BEFORE THE 1 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 DOCKET NO. 041114-TP 3 IN THE MATTER OF: 4 COMPLAINT OF XO FLORIDA, INC. AGAINST BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, 5 INC. FOR ALLEGED REFUSAL TO CONVERT CIRCUITS TO UNES; AND REQUEST FOR 6 EXPEDITED PROCESSING. 7 8 9 ELECTRONIC VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE 10 A CONVENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT THE OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING, 11 THE .PDF VERSION INCLUDES PREFILED TESTIMONY. 12 13 PROCEEDINGS: HEARING 14 COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON 15 BEFORE: COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR 16 Thursday, May 19, 2005 DATE: 17 18 Commenced at 9:30 a.m. TIME: Concluded at 9:30 a.m. 19

PLACE:

REPORTED BY:

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commenced at 9:30 a.m.

Concluded at 9:30 a.m.

Betty Easley Conference Center
Room 148

4075 Esplanade Way
Tallahassee, Florida

JANE FAUROT, RPR
Chief, Office of Hearing Reporter Services
FPSC Division of Commission Clerk and
Administrative Services
(850) 413-6732

PARTICIPATING:

ANDREW SHORE, ESQUIRE, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400, Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1556, appearing on behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

VICKI GORDON KAUFMAN, ESQUIRE, Moyle, Flanigan, Katz, Raymond & Sheehan, P.A., 118 North Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of XO Communications Services, Inc.

DANA SHAFFER, ESQUIRE, XO Florida, Inc., 105 Molloy Street, Suite 300, Nashville, Tennessee 37201-2315, appearing on behalf of XO Florida, Inc.

JASON ROJAS, ESQUIRE, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of the Florida Public Service Commission Staff.

PROCEEDINGS

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Call the hearing to order.

Could I have the notice read, please.

MR. ROJAS: Pursuant to notice issued, this time and place has been set for hearing in Docket Number 041114-TP regarding the complaint of XO Florida, Incorporated, against BellSouth Telecommunications, Incorporated, for alleged refusal to convert circuits to UNEs. The purpose is set forth in the notice.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you.

Appearances.

MR. SHORE: I'm Andrew Shore on behalf of BellSouth.

MS. KAUFMAN: Vicki Gordon Kaufman of the Moyle

Flanigan law firm. And with me is Dana Shaffer, Vice

President, Regulatory Counsel, for XO Communications, and we

are here on behalf of XO.

MR. ROJAS: Jason Rojas on behalf of the Commission.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Mr. Rojas, I think we have a very significant preliminary matter we need to discuss.

MR. ROJAS: Yes, sir, we do. The parties have filed a joint motion for continuance, and I believe it's pending a written settlement. I'm sure they can address it.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes. I have received the motion. I have reviewed it and I think Commissioner Edgar has, as well. First of all, I want to congratulate the parties for

being able to -- what appears to be an imminent settlement. I guess that is the only question I have. I certainly don't mind continuing the hearing if it appears that settlement is at hand. This matter has been going on for some time. We have had one continuance before when we added some issues for clarification and to make sure the record was complete. But if I can get that assurance, that would certainly give me some comfort.

MR. SHORE: Yes, sir. Certainly we believe we have an agreement, and the motion is subject to having us execute a settlement agreement and cross the Ts and dot the Is. But I think in our mind, and I'm sure XO will agree that we do have a deal.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: You have a conceptual agreement, anyway, is that correct?

MR. SHORE: Yes. You know, we have a term sheet that sets forth the terms, but you know how us lawyers are, we've got to make it more complicated.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay.

MS. KAUFMAN: I think XO would agree. We spent a lot of time yesterday hammering it out. And as Mr. Shore said, we have got an agreement in principle with the major terms, and we have to just convert it into a formal settlement document. And we're going to get to that very quickly, as soon as Ms. Shaffer returns to her office tomorrow.

Mr. Rojas. If we accept the -- approve the motion for continuance, obviously there is no reason to go forward with the hearing today. This whole matter would be continued, and we would be awaiting the receipt of the settlement, is that correct?

MR. ROJAS: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And then once we receive the settlement, that will be presented to this panel of Commissioners for -- or does the matter just get resolved on its own and the complaint is withdrawn and there is no further need for Commission involvement?

MR. ROJAS: I believe there would be no further need for Commission involvement.

MR. SHORE: The understanding that we have is once we execute the settlement agreement that XO will dismiss its case, so it will be over and the Commission will not have to fool with it.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: That's even better.

Commissioner Edgar, do you have any questions?

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: I'm comfortable. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Unless there is an objection from you, I think the Commission will recognize the motion and approve that motion for continuance. This matter will be continued, and we will be awaiting the settlement. And

hopefully accompanying that settlement, or shortly thereafter, there will be a withdrawal of the complaint, and there will be no need for -- now that is another question I guess I have.

Is the settlement, itself, to be filed with the Commission, or this is just between the parties, and once you all reach your settlement you will withdraw the complaint and, therefore, there is no need for Commission action?

MS. SHAFFER: Dana Shaffer on behalf of XO. I think Mr. Shore will agree with me, the settlement, itself, will not be filed unless it results in some terms that require an amendment to the interconnection agreement. And to the extent those terms are incorporated into our interconnection agreement, those amendments and/or interconnection agreements will be filed for approval.

MR. SHORE: I think that's right. But the settlement agreement, itself, is just between the parties, and XO will dismiss its case with prejudice, or whatever the proper terminology here is.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: That certainly sounds reasonable and acceptable, and I assume staff agrees with that procedure?

MR. ROJAS: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: All right. Once again, I congratulate the parties. I know that this has been a long contentious proceeding. And to the extent that you all have

1	reached in agreement, I congratulate you on your efforts.
2	MS. KAUFMAN: Thank you.
3	MS. SHAFFER: Thank you.
4	COMMISSIONER DEASON: This is the type thing that the
5	Commission likes to see. I think I can speak on Commission
6	Edgar's behalf in that regard, as well.
7	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Yes, sir.
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. This matter is
9	continued, and this hearing is adjourned.
LO	Thank you all.
11	MS. KAUFMAN: Thank you, Commissioners.
L2	MR. SHORE: Thank you.
L3	(The hearing concluded at 9:35 a.m.)
L4	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

STATE OF FLORIDA)
: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
COUNTY OF LEON)

I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Office of Hearing Reporter Services, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.

IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.

DATED THIS 19th day of May, 2005.

JANE FAUROT, RPR

Chief, Office of Hearing Reporter Services
FPSC Division of Commission Clerk and
Administrative Services
(850) 413-6732