

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for rate increase by  
Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

Docket No. 050078-EI  
Submitted for filing:  
June 6, 2005

**PEF'S OBJECTIONS TO OPC'S FIFTH SET OF REQUESTS FOR  
PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS (NOS. 126-144)**

Pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 28-106.206, Rule 1.350 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Order Establishing Procedure in this matter, Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF") hereby serves its objections to the Office of Public Counsel's ("OPC's") Fifth Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Nos. 126-144) and states as follows:

**GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

PEF generally objects to the time and place of production requirement in OPC's Fifth Set of Requests for Production of Documents and will make all responsive documents available for inspection and copying at the offices of Carlton Fields, P.A., 215

S. Monroe Street, Suite 500, Tallahassee, Florida, 32301 at a mutually-convenient time, or will produce the documents in some other manner or at some other place that is mutually convenient to both PEF and OPC for purposes of inspection, copying, or handling of the responsive documents.

With respect to the "Definitions" and "Instructions" in OPC's Fifth Request For Production (Nos. 126-144), PEF objects to any definitions or instructions that are inconsistent with PEF's discovery obligations under applicable rules. If some question arises as to PEF's discovery obligations, PEF will comply with applicable rules and not

CMP \_\_\_\_\_  
COM \_\_\_\_\_  
CTR \_\_\_\_\_  
EDR \_\_\_\_\_  
GLL \_\_\_\_\_  
OPC \_\_\_\_\_  
AMS \_\_\_\_\_  
RCA \_\_\_\_\_  
SCR \_\_\_\_\_  
SEC | \_\_\_\_\_  
DTH \_\_\_\_\_

with any of OPC's definitions or instructions that are inconsistent with those rules. PEF also objects to any request that calls for documents to be produced from the files of PEF's outside or in-house counsel in this matter because such documents are privileged and/or work product and are otherwise not within the scope of discovery under the applicable rules and law. Furthermore, PEF objects to any definition or request that seeks to encompass persons or entities other than PEF who are not parties to this action and thus are not subject to discovery. No responses to the requests will be made on behalf of persons or entities other than PEF. Furthermore, PEF objects to any request that calls for PEF to create documents that it otherwise does not have because there is no such requirement under the applicable rules and law.

Additionally, PEF generally objects to OPC's requests to the extent that they call for documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the accountant-client privilege, the trade secret privilege, or any other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law. PEF will provide a privilege log in accordance with the applicable law or as may be agreed to by the parties to the extent, if at all, that any document request calls for the production of privileged or protected documents.

Further, in certain circumstances, PEF may determine upon investigation and analysis that documents responsive to certain requests to which objections are not otherwise asserted are confidential and proprietary and should be produced only under an appropriate confidentiality agreement and protective order, if at all. By agreeing to provide such information in response to such a request, PEF is not waiving its right to insist upon appropriate protection of confidentiality by means of a confidentiality agreement, protective order, or the procedures otherwise provided by law or in the Order

Establishing Procedure. PEF hereby asserts its right to require such protection of any and all information that may qualify for protection under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, the Order Establishing Procedure, and all other applicable statutes, rules, and legal principles.

PEF generally objects to OPC's Fifth Set of Requests for Production to the extent that it calls for the production of "all" documents of any nature, including, every copy of every document responsive to the requests. PEF will make a good faith, reasonably diligent attempt to identify and obtain responsive documents when no objection has been asserted to the production of such documents, but it is not practicable or even possible to identify, obtain, and produce "all" documents. In addition, PEF reserves the right to supplement any of its responses to FRF's requests for production if PEF cannot produce documents immediately due to their magnitude and the work required to aggregate them, or if PEF later discovers additional responsive documents in the course of this proceeding.

PEF also objects to any request that calls for projected data or information beyond the year 2006 because such data or information is wholly irrelevant to this case and has no bearing on this proceeding, nor is such data or information likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Furthermore, if a request does not specify a timeframe for which data or information is sought, PEF will interpret such request as calling only for data and information relevant to the years 2004-2006.

By making these general objections at this time, PEF does not waive or relinquish its right to assert additional general and specific objections to OPC's discovery at the time PEF's response is due under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and the Order

Establishing Procedure. PEF provides these general objections at this time to comply with the intent of the Order Establishing Procedure to reduce the delay in identifying and resolving any potential discovery disputes.

**SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS**

**Request 127:** PEF objects to section (b) of this request to the extent that the request calls for information for the year 2007. Any such request is irrelevant, has no bearing on this proceeding, and is not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

**Request 128:** PEF objects to section (b) of this request to the extent that the request calls for information for the year 2007. Any such request is irrelevant, has no bearing on this proceeding, and is not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Respectfully submitted,

---

R. ALEXANDER GLENN  
Deputy General Counsel – Florida  
PROGRESS ENERGY SERVICE  
COMPANY, LLC  
100 Central Avenue, Ste. 1D  
St. Petersburg, FL 33701  
Telephone: (727) 820-5587  
Facsimile: (727) 820-5519

  
GARY L. SASSO  
Florida Bar No. 622575  
JAMES MICHAEL WALLS  
Florida Bar No. 0706272  
JOHN T. BURNETT  
Florida Bar No. 173304  
DIANNE M. TRIPLETT  
Florida Bar No. 0872431  
CARLTON FIELDS, P.A.  
Post Office Box 3239  
Tampa, FL 33601-3239  
Telephone: (813) 223-7000  
Facsimile: (813) 229-4133

	<p>-and-</p> <p>Karin S. Torain PCS Administration, (USA), Inc. Suite 400 Skokie blvd. Northbrook, IL 60062</p> <p><b>Counsel for White Springs</b></p>
--	---