rider.jpp North 9 North 9 No	Olumbers Analabie to Assign July policy estimates July policy	nment to customers (A) / Average Monthly F Month 10 Manth 10 Manth 12 Part F above) divided by 6) nment to customers (A) / Average Monthly I	Torecast (3))
O - 1992 2002 Princips of a surface of	H Monihs to Exhaust	Olumbers Analabies for Assigned by the profile of the set is The set of the set	nment to customers (A) / Average Monthly F Month 10 Month 10 Month 12 Part F above) divided by 5) nment to customers (A) / Average Monthly 1 A Aver	(0))
O	 H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ Month 1 O. Average Monthly Far H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ I. Utilization⁶ (As signed (D)) * 1 b0 	Olumbers Analabies for Assigned by the profile of the set is The set of the set	nment to customers (A) / Average Monthly F Month 10 Month 10 Month 12 Part F above) divided by 5) nment to customers (A) / Average Monthly 1 A Aver	(orecast (0)) No. 19,14 12,114
O - 1992 2002 Princips of a surface of	H Monins io Exhaust H Monins io Exhaust th Garavertes (3) [2 proves-rider.jpp North 9 North 9 No	Olumbers Analabies for Assigned by the profile of the set is The set of the set	nment to customers (A) / Average Monthly F Month 10 Month 10 Month 12 Part F above) divided by 5) nment to customers (A) / Average Monthly 1 A Aver	Torecast (3))
O	 H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ Month 1 O. Average Monthly Far H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ I. Utilization⁶ (As signed (D)) * 1 b0 	Olumbers Analabies for Assigned by the profile of the set is The set of the set	nment to customers (A) / Average Monthly F Month 10 Month 10 Month 12 Part F above) divided by 5) nment to customers (A) / Average Monthly 1 A Aver	Torecast (0))
O	 H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ Internet Expension (199) Month 11 O. Average Monthly For H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ I. Utilized on⁶ (Assigned (20) * 100 Explanation 	Okumbers Available for Assigned by the first set is Set of the first set is Set of the first set is Set of the first set of t	nment to customers (A) / Average Monthly F Month 10 Manth 10 Manth 12 Part F above) divided by 8) nment to customers (A) / Average Monthly I A / Average Monthly I	(3)) More cast (3)) More cast (3) More cast (3) 12,114 \$2,343
O	 H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ Internet contract of the second se	Okumbers Analable for Assigned by the Provide the set of the	Inment to customers (A) / Average Monthly F Month 10 Month 10 Month 10 Month 12 Farl F above) divided by 6) Inment to customers (A) / Average Monthly I A	forecast (3)) Mar inclusion of the so 12,343
O	 H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ Internet contract of the second se	Okumbers Arailable for Assigned by the Provide the set is a sequent of the set of t	Inment to customers (A) / Average Monthly F Month 10 Month 10 Month 10 Month 12 Part F above) dMded by 6) Inment to customers (A) / Average Monthly I A	forecast (0)) Mar inclusion of any inclusion of the second of the secon
O	 H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ Month 11 O. Average Monthly Fai H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ I. Utilization⁶(Assigned (D)) * 100 Explanation A copy of this numbering res Net change in Month #1, and S. Forecast of TF 4. To be assigned 	Okumbers Araidable for Assigned by the Prosette Second	Ament to customers (A) / Average Monthly F Month 10 Month 10 Month 10 Month 12 Part F above) divided by 5) Amend to customers (A) / Average Monthly I A Average Monthly I	forecast (0)) More requesting additional sen a copy of the document ing with the meas distant month at the as Month #1
O	 H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ International Explorer discovery International Explorer discovery Monih 1 O. Average Monthly For H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ I. Ublication² (Assigned (2)) * 100 Explanation A copy of this numbering as 2. Net Charge in Month 1, and 3. Forecast of The Charge in Month 4, and 5. Forecast of The Security (2) 	Okumbers Anti-John kir Assigned black in As	Ament to customers (A) / Average Monthly F Mansh to customers (A) / Average Monthly F Mansh 10 Mansh 10 Mansh 10 Mansh 12 Part F above) divided by 6) Amend to customers (A) / Average Monthly I A Average Monthly I Average	forecast (3)) More that at a set of the set
C 1992 2002 Products of a constant of the cons	 H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ International Explorer discovery International Explorer discovery Monih 1 O. Average Monthly For H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ I. Ublication² (Assigned (2)) * 100 Explanation A copy of this numbering as 2. Net Charge in Month 1, and 3. Forecast of The Charge in Month 4, and 5. Forecast of The Security (2) 	Okumbers Anti-John kir Assigned black in As	Amend to customers (A) / Average Monthly F Month 10 Month 10 Month 10 Month 12 Part F above) divided by 6) Anneal to customers (A) / Average Monthly I A Average Monthly	forecast (3)) More that at a set of the set
O - 1992 2002 Princips of a constant of the constant of t	 H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ International Explorer discovery International Explorer discovery Monih 1 O. Average Monthly For H. Monihs to Exhaust⁴ I. Ublication² (Assigned (2)) * 100 Explanation A copy of this numbering as 2. Net Charge in Month 1, and 3. Forecast of The Sassigned of Concests, (FCC) Newly acquire 	Okumbers Available for Assigned by the Point of the set is Set of the set is Set of the set is Set of the set of th	Ament to customers (A) / Average Monthly F Mansh to customers (A) / Average Monthly F Mansh 10 Mansh 10 Mansh 10 Mansh 12 Part F above) divided by 6) Amend to customers (A) / Average Monthly I A Average Monthly I Average	forecast (3)) More that at a set of the set

५ REDACTED





Weekiwachee Springs Utilization Summary Report

Attachment 2

Exchange	Central Office	Wire Center CLLI	Blocks	Avg Growth Per Month	Available TNs	MTE
Weekiwachee Springs	Spring Hill	WWSPFLSHDS0	52			
Weekiwachee Springs	Highland	WWSPFLHIDS0	30			

REDACTED